

3.8 THREATENED AND ENDANGERED SPECIES AND SPECIES OF CONSERVATION CONCERN

3.8.1 Introduction

This section addresses federal and state endangered species regulations that would be applicable under the proposed Project (Section 3.8.2, Regulatory Framework); animal and plant species that are federal or state-listed endangered, threatened, or candidates; Bureau of Land Management (BLM) sensitive species (Section 3.8.4); and species of conservation concern (Section 3.8.6). Species have been evaluated using a qualitative assessment of the potential direct and indirect impacts to species and their habitat through literature review and consultations with federal and state agencies and regional biologists. It also addresses federally designated critical habitat that may occur in the proposed Project area (Sections 3.8.3, Federally Protected and Candidate Species, and 3.8.5, State Protected Species, respectively). In addition there are species under consideration for federal listing under Federal Endangered Species Act (ESA) of 1973. Summaries of occurrence and life history are based on available literature; consultations and correspondence with federal and state agencies; agency required site-specific surveys; public and agency websites; and review of state natural heritage data.

3.8.2 Regulatory Framework

3.8.2.1 Federal Regulations

Federal Endangered Species Act

Under the ESA, the Secretary of the Interior and the Secretary of Commerce (through National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration [NOAA]) jointly have the authority to list a species as threatened or endangered (16 United States Code [USC] 1533[c]). Pursuant to the requirements of the ESA, a federal agency reviewing a proposed project within its jurisdiction must determine whether any federally listed threatened, endangered species, or proposed species (*federally protected species*) may be present in a project site, and whether the proposed project would have a potentially significant impact on such species. In addition, the agency is required to determine whether the project is likely to jeopardize the continued existence of any species proposed to be listed under the ESA or result in the destruction or adverse modification of critical habitat proposed to be designated for such species (16 USC 1536[3], [4]).

Proposed species are those candidate species that have been determined to warrant listing as either threatened or endangered and have been officially proposed as such in a Federal Register notice after the completion of a status review and consideration of other protective conservation measures. Proposed species are federally protected. The mountain plover (*Charadrius montanus*) and the Platte River caddisfly (*Isonychia platensis*) were identified as occurring within the proposed Project area. However, listing for both of these species was not warranted (USFWS 2011a, USFWS 2012b). Although these species are not discussed in Section 3.8.3, Federally Protected and Candidate Species, the mountain plover is a BLM sensitive species and is therefore discussed in Section 3.8.4, BLM Sensitive Animals and Plants.

Candidate species are plants and animals for which the USFWS has sufficient information on their biological status and threats to propose them as endangered or threatened under ESA, but

for which development of a proposed listing regulation is precluded by other higher priority listing activities. Candidate species are not federally protected under the ESA, but most candidate birds are federally protected under the Migratory Bird Treaty Act (MBTA). However, because it is reasonably foreseeable that candidate species may become protected under the ESA within the life of the proposed Project, they are addressed herein. *Delisted* species are species that were formerly listed as threatened or endangered under the ESA, but have been formally removed from listing. The bald eagle (*Haliaeetus leucocephalus*) is a species occurring in the proposed Project area that has been delisted from the ESA. The gray wolf (*Canis lupus*), which was considered in this Supplemental EIS and the Biological Assessment (BA) but later determined to be unlikely to occur in the proposed Project area, has been delisted from the ESA in Montana but remains listed in South Dakota and Nebraska.

The ESA is administered by both the National Marine Fisheries Service of the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NMFS or NOAA Fisheries) and the USFWS. NOAA Fisheries is responsible for animals that spend most of their lives in marine waters, including marine fish, most marine mammals, and anadromous fish such as Pacific salmon (i.e., those fish which travel from the ocean and ascend up rivers for breeding). The USFWS is responsible for all other federally listed plants and animals.

Pursuant to the requirements of the ESA, a federal agency that undertakes, funds, or approves a project (which may include the issuance of a license, permit, or grant for a non-federal project) must determine whether the project may affect federally protected species or designated critical habitat. If so, pursuant to Section 7 of the ESA (Section 7 ESA), the federal agency must consult with NOAA Fisheries or the USFWS, as appropriate, to ensure that the project will not jeopardize any species continued existence or result in the adverse modification of designated critical habitat. The consultation process can be informal, resulting in a determination that the project is not likely to adversely affect federally protected species or critical habitat, or it can be formal, resulting in the issuance of a Biological Opinion including reasonable and prudent measures to minimize adverse impacts to federally protected species and critical habitat.

Projects that would result in a *take* of any federally protected species are required to obtain authorization from NOAA Fisheries and/or USFWS, as appropriate. Under the ESA definition, *take* means to harass, harm, pursue, hunt, shoot, wound, kill, trap, capture, or collect, or to attempt to engage in any such conduct. Authorization for a take that is incidental to a lawful activity is obtained through one of two processes, depending on whether a federal agency is involved in carrying out, funding or permitting the project. For projects with a federal nexus, (i.e., connection with a federal action such as a permit) take authorization is provided through an incidental take statement, which is typically included as a part of a Biological Opinion issued after completion of the formal Section 7 ESA consultation process described above. For projects without a federal nexus, the project proponent must obtain an incidental take permit issued under Section 10 of the ESA, which requires completion of a habitat conservation plan.

Migratory Bird Treaty Act

The federal MBTA (16 USC, Section 703, Supp. I, 1989) prohibits killing, possessing, or trading in migratory birds, except in accordance with regulations prescribed by the Secretary of the Interior. This act encompasses whole birds, parts of birds, and bird nests and eggs.

Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act

The Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act (BGEPA), enacted in 1940, (16 U.S.C. 668-668d) prohibits the take of bald eagles and golden eagles (*Aquila chrysaetos*) except as otherwise permitted in the BGEPA. From 1967 to 2007 the bald eagle was listed as either threatened or endangered (depending on the state) under the ESA. While the bald eagle is no longer listed under the ESA, it remains protected under the BGEPA, and some state endangered species acts. In addition, both the bald eagle and golden eagle are protected under the MBTA (16 USC 703-712).

3.8.2.2 State Regulations

Montana Species Regulations

Montana does not have a state endangered species act, but does maintain a list of species of concern, which is maintained by the Montana Natural Heritage Program and the Montana Fish Wildlife and Parks. Montana species of concern are native animals breeding in the state that are considered to be at risk due to declining population trends, threats to their habitats, and/or restricted distribution.

The species of concern designation does not provide as much protection to species as federal/state endangered species acts. Thus there is no section that specifically discusses Montana species of concern below, but any Montana species of concern that are not otherwise identified in this Section 3.8 due to legal protection by other federal/state agencies, are evaluated in Appendix N, Supplemental Information for Compliance with MEPA.

North Dakota Species Regulations

North Dakota does not have any endangered species regulation. Only those species listed under the federal ESA are considered threatened or endangered in North Dakota.

South Dakota Species Regulations

The South Dakota endangered species law was passed in 1977. The lead agency responsible for the conservation of threatened and endangered species is the South Dakota Department of Game, Fish, and Parks. The South Dakota Department of Game, Fish, and Parks reviews the list of threatened and endangered species every 2 years.

Rare species are also protected by the South Dakota Natural Heritage Program, a cooperative project of The Nature Conservancy and the South Dakota Department of Game, Fish and Parks. The Natural Heritage Program documents and monitors how rare species are and the potential threats to the continued survival of approximately 400 plant and animal species, as well as a number of unique natural features and plant communities. The goal of the Natural Heritage Program is to intervene before species decline to the point of being listed as threatened or endangered (Ashton and Dowd 1991).

Nebraska Species Regulations

In Nebraska, threatened and endangered species are protected under the Nebraska Nongame and Endangered Species Conservation Act (Nebraska Rev. Stat. §37-801 through 37-811). The lead agency in charge of implementing this law is the Nebraska Game and Parks Commission

(NGPC). In addition, Nebraska has special laws that protect all birds except game birds, English sparrows, European starlings, and pigeons other than Antwerp or homing pigeons (§37-237.01). It is unlawful to hunt, have in possession, take or needlessly destroy the nests or eggs of any protected birds (§37.540).

Kansas Species Regulations

The Kansas Nongame and Endangered Species Conservation Act of 1975 protects state and federally listed species. The Kansas Department of Wildlife, Parks and Tourism is the agency responsible for identifying and undertaking appropriate conservation measures for species listed as threatened and endangered under this act.

3.8.3 Federally Protected and Candidate Species

Section 7 of the ESA requires that projects involving a federal agency such as the U.S. Department of State (Department) initiate consultation consistent with the USFWS and/or NOAA Fisheries, to determine the likelihood of the project adversely affecting federally protected species (see Section 3.8.2, Regulatory Framework, above, for more information on the ESA). If, upon review of existing data, the Department determines that any federally protected species or habitats may be affected by the proposed Project, the Department is required to prepare a BA to identify the nature and extent of adverse impacts and to recommend mitigation measures that would avoid the habitat and/or species or that would reduce potential impact to acceptable levels. The USFWS then uses the information contained in the BA to develop a Biological Opinion for the proposed Project, which includes recommended conservation measures and compensatory mitigation for unavoidable impacts that were assessed during the consultation process.

For the previously proposed Project, the Department and TransCanada Keystone Pipeline, LP (Keystone), acting as the Department's non-federal designee for informal consultation, consulted with the USFWS on threatened and endangered species (no NOAA Fisheries-listed species were determined to be potentially affected by the previously proposed Project). The Department, the USFWS, and Keystone worked to develop the 2011 BA for the previously proposed Project (Appendix T of the Final EIS), which includes analyses of potential Project impacts to federally protected species, recommended conservation measures, and affect determinations.

Since the Final EIS, the proposed Project has been revised and a 2012 BA has been prepared and is included as Appendix H of this Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement (Supplemental EIS).

Table 3.8-1 lists 13 federal threatened, endangered, or candidate species which were considered in the 2012 BA for the proposed Project (see Appendix H). These federally listed species were identified by the Department, USFWS, BLM, and state agencies as potentially occurring in the proposed Project area. In the paragraphs following this table, the 13 federally protected and candidate species status and potential occurrence in the proposed Project area are discussed. In addition to these 13 species, the northern long-eared bat (*Myotis septentrionalis*) is also discussed below. The northern long-eared bat is currently being considered by the USFWS for listing under the ESA; it is possible that this species may become federally-listed if and when this Project is implemented.

Table 3.8-1 Summary of Federally Protected and Candidate Species Included in the 2012 BA and their State Status

Common Name (<i>Scientific Name</i>)	Federal Status	State Status
Mammals		
Black-footed ferret (<i>Mustela nigripes</i>)	Endangered/ Experimental Populations	MT Species of Concern SD Endangered NE Endangered KS Endangered
Gray wolf (<i>Canis lupus</i>)	Endangered/ Experimental Populations	SD Endangered NE Endangered
Birds		
Eskimo curlew (<i>Numenius borealis</i>)	Endangered	SD Endangered NE Endangered KS Endangered
Greater sage-grouse (<i>Centrocercus urophasianus</i>)	Candidate	MT Species of Concern SD Species of Greatest Conservation Need
Interior least tern (<i>Sternula antillarum</i>)	Endangered	MT Species of Concern SD Endangered NE Endangered KS Endangered
Piping plover (<i>Charadrius melodus</i>)	Threatened	MT Species of Concern SD Threatened NE Threatened KS Threatened
Sprague's pipit (<i>Anthus spragueii</i>)	Candidate	MT Species of Concern
Whooping crane (<i>Grus americana</i>)	Endangered	MT Species of Concern SD Endangered NE Endangered KS Endangered
Fish		
Pallid sturgeon (<i>Scaphirhynchus albus</i>)	Endangered	MT Species of Concern SD Endangered NE Endangered KS Endangered
Topeka shiner (<i>Notropis topeka</i>)	Endangered	NE Endangered KS Endangered
Invertebrates		
American burying beetle (<i>Nicrophorus americanus</i>)	Endangered	NE Endangered KS Endangered
Plants		
Blowout penstemon (<i>Penstemon haydenii</i>)	Endangered	NE Endangered
Western prairie fringed orchid (<i>Platanthera praeclara</i>)	Threatened	NE Threatened

MT = Montana; SD = South Dakota; NE = Nebraska; KS = Kansas

3.8.3.1 Federally Protected Mammals

Preliminary evaluations identified two federally protected mammals that could potentially occur within the proposed Project area (Table 3.8-1): the black-footed ferret (*Mustela nigripes*) and the gray wolf. Note, however, that the gray wolf was ultimately eliminated from detailed analysis, as explained below.

Gray Wolf—Endangered/Experimental Populations

Gray wolves (*Canis lupus*) are currently listed as federally endangered in Nebraska and the western half of North Dakota and South Dakota, and were delisted in Montana in May 2011. They are also a Montana species of concern.

The USFWS has split this species into five distinct population segments (DPS), of which three DPS (the Western Great Lakes, Wyoming, and Northern Rocky Mountain, the last DPS includes the Montana population) were delisted from the ESA due to recovery. One population (the Mexican gray wolf population) is an experimental, non-essential population, and not an endangered species under the ESA; the last population in western South Dakota and Nebraska remains endangered under the ESA.

In Montana, the gray wolf's range is in the western part of the state, and does not extend as far east as the proposed Project area. In Nebraska, one gray wolf was killed in Spalding, Nebraska in 2002 and was determined to be a dispersing male from Minnesota (USFWS 2003). Prior to this 2002 occurrence, a gray wolf had not been sighted in Nebraska since 1913 (USFWS 2003). There are no known resident populations of gray wolves in South Dakota (USFWS 2011b). Some wolves that disperse from Yellowstone National Park have occasionally been found in western South Dakota, but sightings are infrequent, with only three gray wolves recorded in recent years (Wildlife News 2012). Since there are no resident populations of gray wolves in South Dakota or Nebraska, and since the species is no longer listed in Montana and does not occur as far east as the proposed Project area in this state, the gray wolf is unlikely to be adversely affected by the Project, so it was eliminated from detailed analysis in this Supplemental EIS.

Black-Footed Ferret—Endangered/Experimental Populations

The black-footed ferret (*Mustela nigripes*) is an endangered species throughout its range, except where non-essential, experimental populations have been introduced (e.g., the population of ferrets introduced into the Rosebud Sioux Reservation in south-central South Dakota). Members of non-essential experimental populations located outside national wildlife refuge or national park lands are protected as proposed species under the ESA (16 USC 1531 *et seq.*), and as threatened species where they occur on national wildlife refuges or national parks (Section 10(j)). Members of reintroduced populations within the species historic range that have not been designated as experimental populations are protected as endangered. This species is also a state-listed endangered species in South Dakota, Nebraska, and Kansas, and a Montana species of concern. There is no USFWS-designated critical habitat for the black-footed ferret.

Black-footed ferrets once numbered in the tens of thousands, but widespread destruction of their habitat and exotic diseases in the 1900s brought them to the brink of extinction. Only 18 remained in 1986, but due to reintroduction efforts there were approximately 1,000 black-footed ferrets in the wild in 2011, and an additional 280 in breeding facilities (USFWS 2010b). Black-

footed ferrets are nocturnal and solitary; they feed almost exclusively on prairie dogs and use prairie dog burrows (USFWS 2008c). Black-footed ferrets use the same habitats as prairie dogs: grasslands, steppe, and shrub steppe. It is estimated that about 99 to 148 acres of prairie dog colony are needed to support one ferret (NatureServe 2009). The breeding season is generally between March and April.

Experimental, non-essential populations were reintroduced to several sites in the United States in 1994, including north-central Montana and South Dakota. None of the four reintroduced black-footed ferret populations in Montana are well established at this time, and there is ongoing concern about the genetic viability of the captive population (MFWP 2009a, USFWS 2008c). Six populations of ferrets have been reintroduced to South Dakota, of which two of these populations (the Cheyenne River, SD and the Conata Basin, SD) were classified as successful, self-sustaining populations in 2008 (USFWS 2008c). In Nebraska, the black-footed ferret probably occurred historically in the western three-quarters of the state, coincident with the range of the prairie dog. The black-footed ferret is a Nebraska state endangered species, although this species has not been observed there since 1949 (NGPC 2009a).

The proposed Project route crosses the historical range of the black-footed ferret in Montana, South Dakota, southwest North Dakota, Nebraska, and Kansas. Black-footed ferrets are not known to exist outside of reintroduced populations (USFWS 2008c), and the ten reintroductions of black-footed ferrets in Montana and South Dakota are outside of the proposed Project right-of-way (ROW). Natural Heritage Program data for Montana and South Dakota (Montana Natural Heritage Program 2008; SDGFP 2008) contain no historical records of black-footed ferret occurrences within 5 miles of the proposed Project ROW.

During a meeting with Keystone representatives on May 5, 2008, the USFWS Grand Island Ecological Services Field Office indicated that black-footed ferrets do not occur within the previously proposed Keystone XL Project area in Nebraska. On June 11, 2008, the USFWS Pierre Ecological Services Field Office and AECOM indicated that black-tailed prairie dog (*Cynomys ludovicianus*) towns in the entire state of South Dakota are block-cleared, meaning the towns no longer contain any wild free-ranging black-footed ferrets, and activities within these areas that result in the removal of black-tailed prairie dogs and/or their habitat would not be required to meet the USFWS survey guidelines for black-footed ferrets, or undergo consultations under Section 7 of the ESA (AECOM 2008d).

Since the black-footed ferret is dependent on prairie dogs, the assessment of potential impacts to experimental populations has focused on black-tailed prairie dog colonies and complexes that could be affected by the proposed Project. The proposed Project route does not occur within the known ranges of the Gunnison's prairie dog or white-tailed prairie dog (NatureServe 2009), the other two prairie dog species that could serve as prey for black-footed ferrets.

Aerial and/or pedestrian field surveys were conducted from 2008 through 2012 along the proposed Project route in Montana, to identify prairie dog towns crossed by the Project ROW. In 2008, one potential prairie dog town was identified in Valley County, Montana. Subsequent surveys determined that this town was occupied by Richardson's ground squirrel (*Urocitellus richardsonii*), and possibly black-tailed prairie dogs, although no prairie dogs were observed. As a result of a Montana Department of Environmental Quality (MDEQ) reroute incorporated into the proposed Project, the currently proposed Project route avoids this town.

In summary, all prairie dog towns within the proposed Project ROW are unsuitable for the reintroduction of the black-footed ferret, and there are no currently existing black-footed ferret populations known to be present within the proposed ROW (USFWS 2011).

Northern Long-eared Bat—Under Consideration

The northern long-eared bat (*Myotis septentrionalis*) is not a federally protected species, but is under consideration by USFWS. In January 2011, the USFWS received a request to list the northern long-eared bat as either threatened or endangered under the ESA, as well as the eastern small-footed bat (*Myotis leibii*) (which is not located in the states in which the proposed Project would cross). In June 2011, the USFWS released a 90-day finding on the request (USFWS 2011c). In that finding, the USFWS determined that substantial information exists to warrant further evaluation of both bat species to determine if they should be listed. The USFWS has begun a review of the status of these species to determine if listing is warranted and will issue a 12-month finding. This species is a BLM sensitive species.

The northern long-eared bat ranges from the southeast corner of the Northwest Territory, east across each Canadian province, and covers 38 states in the central and eastern part of the United States including eastern Montana, North Dakota, South Dakota, Kansas, and Nebraska. The northern long-eared bat does not migrate, but may travel up to 30 miles from winter hibernation to summer roosts. This species uses caves and mines during hibernation which can begin as early as August and continue through the winter months. During the summer months, this species relies less on caves and more on old growth forests for roosts and reproduction, especially old cottonwood trees 100 years or older, and late successional forests (mature stands). They roost under the bark of dead and dying trees. Old and mature forests provide habitat (decaying trees, loose bark, tree snags, and stumps) for roosting, feeding, and maternity colonies of northern long-eared bats. In addition to the natural setting, the northern long-eared bat also roosts in buildings. Breeding takes place in late summer and early fall with a gestation period ranging between 50 and 60 days. Females give birth to one pup the following spring. The northern long-eared bat typically forages on the edge of heavily forested areas, along hillsides, ridges, water, and clearings. These opportunistic insectivores use echolocation to find their prey and forage one to two hours after dusk and before dawn.

In Montana, there are two records of this species. One record is from Flathead County in northwestern Montana, but there is little information about this record except that it is five to ten years old, it is out of their known range, and the proposed Project ROW does not go through northwestern Montana (MNHP and MFWP 2012c). The second record for this species is from an abandoned coal mine near Culbertson, Montana in Roosevelt County, over 60 miles east of the proposed Project ROW, but this mine has been closed and bats can no longer enter the cave. In addition, this species may have been misidentified at this location (Prellwitz 2012).

In North Dakota, there is a single record in McKenzie County, and the proposed pipe yard stockpile site would not be in McKenzie County (it would be in Bowman County).

In South Dakota, this species is known to occur in 11 counties including Harding, Perkins, Meade, Custer, Pennington, Lawrence, Charles Mix, Gregory, Hughes, Lyman, and Yankton counties. Of these counties in South Dakota, the pipeline is routed through three counties where northern long-eared bat occurs (Meade, Perkins, and Custer).

In Nebraska, this species is known to occur in 12 counties including Sheridan, Cass, Sarpy, Holt, Jefferson, Keya Paha, Brown, Knox, Webster, Lancaster, Cherry, and Washington counties. Of these counties in Nebraska, the pipeline is routed through three counties where northern long-eared bat occurs (Keya Paha, Holt, and Jefferson).

3.8.3.2 Federally Protected and Candidate Birds

Preliminary evaluations identified four federally protected birds and two listed as candidates which could potentially occur within the proposed Project area (Table 3.8-1). In addition to ESA protection, all of the birds listed in this section are federally protected under the MBTA, except for the greater sage-grouse (*Centrocercus urophasianus*) (excluded from protection under the MBTA because it is a non-migratory game bird). Additional federal protections under the MBTA and the BGEPA are discussed in Section 3.8.2.

Eskimo Curlew—Endangered

The Eskimo curlew (*Numenius borealis*) is a federally endangered species, and a South Dakota and Nebraska endangered species. This species was once abundant; historical accounts indicate flocks of thousands migrated from northern North America to the Argentine pampas, crossing central North America and the Atlantic coast. They bred in northern Canada and migrated through the prairies of the United States south to the grasslands in South America, spending most of their time during migration in prairies and grasslands, including prairies and grasslands in Nebraska (Audubon 2009). Currently, the Eskimo curlew is thought to be extinct. The species has not been confirmed in Nebraska since 1926 and in South Dakota since 1963, and does not occur in Montana (USFWS 2012d). USFWS and NGPC determined that this species would not be impacted by the proposed Project. Therefore, this species was eliminated from further analysis.

Greater Sage-Grouse—Candidate

The greater sage-grouse (*Centrocercus urophasianus*) was petitioned for federal listing under the ESA several times. On March 23, 2010, USFWS announced that listing the greater sage-grouse (rangelwide) was warranted, but precluded by higher priority listing actions (USFWS 2010a, 75 FR 13910). Consequently, the greater sage-grouse is a candidate species for listing under the ESA. In addition, they are a BLM sensitive species, a Montana species of concern, and a South Dakota species of greatest conservation need. Critical habitat has not been identified for greater sage-grouse, but they are considered a sagebrush obligate species (Braun et al. 2001). Core habitat has been designated in Montana.

Greater sage-grouse are the largest grouse species in North America. Greater sage-grouse occur in 11 western states including Montana and South Dakota, where they are hunted during a limited season in September. They depend on a variety of shrub-steppe habitats throughout their life cycle, and are considered obligate users of several species of sagebrush (USFWS 2010a). They occur at elevations ranging from 4,000 feet to over 9,000 feet (USFWS 2012a).

Greater sage-grouse are lekking birds; males gather and perform mating displays for females at leks. After mating, females nest, on average, between approximately 2 to 4 miles and up to approximately 12 miles from the lek site. Leks are typically located in areas of bare ground or low-density vegetation such as ridge tops; individuals return to about the same location each spring (March through June), although leks may shift in location over time. Nesting typically

occurs in areas with a sagebrush canopy cover of between 15 to 25 percent (USFWS 2010a). Although sagebrush habitat is crucial throughout the year for all life stages, wet meadows and riparian areas are critical for brood-rearing. Greater sage-grouse diet varies by season. Spring, nesting, and brood-rearing birds eat forbs (herbaceous flowering plants) and insects, and wintering birds eat and take cover in sagebrush (USFWS 2010a). Greater sage-grouse may migrate between winter, breeding, and summer areas with movements of up to 100 miles (USFWS 2010a); greater sage-grouse gradually move from sagebrush uplands to moister areas such as streambeds or wet meadows during the late brood-rearing period (3 weeks after hatch) as vegetation withers during the hot, dry summer months (USFWS 2010a).

Since issuance of the August 2011 Final EIS, the BLM issued the *Instruction Memorandum No. 2012-043, Greater Sage-Grouse Interim Management Policies and Procedures (Interim Policy)* in order to maintain or promote sustainable greater sage-grouse populations and conservation of its habitat (BLM 2011). The Interim Policy identifies policies and procedures to minimize habitat loss in Preliminary Priority Habitat (PPH) and Preliminary General Habitat (PGH) areas. PPH in Montana are the MFWP delineated core areas, which are the highest conservation value habitats, as determined by coordination between BLM and MFWP. The BLM is coordinating with the respective state wildlife agency in Montana and with SDGFP in accordance with the Interim Policy, although federal lands are not involved with the proposed Project in South Dakota. The proposed Project crosses PPH within one area of South Dakota, on private lands which are not applicable to the interim policy.

Greater sage-grouse inhabit sagebrush habitats in the proposed Project area between the Canadian/Montana border and northwestern South Dakota. The proposed Project route crosses through greater sage-grouse Management Zone I (MZ I) in Montana and western South Dakota, which supported an estimated 62,320 greater sage-grouse in Montana and 1,500 greater sage-grouse in South Dakota during 2007 (USFWS 2010a). Keystone has been conducting annual surveys within a 4-mile radius of proposed Project components to locate greater sage-grouse leks, or monitor known leks, since 2009. In 2011, Keystone monitored 46 lek sites within Montana and South Dakota; displaying male greater sage-grouse were observed at 35 of these lek sites (WESTECH 2011). In 2012, displaying male greater sage-grouse were observed at 18 of these same leks on the day(s) of survey (see 2012 BA in Appendix H for greater sage-grouse survey results). Surveys in 2011 and 2012 show that activity levels at each lek site vary from year to year and the Montana Fish Wildlife and Parks Department (MFWP) and South Dakota Department of Game Fish and Parks (SDGFP) consider 28 of these 46 lek sites to be active in any given year.

Interior Least Tern—Endangered

The interior population of least tern (*Sternula antillarum*) was federally listed as endangered in 1985. The interior least tern is listed by state endangered species acts as endangered in South Dakota and Nebraska, and is a Montana species of concern. They are small, migratory shorebirds that hover and dive into standing or flowing water to catch small fish (USFWS 1994). The interior least tern is one of three subspecies of the least tern; the east coast subspecies is not listed as threatened or endangered, and the west coast subspecies is federally listed as endangered. The interior least tern is migratory; it winters in South America, then journeys north to central North American river systems to breed. The summer breeding population extends west-east from eastern Colorado and New Mexico to southern Indiana, and north-south from Montana down to

Texas. The nesting season for interior least tern is from April 15 through September 1 throughout the breeding range, with nesting occurring later at more northern latitudes. This species nests on riverine sandbars and at sand and gravel mining operations and forages in rivers and associated wetlands.

Surveys for suitable habitat and the occurrence of interior least tern nests were conducted at the crossings of the Yellowstone, Missouri, Cheyenne, Platte, Loup, and Niobrara rivers in 2008, 2011, and 2012 (see the 2012 BA in Appendix H for more information on these surveys).

In Montana, the Yellowstone River crossing of the proposed pipeline route in Dawson County has historically supported, and currently supports, breeding populations of interior least terns (AECOM 2008b, AECOM 2009a). Suitable habitat also may be present along the Missouri River in Valley and McCone counties. Surveys were conducted along these two rivers in the summer of 2011 and no least terns were observed, but this river was flooded during the surveys, and therefore habitat was not visible. Surveys need to be repeated where the proposed Project route would cross this river.

Within South Dakota, the Cheyenne River crossing of the proposed pipeline route, on the border of Meade, Pennington, and Haakon counties, has potentially suitable habitat for interior least terns (AECOM 2008c). This species was not observed along the Cheyenne River during 2008 and 2011 surveys, but the 2011 surveys were conducted when there was flooding and habitat was not visible. Therefore, surveys should be repeated along this proposed river crossing.

Within Nebraska, there is suitable habitat for interior least terns along the proposed Project ROW at the Platte, Loup, and Niobrara river crossings (AECOM 2008a). The proposed Project route would cross the Platte River along the Merrick and Polk county border, and sandbars and sand/gravel pits associated with this segment of river are known to support breeding interior least terns. In addition, four least terns were observed at the Niobrara River crossing between Boyd and Holt counties in 2012, and two non-nesting least terns were observed along the Loup River crossing in Nance County in 2012 (see 2012 BA in Appendix H).

Piping Plover—Threatened

There are three breeding populations of piping plovers (*Charadrius melodus*) in the United States—the Northern Great Plains population (which occurs in the same area as the proposed Project), the Great Lakes population, and the Atlantic Coast population. The Northern Great Plains population is federally listed as threatened, and state-listed as threatened in South Dakota, Nebraska, and Kansas. It is also a species of concern in Montana. The USFWS designated critical habitat for the Northern Great Plains breeding population of the piping plover (67 FR 57638) in Montana, Nebraska, North Dakota, and South Dakota in 2002 (USFWS 2002), but the Nebraska critical habitat was later remanded (USFWS 2009). The proposed Project route would not cross through any critical habitat for this species, although there is critical habitat near the proposed Project area in Montana at Fort Peck Reservoir, and on the Missouri River downstream of Wolf Point.

The piping plover is a small shorebird that occupies sand and gravel bars and beaches along major rivers and around lakes, reservoirs, ponds, and alkali wetlands; it forages on invertebrates. The piping plover forages for invertebrates on exposed beach substrates and nests on barren or sparsely vegetated sandbars in river channels and wetlands. Piping plovers migrate through the

proposed Project area during both the spring and fall. The nesting season for the piping plover is from April 15 through September 1.

Presence of breeding piping plovers along the proposed Project route is limited to Montana and Nebraska; during a meeting with Keystone representatives on June 10, 2008, SDGFP indicated that breeding piping plovers are not in the proposed Project area in South Dakota. Potential breeding habitat within the proposed Project area for the piping plover is limited to alkali wetlands in Montana, and sandy beaches and sandbars along the Platte, Loup, and Niobrara rivers in Nebraska.

Within Montana, nesting birds occur on alkali lakes and wetlands and have been found in the Fort Peck Reservoir. Wetland and waterbody surveys conducted between May and November from 2008 to 2011 did not identify any suitable alkali wetlands for nesting piping plovers along the proposed Project route in Valley County. According to the USFWS Billings Ecological Services Field Office, individual transient piping plovers may be observed along some portions of the Yellowstone River, but there are no breeding records within the proposed Project area (AECOM 2009a). The USFWS Billings Ecological Field Office indicated that surveys had failed to identify nesting piping plover within the proposed Project area in Montana, and therefore did not recommend surveys for this species in Montana (AECOM 2009a).

Piping plovers breeding in Nebraska are found nesting on sandbars and at commercial sand pits and foraging in wet sand on sandbars and mud flats in rivers and associated wetlands along three rivers crossed by the proposed Project route: the Niobrara, Loup, and Platte rivers. Piping plovers migrate through Nebraska during both the spring and fall. These crossings were historically identified as critical habitat for the piping plover, but as mentioned above, this critical habitat designation has been remanded in Nebraska and is no longer legally recognized as such (USFWS 2008a).

Keystone conducted breeding piping plover surveys along the proposed Project route crossings of the Missouri, Platte, Loup, and Niobrara rivers in July 2008, June 2011, and June and July 2012. Only one individual foraging plover was identified during these surveys, at the Niobrara River crossing in 2008. Note that the Niobrara River crossing under the currently proposed Project is many miles east of the Niobrara River crossing that was analyzed during 2008 studies, under the previously proposed Project. 2012 surveys along the currently proposed route did not detect this species along the Niobrara River.

Despite the lack of breeding piping plovers observed along the proposed Project route during recent surveys, this species may nest along the Niobrara, Loup, and Platte rivers in Nebraska at the proposed Project crossings.

Sprague's Pipit—Candidate

Sprague's pipit (*Anthus spragueii*) is a candidate for federal listing as threatened or endangered species (75 FR 56028), and a species of concern in Montana. They are a grassland species—native to the mixed grass prairie ecosystem in the northern Great Plains of North America (Jones 2010).

Sprague's pipit is a medium sized short-distance migrant songbird (passerine). They breed in the northern Great Plains, with their highest numbers in the central mixed-grass prairie primarily in north-central and eastern Montana, to North Dakota through to northwestern and north-central South Dakota (Jones 2010). Migration occurs through the central Great Plains in April and May

and late September through early November (Jones 2010). They winter in the southern United States. Sprague's pipits establish nesting territories and construct nests on the ground in intermediate height and density grasslands, primarily with native grasses, little bare ground, and few shrubs, during May to August (Jones 2010). Breeding territories are established for both nesting and foraging, and are likely influenced by the size of grassland patches and the amount of grassland in the landscape (Jones 2010).

In Montana, data indicate that the highest likelihood of Sprague's pipit along the proposed Project route is in native grasslands north of the Missouri River (MNHP, MFWP 2012a), although the species is also known to occur in native grasslands in eastern Montana. The proposed Project route would cross habitats that may support breeding Sprague's pipits in Fallon, Dawson, McCone, Phillips, Prairie, and Valley counties.

High quality breeding habitat for Sprague's pipits occurs in the 44.2 miles of the North Valley Grasslands Important Bird Area (IBA) which is crossed by the proposed Project route (see Section 3.6.2.4, Non-game Animals, for more information on IBAs crossed by the Project) in northern Montana, where this species is relatively common. Outside of the habitat north of the Missouri River, the proposed Project route would cross approximately 87 miles of native, mixed grass prairie that could serve as suitable habitat for this species, depending on grazing regimes and adjacent human activity.

In South Dakota, Sprague's pipits are a rare summer resident in central and northwestern South Dakota within native prairie grasslands (Jones 2010). The proposed Project route would cross approximately 119 miles of native, mixed grass prairie that could serve as suitable habitat depending on grazing regimes and adjacent human activity. In Nebraska, Sprague's pipits are uncommon seasonal migrants (Jones 2010).

Whooping Crane—Endangered

The whooping crane (*Grus americana*) was federally listed as endangered in 1970, is state listed as endangered by South Dakota and Nebraska, and is state listed as a species of concern by Montana (USFWS 2007). The whooping crane is a migratory bird and also is protected under the MBTA.

The USFWS designated critical habitat for whooping crane wintering grounds and migration stopover areas in 1978. The migratory stopover critical habitat areas are along the Platte River between Lexington and Denman, Nebraska (CWS, USFWS 2007), which is west of where the proposed Project would cross the Platte River. Proposed Project activities would not cross through any critical habitat areas.

During spring and fall migration, the Aransas-Wood Buffalo Population (AWBP) moves through the central Great Plains including portions of Montana, North Dakota, South Dakota, Nebraska, and Kansas. Birds from the AWBP depart from their wintering grounds in Texas from late March through early May, for spring migration. Fall migration typically begins in mid-September, with most birds arriving on wintering grounds between late October and mid-November (CWS, USFWS 2007).

Whooping cranes use a variety of habitats during migration, including a variety of croplands, prairie grasslands, and emergent wetlands for feeding, and semi-permanently flooded palustrine wetlands, broad river channels, and shallow portions of reservoirs for roosting (Austin and Richert 2001, Johns et al. 1997). They eat insects, minnows, crabs, clams, crayfish, frogs,

rodents, small birds, and berries (USFWS 2004). The whooping crane occurs as a migrant throughout the proposed Project area. The majority of the proposed Project route in South Dakota, Nebraska, North Dakota, and Kansas is within the central flyway whooping crane migration corridor through the central Great Plains (CWS, USFWS 2007) (see Figure 3.8.3-1, below). Specifically, in South Dakota, approximately 160 miles of the proposed Project route would be within the 95 percent migration corridor for the AWBP whooping crane population (i.e., 95 percent of the AWBP whooping cranes migrate within a 170-mile-wide corridor that runs north south through South Dakota); and in Nebraska, approximately 200 miles of the Project route would be within the 95 percent AWBP whooping crane migration corridor. The proposed Project ROW in Montana, the northern half of the Project ROW in South Dakota, and the 60-acre pipe yard proposed in North Dakota would all be west of the 95 percent flyway migration corridor. However, individual birds can be found outside the flyway migration corridor and could possibly occur within the proposed Project area in Montana, northern South Dakota, and North Dakota during spring and fall migration.


The MFWP identified the Yellowstone River in Montana as a potential stop-over site for whooping cranes during a MFWP meeting with Keystone representatives on February 3, 2009 (AECOM 2009b). In South Dakota, the Missouri River system is used by whooping cranes, but this species also may use wetlands during severe weather episodes. Additional correspondence with SDGFP indicated that the White and Cheyenne rivers in South Dakota contain suitable stop-over habitat, although it is very unlikely that whooping cranes would be present at these crossings (AECOM 2008a). According to the USFWS Grand Island Ecological Services Field Office and the NGPC, major river systems used by whooping cranes in Nebraska include the Platte, Loup, Republican, Cedar, and Niobrara rivers (USFWS 2008d). The Platte, Loup, and Niobrara rivers are crossed by the proposed Project route.

3.8.3.3 Federally Protected Fish

Preliminary evaluations identified two federally protected fish species that could potentially occur within the proposed Project area (Table 3.8-1). One of these species, the Topeka shiner, was eliminated from further analysis, as discussed below.

Topeka Shiner—Endangered

The Topeka shiner (*Notropis topeka*) is a federal, Nebraska, and Kansas endangered species. In Nebraska, the proposed Project would be outside of the Topeka shiner's range (AECOM 2008a). In Kansas, the proposed Project would only be within the range of the Topeka shiner in Butler County, Kansas (USFWS 2008a). The proposed Project area would not cross through any critical habitat that is designated for this species. One pump station site is proposed for construction in Butler County, Kansas. The pump station site is located within an agricultural field and suitable habitat does not exist for the Topeka shiner in or near this location. In South Dakota, the Topeka shiner is located in the James, Vermillion, and Big Sioux river watersheds. The species inhabits cool, clear, spring-fed streams with well-developed riparian corridors. The proposed Project route, however, would not cross any streams where Topeka shiners have been found based on extensive survey work conducted for this and other native fish species. Thus, the proposed Project is not expected to impact this species and was eliminated from further analysis.



Source: TransCanada 2012.

Figure 3.8.3-1 Central Flyway Whooping Crane Migration Corridor for the Aransas-Wood Buffalo Population

Pallid Sturgeon—Endangered

The pallid sturgeon (*Scaphirhynchus albus*) was federally listed as endangered in 1990 (55 FR 36641), and is a South Dakota, Nebraska, and Kansas endangered species, and a Montana species of concern. The USFWS produced a recovery plan for the pallid sturgeon (USFWS 1993). No critical habitat has been designated for the species. However, several areas have been designated as Recovery Priority Management Areas (RPMAs) in the species recovery plan (USFWS 1993). The proposed Project crosses the Missouri, Yellowstone, and Milk rivers, which are located in pallid sturgeon RPMAs 1 and 2: RPMA 1 is from the Missouri River from the headwaters of Fort Peck Reservoir upstream to the confluence of the Marias River, Montana; and RPMA 2 is from the Missouri River from Fort Peck Dam to the head waters of Lake Sakakawea, including the Yellowstone River upstream to the mouth of the Tongue River (USFWS 1993). The Milk, Missouri, and Yellowstone rivers would be crossed using the HDD method.

Current distribution of the pallid sturgeon includes the upper and lower Missouri River drainage, the lower Yellowstone River drainage, the upper and lower Mississippi River drainages, and the lower Ohio River drainage (NatureServe 2009). The pallid sturgeon is one of the rarest fish of the Missouri and Mississippi rivers. This sturgeon is adapted to habitat conditions that existed in these large rivers prior to their wide-scale modification by dams, diversions, and flood control structures. Habitats required by pallid sturgeon are formed by floodplains, backwaters, chutes, sloughs, islands, sandbars, and main channel waters within large river ecosystems. Prior to dam development along the Missouri and Mississippi rivers, these features were in a constant state of change. With the introduction of dams and bank stabilization, areas of former river habitat have been covered by lakes, water velocity has increased in remaining river sections (making deep stretches of clear water), and water temperatures have significantly decreased. All of these factors are believed to have contributed to the decline in pallid sturgeon populations (USFWS 1993).

Pallid sturgeons live in large, free-flowing, warm-water stream systems with a diverse assemblage of habitats, in a constant state of change (USFWS 1993). Pallid sturgeon feeding and nursery habitats include floodplains and backwaters, where adults and juveniles feed primarily on fish, and smaller juveniles feed primarily on the larvae of aquatic insects.

As noted above, this species may occur within the proposed Project area in the Missouri River, Milk River (a tributary to the Missouri River), and Yellowstone River in Montana. Pallid sturgeons also occur in the lower Platte River in Nebraska, downstream from the proposed Project route crossing (NGPC 2011).

In the Milk River, the pallid sturgeon has been found in recent years (2010 and 2011) in the Milk River in Montana from the Missouri River to the Vandalia Dam (Fuller and Haddix 2012).

In the Missouri River, it is estimated that 50 to 100 pallid sturgeons remain above Fort Peck Dam (west of the Project ROW), and 200 to 300 pallid sturgeons remain in the Missouri and lower Yellowstone rivers between Fort Peck Dam and Garrison Dam in North Dakota (Krentz 1997, Gardner 1994). Populations of pallid sturgeon in Montana are declining, with no evidence of reproduction. Pallid sturgeon between Fort Peck Dam and Lake Sakakawea, which is the portion of the Missouri River that would be crossed by the proposed Project, are an important portion of the total population (Tews 1994). Adult fish in this reach are nearing the end of their life expectancy and may attempt reproduction only a few more times (USFWS 2000). Pallid sturgeon

move downstream from the Fort Peck Dam to below the confluence of the Yellowstone and Missouri rivers in summer, and generally return to the Fort Peck Dam during winter. Most pallid sturgeons have been documented in the Missouri River downstream from its confluence with the Yellowstone River (Liebelt 1998). While no specific pallid sturgeon spawning locations have been identified in the Missouri River, such locations likely exist.

3.8.3.4 Federally Protected Invertebrates


American Burying Beetle—Endangered

Analyses identified one federally protected invertebrate that could potentially occur within the proposed Project area (Table 3.8-1), the American burying beetle (*Nicrophorus americanus*). The American burying beetle was federally listed as endangered in August 1989 (54 FR 29652), and is state-listed as endangered in Nebraska and Kansas. Critical habitat has not been designated. The Final Recovery Plan (USFWS 1991) was signed on September 27, 1991.

American burying beetles have generally been found in level areas with relatively loose, well-drained soils, amongst litter layers from previous years. They are scavengers, dependent on carrion for food and reproduction. This species plays an important role in breaking down decaying matter and recycling it back into the ecosystem. This species was recorded historically in at least 35 states in the eastern and central United States, as well as along the southern portions of the eastern Canadian provinces. Currently, it is known to exist in isolated colonies in at least seven states: Arkansas, Kansas, Nebraska, Oklahoma, Texas, South Dakota, and Rhode Island (USFWS 2008b). American burying beetles have disappeared from over 90 percent of their historical range.

American burying beetles have been collected from three South Dakota counties: Todd, Tripp, and Gregory (Backlund and Marrone 1997). The American burying beetle occupies about a 100-square-mile area centered in Tripp County (USFWS 2008b), and extending into Todd and Gregory counties (Backlund and Marrone 1997). The best habitat for the beetles in South Dakota is similar to that of the northern Nebraska population, and consists of wet meadows in sandy soils with scattered cottonwoods. In 2005 surveys for this species identified a relatively high concentration of American burying beetles in southern Tripp County, which the Project route would cross through. The proposed Project route would cross through approximately 33 miles of suitable habitat for this species in South Dakota. American burying beetles occur at high concentrations in two Nebraska regions (Figure 3.8.3-2). In the south, they occur in loess canyons, and in the north a large population occurs in the NDEQ-identified Sand Hills Region, centered around Rock and Brown counties. However, they also occur in other locations in Nebraska.

The proposed Project would result in construction of approximately 500 miles of pipeline through South Dakota and Nebraska. Since 2008, reconnaissance surveys of habitat suitability for this species, along the proposed pipeline route in South Dakota and Nebraska, were conducted and habitat was rated based on the Nebraska habitat readings system that reflects the potential for American burying beetle occurrence based on general habitat characteristics (Hoback 2010). Preliminary range areas were recently developed based on presence of American burying beetle from previous studies in Nebraska, and a windshield survey to categorize suitable habitat based on land use.



Source: USFWS 2008b.

Figure 3.8.3-2 American Burying Beetle Range in Nebraska (USFWS 2008b)

The proposed pipeline route enters Nebraska from South Dakota, beginning at Keya Paha County. The proposed route in Nebraska passes through three counties with known American burying beetle presence (Keya Paha, Holt, and Boyd counties), and one county (Antelope) with historical occurrence (Hoback 2010). The route then passes through a number of central and southern Nebraska counties where American burying beetle has not been found either historically or through recent (in the past 10 years) survey efforts.

Based on 2012 presence/absence sampling, approximately 50 miles of the reroute in Nebraska would affect habitat occupied by low numbers of American burying beetle. These surveys were conducted at 54 sites in northern Keya Paha, Holt, Antelope, and Boyd counties (Hoback 2012). During the August 2012 survey, American burying beetles were found in Holt and Keya Paha counties. No American burying beetles were captured in Boyd or Antelope counties. In Holt County, American burying beetles were found at 19 of 29 sites surveyed. In Keya Paha County, American burying beetles were found at 9 locations of 14 sites surveyed. Capture rates ranged from 0 to 2.8 American burying beetles per trap-night. Because American burying beetles are susceptible to desiccation (drying out), capture rates are likely to have been affected by the drought in Nebraska during summer 2012 (see 2012 BA in Appendix H for more information on these surveys, as well as trap data from 1999 through 2012).

Control traps were run during sampling at sites in Holt County where American burying beetles were known to be numerous to the west of the proposed Project area. These traps produced between 0.7 and 7.0 American burying beetles per trap night. The control trap results (0.7 to 7.0 beetles per trap-night) compared to the trap results from Holt and Keya Paha counties in the proposed Project area (0 to 2.8 beetles per trap-night). The control traps success suggests that populations of American burying beetles to the east of the Sandhills are not as dense as populations that occur in the Sandhills.

3.8.3.5 Federally Protected Plants

Information on federally protected plants potentially found along the proposed Project route was obtained from the USFWS, the various state Natural Heritage Programs (NHPs), state agencies, and field surveys. The NHPs provided information on the status of plant populations within individual states and in some cases, surveys were completed along the proposed Project route. Potential occurrence within the proposed ROW was evaluated for each plant based on its known distribution and habitat requirements.

Two federally protected plants were initially considered because they could potentially occur in the proposed Project area—the blowout penstemon (*Penstemon haydenii*) and the western prairie fringed orchid (*Platanthera praeclara*). As discussed below, the blowout penstemon was eliminated from further consideration.

Blowout Penstemon—Endangered

The blowout penstemon (*Penstemon haydenii*) is a federally listed endangered plant, and a Nebraska listed endangered plant. It occurs in sand blowout areas in Nebraska and Wyoming sand hill habitat. This plant can be found in early successional blowout habitat where it has little competition for scarce water and nutrients from other plants. However, as blowout habitats mature and become stabilized, other plants become established, and the blowout penstemon disappears. Thus, stabilization of blowouts and other disturbances that result in physical loss of these habitats can have an adverse effect on this species.

Blowout penstemons are found in the Sandhills of north central Nebraska. The USFWS' 2012 5-year review (2012c) cites Stubbendieck's 2008 unpublished annual monitoring report for this species, which indicates that there were 32 known blowout penstemon populations (10 native population sites and 22 introduced population sites) in the Sandhills. All 32 of these populations, and thus the entire known range for this species, are west of the proposed Project area.

The northern portion of the proposed Project route in Nebraska avoids the Sand hills. Pedestrian botanical surveys of the proposed Project route in 2012 also did not locate any suitable habitat for the species. Based on the lack of suitable habit for this species in the proposed Project area, and the fact that the Project area is outside of the known range for this species, the blowout penstemon is not expected to occur in the Project area.

Western Prairie Fringed Orchid—Threatened

The western prairie fringed orchid (*Platanthera praeclara*) is federally listed as threatened, state listed as threatened in Nebraska, and is a species of conservation concern in South Dakota. No critical habitat has been designated for the western prairie fringed orchid.

This perennial orchid is found in tallgrass calcareous (chalky) silt loam or sub-irrigated sand prairies and may occur along ditches or roadsides. Flooding may be an important agent of seed dispersal (Hof et al. 1999), although seeds develop into flowering plants only under appropriate hydrologic and other conditions. The western prairie fringed orchid flowers from May to August, but peaks in late June and early July.

The western prairie fringed orchid is presently known to occur in six states (Iowa, Kansas, Minnesota, Missouri, Nebraska, and North Dakota), and may be locally extinct (extirpated) from South Dakota (USFWS 1996, USGS 2006b). Factors that indicate the species could still be present in South Dakota include: 1) incomplete surveys in areas of suitable habitat crossed by the proposed Project route on private lands; and 2) erratic flowering patterns with long dormancies that make detection difficult (Phillips 2003). One area where this species may occur in South Dakota and near the proposed Project area, is southwest of Highway 18 in Tripp County (AECOM 2008a). Most remaining populations are found in North Dakota and Minnesota, with about three percent of the populations found in the southern portion of its historical range (USFWS 1996). In Nebraska, the western prairie fringed orchid occurs in Holt, Antelope, and Boone counties (NGPC 2011).

Surveys were conducted in suitable habitat for the western prairie fringed orchid in June 2009, May through June 2011, and 2012 in Tripp County, South Dakota and Holt, Greeley, and Wheeler counties in Nebraska (more information for these surveys is provided in Appendix H, the 2012 BA). Additional surveys were conducted along the proposed route in May and June 2012, in suitable habitat in Holt, Antelope, and Boone counties in Nebraska . One western prairie fringed orchid was located in 2009 at a wetland in the previously proposed Project ROW. Two plants were located at the same site in 2011. No western prairie fringed orchids were detected along the proposed Project route in Nebraska in 2012, although suitable habitat was present in several areas, and some areas of potentially suitable habitat were not surveyed due to access denial. Additional botanical surveys are planned along the proposed Project route for 2013.

3.8.4 Bureau of Land Management Sensitive Animals and Plants

The BLM maintains its own list of sensitive animals and plants, in order to properly manage these species to promote their conservation and reduce the likelihood and need for future listing under the ESA. The proposed Project route would traverse through approximately 42.5 miles of federal lands in Montana. These federal lands are primarily composed of grasslands leased to farmers with livestock (see Section 3.9, Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources, for more information on federal lands and applicable management plans).

BLM Montana offices evaluate potential Project impacts on BLM sensitive species which includes species that have been determined, in coordination with the Montana Natural Heritage Program, Montana Fish, Wildlife and Parks Department, and the U.S. Forest Service, to be recommended for sensitive designation. BLM also evaluates both federal candidate species and federal delisted species within five years of delisting.

All BLM designated sensitive animals and plants with potential occurrence in Montana are also Montana designated species of concern. Additional Montana species of concern that potentially occur within the proposed Project area and that are not designated by BLM as sensitive are discussed in Appendix N, Supplemental Information for Compliance with MEPA. The BLM sensitive species that have the potential to occur within the proposed Project area include 8 mammals, 29 birds, 5 reptiles, 3 amphibians, 5 fish, and 4 plants, which are listed in Table 3.8-2, below.

Table 3.8-2 BLM Sensitive Species Potentially Occurring in the Proposed Project Area in Montana

Species	Occurrence and Habitat
Mammals	
Black-tailed prairie dog (<i>Cynomys ludovicianus</i>)	Black-tailed prairie dog colonies are generally associated with open grasslands and shrub grasslands in relatively level sites with silty clay loam, sandy clay loam or clay loam soils. See Section 3.8.3, Federally Protected and Candidate Species, and in Appendix H, the 2012 BA, for more information on prairie dog surveys conducted in Montana as part of black-footed ferret surveys.
Fringed bat (<i>Myotis thysanodes</i>)	This species occurs throughout Montana during mid-June to early September in roost sites include caves, mines, and buildings. They occur in a variety of habitats, from low to mid-elevation grassland, woodland, and desert habitats, up to and including spruce-fir forests.
Long-eared bat (<i>Myotis evotis</i>)	This species occurs throughout Montana and is active during mid-June to early September. Hibernacula are located in riverbreak habitat in northeast Montana. This species is found in wooded and rocky areas; roost sites include hollow trees, caves, mines and buildings.
Long-legged bat (<i>Myotis volans</i>)	This species occurs throughout Montana, and they are active during mid-June to early September. They roost in trees (under thick bark), hollow trees, buildings, caves, and abandoned mines, and hibernate in caves. They inhabit montane coniferous forest (mountain forests) and riparian habitat (habitat along rivers and streams).
Meadow jumping mouse (<i>Zapus hudsonius</i>)	This species occurs in southeastern Montana. The meadow jumping mouse inhabits dense stands of tall grass and forbs (herbaceous flowering plants) in marshy areas, riparian areas, woody draws, and grassy upland slopes. They often favor sites bordered by small streams.

Species	Occurrence and Habitat
Northern long-eared bat (<i>Myotis septentrionalis</i>)	This species occurs infrequently in the northeastern corner of Montana, although many range maps for this species do not include Montana as part of their range at all (Bat Conservation International 2012, MNHP and MFWP 2012c). Their summer roosts are typically under tree bark and in buildings, and their winter hibernacula typically include moist caves and abandoned mines. See Section 3.8.3 for more information on this species.
Swift fox (<i>Vulpes velox</i>)	This species occurs in north central Montana. This species inhabits prairie habitats with a high density of small mammals (ground squirrels or prairie dogs), and burrows in sandy soil on high ground in open prairies and along fencerows. There are several records of this species along the northern, international border of Phillips and Valley counties, and this species occurs in the grasslands in Phillips County (Prellwitz 2012). See Section 3.8.5, below, for more information on this species habitat requirements and potential areas of occurrence in the proposed Project area.
Townsend's big-eared bat (<i>Corynorhinus townsendii</i>)	This species occurs throughout much of Montana. They roost and hibernate in caves and mines. There are no known roosts for this species in the proposed Project area, although this species likely forages in and travels through the Project area.
Birds	
Baird's sparrow (<i>Ammodramus bairdii</i>)	This species occurs throughout central and eastern Montana, in mixed-grass prairies, alfalfa fields, and fallow cropland. The Baird's sparrow breeds in early June to late July, and nests on the ground.
Bald eagle (<i>Haliaeetus leucocephalus</i>)	This species occurs throughout Montana. They nest and roost in large trees that are near water with abundant fish and waterfowl prey. Previous surveys conducted along the proposed Project ROW identified 2 nest sites and 3 winter roosts in Montana (see Section 3.8.5.2, South Dakota State Protected Species, for information on bald eagle survey results).
Black-crowned night-heron (<i>Nycticorax nycticorax</i>)	This species nests and migrates throughout Montana. They inhabit shallow marshes and other types of wetlands. They nest from May to July, generally on islands that can afford them protection from predators.
Black tern (<i>Chlidonias niger</i>)	This species occurs in perennial wetlands throughout Montana. They nest low in marshes, on floating vegetation mats, muskrat houses, or on the ground near water.
Bobolink (<i>Dolichonyx oryzivorus</i>)	This species nests and migrates throughout Montana. They inhabit native and agricultural grasslands, wet meadows, and fallow fields. They nest on the ground between late April and July.
Brewer's sparrow (<i>Spizella breweri</i>)	This species nests and migrates throughout Montana, in sagebrush steppe with high shrub cover and large patch size. They nest in big sagebrush from May through July.
Burrowing owl (<i>Athene cunicularia</i>)	This species nests and migrates throughout much of Montana. They nest from March to October in open grasslands with abandoned prairie dog, ground squirrel, or badger burrows.
Chestnut-collared longspur (<i>Calcarius ornatus</i>)	This species nests throughout central and eastern Montana. They nest from May through August in native mixed-grass prairie, and in short to medium grasses that have been recently grazed or mowed.
Dickcissel (<i>Spiza americana</i>)	This species nests throughout eastern Montana from late May to August. They nest in grasses, shrubs or trees in grasslands.
Ferruginous hawk (<i>Buteo regalis</i>)	This species migrates and nests throughout Montana. They nest in mixed grass prairie with greasewood and big sagebrush, on the ground, in shrubs, on rock outcrops, and in trees. Nesting typically occurs from April through August.
Franklin's gull (<i>Leucophaeus pipixcan</i>)	This species migrates through Montana primarily between April and October. The few known breeding areas for this species in Montana are in Phillips, Roosevelt, and Sheridan counties. The Franklin's gull nests colonially on large prairie marsh complexes over water in emergent cattails and bulrushes.

Species	Occurrence and Habitat
Golden eagle (<i>Aquila chrysaetos</i>)	This species migrates, nests and winters throughout Montana. They nest from March to August on rock outcrops, cliff ledges, and trees. They forage in the prairie, in sagebrush, and in open woodlands. Eight golden nests were identified along the proposed Project route, including two in Montana and six in South Dakota.
Greater sage-grouse (<i>Centrocercus urophasianus</i>)	This species occurs year-round in east, central and southwest Montana. They inhabit sagebrush habitat at elevations ranging from 4,000 to over 9,000 feet. See Section 3.8.3.2 for a more complete description of this species habitat requirements and occurrences in the proposed Project ROW.
LeConte's sparrow (<i>Ammodramus lecontei</i>)	This species breeds in the northeast and northwest corners of Montana from May to August. They nest and forage in moist meadows, marsh and bog edges in rushes, grass or sedges; they forage on insects and seeds.
Loggerhead shrike (<i>Lanius ludovicianus</i>)	This species breeds throughout most of Montana from mid-June to mid-July, in areas with a large component of shrubs and forbs.
Long-billed curlew (<i>Numenius americanus</i>)	This species breeds and migrates throughout Montana. They nest and forage in well-drained native grasslands, shrublands, and agricultural fields.
Marbled godwit (<i>Limosa fedoa</i>)	This species breeds east of continental divide and north of the Yellowstone River in Montana. They nest in short-grass prairie, pastures, marshes, and flooded plains, and forage on insects.
McCown's longspur (<i>Calcarius mccownii</i>)	This species breeds throughout Montana east of the Continental Divide. They nest and forage in short-grass prairie or heavily grazed mixed-grass prairie.
Mountain plover (<i>Charadrius montanus</i>)	This species breeds throughout central and eastern Montana, in short-grass prairies and prairie dog colonies. Most mountain plover nesting in Montana is concentrated south of the proposed Project area in southern Phillips and Valley counties (Andres and Stone 2009), but this species may nest in prairie dog towns along the Project route.
Nelson's (sharp-tailed) sparrow (<i>Ammodramus nelsoni</i>)	This species nests in Sheridan County, Montana in freshwater marshes in emergent vegetation.
Northern goshawk (<i>Accipiter gentilis</i>)	This species is a year-round Montana resident. They nest primarily in western Montana in mature conifer forests, and winter primarily in eastern Montana.
Peregrine falcon (<i>Falco peregrinus</i>)	This species is a year-round Montana resident and breeding resident April to September. They nest on ledges and cliffs, often near open habitats where they can hunt for prey.
Red-headed woodpecker (<i>Melanerpes erythrocephalus</i>)	This species breeds throughout central and eastern Montana and along the Missouri and Yellowstone rivers in May and June. They inhabit deciduous riparian forests (especially large cottonwood forests) and savannas.
Sage thrasher (<i>Oreoscoptes montanus</i>)	This species nests throughout central and eastern Montana from April through July. They nest on the ground or in sagebrush, and use sagebrush and shrubs during migration.
Sedge wren (<i>Cistothorus platensis</i>)	This species breeds in the northeast corner of Montana from May through August. They nest in wet sedge meadows and sedge marsh edges.
Sprague's pipit (<i>Anthus spragueii</i>)	This species breeds throughout central and eastern Montana from May to August. They nest on the ground in short-grass and mixed-grass prairie, wet meadows, and alkaline wetlands. See Section 3.8.2, Federally Protected and Candidate Species, for more information on this species habitat requirements and known/expected occurrence within the proposed Project ROW in Montana.
Swainson's hawk (<i>Buteo swainsoni</i>)	This species is a summer resident and a breeder throughout Montana during April to October. They typically nest between May and September in river bottoms, woody draws and shelterbelts.

Species	Occurrence and Habitat
Yellow-billed cuckoo (Eastern Distinct Population Segment) (<i>Coccyzus americanus</i>)	This species nests in the southern half of Montana in June and July, in trees in riparian forests and wooded draws.
Yellow rail (<i>Coturnicops noveboracensis</i>)	This species nests in the northeast corner of Montana from May through July, in marshes and wet meadows.
Reptiles and Amphibians	
Milksnake (<i>Lampropeltis triangulum</i>)	This species occurs sporadically in central and eastern Montana. There is only one record of this species in Dawson County, near the proposed Project route. They are active from May through October, and hibernate from November to March. They inhabit sandstone bluffs, rock outcrops, grasslands, and open ponderosa pine savanna.
Snapping turtle (<i>Chelydra serpentina</i>)	This species occurs in the lower Yellowstone River basin in eastern Montana, Milk River, and Missouri River, backwaters of large rivers, reservoirs, ponds, streams with permanent water and sandy or muddy bottoms. They nest from May to June on land.
Spiny-softshell (<i>Apalone spinifera</i>)	This species occurs in the Yellowstone River Basin in Montana, in large prairie rivers, and in slow-moving streams. They are active May through September, and nest in open areas in sand, gravel, and soft soil near water.
Western hog-nosed snake (<i>Heterodon nasicus</i>)	This species occurs in central and eastern Montana along major river systems and tributaries. They are active from May through October, and inhabit sagebrush grasslands with sandy soil.
Greater short-horned lizard (<i>Phrynosoma hernandesi</i>)	This species occurs throughout central and eastern Montana. They are active from April to October, and inhabit dry open forests, grasslands and sagebrush with sun-baked soil, ridges between coulees, and limestone outcrops.
Great Plains toad (<i>Bufo cognatus</i>)	This species occurs throughout central and eastern Montana, and inhabits grasslands near glacial potholes, stock ponds, irrigation ditches, and coulees. They breed in temporary pools flooded grasslands. They are active from May to September.
Northern leopard frog (<i>Rana pipiens</i>)	This species occurs throughout central and eastern Montana. They are active from March to November, and inhabit ponds, pools in intermittent streams, and wetlands.
Plains spadefoot (<i>Spea bombifrons</i>)	This species occurs throughout central and eastern Montana. They are active from May to August, and inhabit sagebrush-grasslands with soft sandy/gravelly soils near permanent or temporary water.
Fish	
Northern redbelly and finescale dace hybrid (<i>Phoxinus eos</i> and <i>Phoxinus neogaeus</i> hybrid)	This species occurs in the upper Missouri River and tributaries north of Missouri River in Montana, beaver ponds, bogs and clear streams, and slow-flowing creeks and ponds. They spawn in spring and early summer, and forage on diatoms, algae, zooplankton, insects.
Paddlefish (<i>Polyodon spathula</i>)	This species occurs in the Missouri and Yellowstone rivers in Montana, in quiet waters of large rivers or impoundments. They spawn on the gravel bars of large rivers during late spring and early summer high water.
Pearl dace (<i>Margariscus margarita</i>)	This species occurs in cool tributaries of the Missouri River including the Milk River, and Frenchman, Rock, and Willow creeks in Montana; they spawn in spring over gravel or sand.
Sauger (<i>Sander canadensis</i>)	Within Montana, this species is known to occur in the Missouri, Milk, and Yellowstone rivers, Frenchman Creek, and Boxelder Creek. They also occur in the muddy shallows of lakes and reservoirs. They spawn in the mainstem of large tributaries with bluff pools and rocky substrates.

Species	Occurrence and Habitat
Sturgeon chub (<i>Macrhybopsis gelida</i>)	This species occurs in the Missouri, Yellowstone, and Powder rivers, in turbid water with moderate to strong currents over bottoms ranging from rocks and gravel to coarse sand. They spawn from June through July. Sturgeon chubs occur in the Yellowstone, Powder, and Missouri Rivers and some of their tributaries in Montana.
Plants	
Bractless blazingstar [Bractless mentzelia] (<i>Mentzelia nuda</i>)	This species occurs in Dawson and Valley counties in Montana. They occur in sandy or gravelly soil of open hills and roadsides, and typically bloom in July.
Broadbeard beardtongue [Narrowleaf Penstemon] (<i>Penstemon angustifolius</i>)	This species occurs in grasslands on hills and slopes with sandy soil, and are often abundant in blowouts or sparsely-vegetated areas. They typically bloom from May through June. It is known to occur in Dawson and Fallon counties in Montana.
Persistent-sepal yellow- cress (<i>Rorippa calycina</i>)	This species occurs in McCone County, Montana. They occur in sparsely vegetated, moist sandy to muddy banks of streams, stock ponds, and man-made reservoirs near the high water line. They typically bloom from May through July.
Prairie phlox [Plains phlox] (<i>Phlox andicola</i>)	This species occurs in Dawson County, Montana, in sandy soils in grasslands and ponderosa pine woodland, often associated with sparsely vegetated blowouts and loose sand below sandstone outcrops. They typically bloom between May and early June.

3.8.5 State-Protected Species

All states crossed by the proposed Project route, except Montana, designate endangered and threatened species, and afford state protections to these species. Montana maintains a listing of species of concern. Those species that are listed in Montana and are also state-protected in other states are presented here, although species listed only as Montana species of concern are not discussed. Protections given to animals and plants are established within the statutes for each state. Further, each state crossed by the proposed Project route maintains a comprehensive wildlife conservation strategy (including a state wildlife action plan), as charged by Congress. These wildlife action plans identify the condition of each state’s wildlife and habitats (including low and declining populations), identify the challenges to these resources, and describe long-term conservation strategies. Table 3.8-3 lists state endangered and threatened species that have been identified through consultations with state resource agencies as potentially occurring along the proposed Project route. State-protected animals and plants that are also federally protected are discussed in Section 3.8.3. State-protected species potentially occurring along the proposed Project route include three mammals, seven birds, one reptile, eight fish, one invertebrate, and one plant.

Table 3.8-3 State Protected Animals and Plants Potentially Occurring along the Proposed Project Route

Species	Federal and BLM Status ^a	State Status and Occurrence				Comments
		MT	SD	NE	KS	
Mammals						
Black-footed ferret (<i>Mustela nigripes</i>)	E	SC	E	E	E	Inhabits prairie dog towns of the Central Plains grassland habitat, and feeds primarily on prairie dogs.

Species	Federal and BLM Status ^a	State Status and Occurrence				Comments
		MT	SD	NE	KS	
River otter (<i>Lontra canadensis</i>)			T	T		North America, uses aquatic and riparian habitats, burrows along shorelines, eats fish.
Swift fox (<i>Vulpes velox</i>)	BLM-S	SC	T	E		Central Plains, uses habitats with high densities of small mammal prey. Uses dens year-round, but will move frequently between dens when raising pups, as fleas can become a problem.
Birds						
Bald eagle (<i>Haliaeetus leucocephalus</i>)	DL BLM-S	SC	T		T	North America, breeds and winters in areas near water, eats fish and waterfowl; resident and migrant populations.
Eskimo curlew (<i>Numenius borealis</i>)	E		E	E	E	Inhabit grasslands of North America (summer) and South America (winter).
Interior least tern (<i>Sternula antillarum</i>)	E	SC	E	E	E	Inhabit barren to sparsely vegetated sandbars along rivers, sand and gravel pits, or lake and reservoir shorelines.
Peregrine falcon (<i>Falco peregrinus</i>)	DL BLM-S	SC	E			North America, nests on ledges, cliffs; eats birds, winters coastal proposed Project area, resident and migrant.
Piping plover (<i>Charadrius melodus</i>)	T	SC	T	T	T	Central Plains, inhabits sand and gravel bars and beaches along major rivers and around lakes, reservoirs, ponds, and alkali wetlands.
Whooping crane (<i>Grus americana</i>)	E	SC	E	E	E	Central United States and Canada, use a variety of habitats during migration, including a variety of croplands for feeding, and wetlands that are generally 10 acres or less for roosting. Breed in isolated marshes.
Reptiles						
Massasauga (<i>Sistrurus catenatus</i>)				T		Central United States, Great Lakes region; wet prairies, marshes, uplands; uses burrows, eats animals, short migrations.
Fish						
Blacknose shiner (<i>Notropis heterolepis</i>)			E	E		Northern United States; Keya Paha, Niobrara rivers and tributaries, Spring Creek, SD, NE; weedy lakes streams; eats insects.
Blackside darter (<i>Percina maculata</i>)					T	Central US; clear gravel or sand bottom streams, eats insects.
Finescale dace (<i>Phoxinus neogaeus</i>)			E	T		North United States; Keya Paha, Niobrara, South Fork Elkhorn rivers, Spring Creek, SD, NE; bogs, creeks, rivers, eats invertebrates.
Northern redbelly dace (<i>Phoxinus eos</i>)	BLM-S	SC	T	T		North United States; Upper Missouri River and tributaries, Frenchman Creek, Yellowstone River and tributaries east of the Powder River, MT; Keya Paha, Niobrara rivers and tributaries, Spring Creek, SD, NE; boggy lakes, streams; herbaceous.
Pallid sturgeon (<i>Scaphirhynchus albus</i>)	E	SC	E	E	E	Inhabit large, free-flowing, warm-water stream systems, where they live close to the bottom of the rivers, where there are sand and gravel bars.

Species	Federal and BLM Status ^a	State Status and Occurrence				Comments
		MT	SD	NE	KS	
Pearl dace (<i>Margariscus margarita</i>)	BLM-S	SC	T			North United States; Missouri River, Milk River, Rock Creek, Willow Creek, and Frenchman Creek, MT; Keya Paha tributaries, SD; bogs, clear streams, spawns on sand-gravel; omnivorous.
Sicklefin chub (<i>Macrhybopsis meeki</i>)		SC	E		E	Missouri River, MT, SD, NE, KS; Yellowstone, Milk rivers, MT; large warm rivers with gravel, sand; bottom feeder.
Sturgeon chub (<i>Macrhybopsis gelida</i>)	BLM-S	SC	T	E	T	Missouri River; Yellowstone and Powder Rivers, MT; Cheyenne and White rivers SD; large turbid rivers; bottom feeder.
Topeka shiner (<i>Notropis topeka</i>)	E			E	T	Occurs in portions of South Dakota, Minnesota, Kansas, Iowa, Missouri, and Nebraska, primarily in small prairie (or former prairie) streams in pools containing clear, clean water. Topeka shiner streams generally have clean gravel, rock, or sand bottoms.
Invertebrates						
American burying beetle (<i>Nicrophorus americanus</i>)	E			E	E	Inhabits grassland prairie, forest edge, and scrubland, in Arkansas, Kansas, Nebraska, Oklahoma, South Dakota, and Rhode Island.
Plants						
Small white lady's slipper (<i>Cypripedium candidum</i>)				T		North Central, Northeast United States; perennial orchid, mesic-to-wet native prairie, flowers May to June.

a (FC = Federal Candidate; DL = Federally delisted; BLM-S = BLM Sensitive; E = Endangered; T = Threatened; SC = Species of Concern)

3.8.5.1 Montana State Protected Species

Montana does not have a state endangered species act, but does maintain a list of species of concern. Most of these species are also listed by other states, the federal ESA, or by BLM as a sensitive species, and are discussed in the appropriate sections. Those species that are only listed as a species of concern by Montana are discussed in Appendix N, Supplemental Information for Compliance with MEPA.

3.8.5.2 South Dakota State Protected Species

The following South Dakota threatened and endangered species were considered in this Supplemental EIS, because their preferred habitat and range occurs or historically occurred within the proposed Project area. Note that those South Dakota threatened and endangered species that are also federally listed species are not discussed in this section, because they are discussed above in Section 3.8.3, Federally Protected and Candidate Species. Table 3.8-3, above, provides the full list of South Dakota threatened and endangered species that were considered in this Supplemental EIS, including those species that are also federally listed.

Mammals

River Otter

River otters (*Lontra canadensis*) are a South Dakota and Nebraska threatened species. River otters are adaptable and use a variety of habitat types, but require aquatic habitats. Although they frequent lakes and ponds, river otters typically live in marshes and along wooded rivers and streams with sloughs and backwater areas. Otters use dens in the ground that were previously built by beavers or other animals. Denning occurs during March to September. Most river otter mortality is related to human activity. In Nebraska, accidental trapping has been the largest known mortality factor for reintroduced animals. Habitat destruction, pesticide use, and pollutants also affect the species (NGPC 2009b). River otters are likely to occur throughout the proposed Project area along large rivers.

Swift Fox

The swift fox (*Vulpes velox*) is a South Dakota threatened species, a Nebraska endangered species, a Montana species of concern, and a BLM sensitive species. Historically, swift foxes were widely distributed throughout the central Great Plains. Swift foxes use open prairie and arid plain habitats, including areas intermixed with winter wheat fields. Swift foxes are thought to have been common on the eastern plains of Montana in the early 1900s but were believed to be exterminated in the state by 1969. Reintroductions of the swift fox on the Blackfoot Indian Reservation, Fort Peck Indian Reservation, and in southern Alberta and Saskatchewan from 1983 to 1991 are likely the source of expanding populations in Montana (MNHP 2009, Foresman 2001). Swift foxes create dens within burrows. A fox may dig a burrow or use a burrow made by other animals, usually in sandy soil on high ground in open prairies, along fencerows, and occasionally in plowed fields. Individuals may use several different dens throughout the year (NatureServe 2009).

The proposed Project route crosses swift fox range in Phillips, Valley, Dawson, Prairie, and Fallon counties in Montana (Kahn et al. 1997), and suitable habitat in Fallon and McCone counties in Montana. Montana Natural Heritage Program data indicate that there are several records of this species occurring in northern Phillips and Valley County within the last five years (MNHP and MFWP 2012b), and BLM has indicated that swift fox could be present near the proposed Project route in Montana, and the proposed Project route crosses several areas identified as recently occupied by swift fox. In particular, BLM expects the swift fox to occur along the proposed Project route in northern Phillips County, in the grasslands west of Frenchman Creek (Prellwitz 2012).

In South Dakota, the proposed Project route crosses through swift fox range in Haakon and Jones counties in South Dakota between the reintroduction sites of the Bad River Ranches (Turner Endangered Species Fund), Badlands National Park, and the Lower Brule Sioux Tribe Reservation (SDGFD 2009). In addition, there is suitable habitat for this species in Harding, Butte, Perkins, Meade, and Pennington counties in South Dakota (Kahn et al. 1997). South Dakota National Heritage Program (SDNHP) data indicate three swift fox records in Haakon County along the proposed Project route.

The proposed Project route would be outside of the known distribution of the swift fox in Nebraska (NGPC 2011).

Birds

Bald Eagle

Bald eagles occur throughout the United States and the proposed Project area. The bald eagle was removed from federal listing in 2007, but remains state-listed in South Dakota and Kansas as a threatened species. The bald eagle is federally protected under both the BGEPA and the MBTA. Bald eagles are associated with riparian or lacustrine areas for foraging and nesting. They generally nest and roost in large trees or snags with open crowns in areas that are relatively free of disturbance. Nesting territories are most often near open water with a prey base of fish and waterfowl. Bald eagles use upland areas to feed on small mammals and carrion, especially during the winter. Nests are typically within one mile of permanent water. Roost sites are an important habitat component for bald eagles and include live trees and snags that provide good visibility and that are located near nest sites or foraging areas.

Four active bald eagle nests were documented during raptor nest surveys for the proposed Project during April 2009: two in Montana (along the Yellowstone and Missouri rivers), and two in Nebraska. Five active bald eagle nests were documented during raptor nest surveys for the proposed Project during April 2010. Twelve bald eagle winter roost sites were identified during surveys for the proposed Project during February 2009. Winter roost sites were identified at three proposed river crossings in Montana (Yellowstone River, Missouri River, and Frenchman Reservoir); three proposed river crossings in South Dakota (White River, Cheyenne River, South Fork Moreau River); and six proposed river crossings in Nebraska (Platte River, Loup River, Cedar River, Dry Creek, Niobrara River, Keya Paha River). Surveys have not been conducted since the proposed Project was rerouted in Nebraska. There may be bald eagle summer roosting or winter nesting present along portions of the proposed Project route. As with most birds, bald eagles may change their summer roosting and winter nesting locations, or expand into new locations, so absence at a location one year does not guarantee absence at that location in subsequent years.

Peregrine Falcon

The peregrine falcon (*Falco peregrinus*) is a South Dakota endangered species, a Montana species of concern, and a BLM sensitive species. The peregrine falcon is a non-breeding resident, breeding resident, permanent resident, or migrant throughout the United States, primarily west of the proposed Project area, although non-breeding residents are found throughout the east and along the Gulf of Mexico coast. Two of the three recognized subspecies could occur within the proposed Project area: the American peregrine falcon (*Falco peregrinus anatum*) and the Arctic peregrine falcon (*Falco peregrinus tundrius*). Both subspecies were previously federally protected as endangered under the ESA but have been delisted. The American peregrine falcon nests across interior Alaska and across Canada south to Baja California and northern Mexico. The Arctic peregrine falcon breeds on the North American tundra and winters in Latin America from Cuba and Mexico south through Central and South America and along the Gulf Coast from Florida west to eastern Mexico. Peregrine falcons use open habitats near cliffs and mountains. Nesting habitat occurs on cliffs near an adequate prey base.

Fish

Blacknose Shiner

The blacknose shiner (*Notropis heterolepis*) is a South Dakota and Nebraska listed endangered species. The blacknose shiner requires clean, cool, well-oxygenated streams with abundant aquatic vegetation. It is found in areas swept by currents, island heads, and sandbars, and is intolerant of turbid water and pollution. Spawning occurs in Nebraska during the last week of June and in general, from spring to midsummer. The blacknose shiner feeds on small aquatic insects, crustaceans, and algae. It serves as a host for the cylindrical papershell freshwater mussel (*Anodontoidea ferussacianus*) (NatureServe 2009). The blacknose shiner is an important indicator of high water quality within pristine streams.

This minnow potentially occurs within suitable habitat in waterbodies that would be crossed by the proposed Project route in South Dakota and Nebraska. 2009 occurrence and habitat surveys did not detect this species, but identified two proposed Project route stream crossings with good habitat in South Dakota. Updated species surveys along the proposed Project route in Nebraska are planned for 2013, when streams with suitable habitat are flowing.

Finescale Dace

The finescale dace (*Phoxinus neogaeus*) is a South Dakota endangered and Nebraska threatened species. Populations of the finescale dace in South Dakota and Nebraska occur in small, isolated pools of water, and have been declining steadily since European settlement of this region over 100 years ago. Finescale dace can be found in sluggish, spring-fed streams with abundant vegetation and woody debris; the vegetation and cover provided by logs and brush supply shady areas for the dace to stay out of the sun, ambush prey, and avoid predators. They can also occur in small spring-fed lakes and bogs (Stasiak and Cunningham 2006). Perhaps the most optimal habitat for this species is a series of beaver bonds filled with a constant supply of cool groundwater. Finescale dace spawn in early spring from April to early June.

This species is associated with Niobrara, Loup and South Fork Elkhorn rivers in Nebraska, although their known range is west of the proposed Project route in Keya Paha, Nance, and Merrick counties (NGPC 2011).

In accordance with recommendations by the SDGFP and NGPC, field surveys of waterbodies identified as potentially containing finescale dace or habitat suitable for this minnow were conducted. No finescale dace were found during fall 2009 field surveys, although two locations contained habitat suitable for this species in South Dakota. Updated species surveys along the proposed Project route in Nebraska are planned for 2013, when streams with suitable habitat are flowing.

Northern Redbelly Dace

The northern redbelly dace (*Phoxinus eos*) is a South Dakota and Nebraska threatened species and a Montana species of concern. In addition, the northern redbelly dace—finescale dace hybrid is a BLM-sensitive species and a species of concern in Montana. It prefers sluggish, spring-fed streams with abundant vegetation and woody debris (Stasiak 2006). This minnow requires a constant supply of cool spring water that maintains sufficient oxygen levels during hot and dry summer conditions. During spawning, the northern redbelly dace becomes quite colorful,

reaching a maximum size of about 3 inches. In some locations in the northern United States, the northern redbelly dace hybridizes with its close relative, the finescale dace. The resulting hybrids are all females and produce female clones as offspring. The northern redbelly dace potentially occurs in: the Upper Missouri River and tributaries, including Frenchman Creek, and Yellowstone River and tributaries east of the Powder River, Montana; in tributaries of the Keya Paha River in South Dakota; and in tributaries of the Niobrara River and South Fork Elkhorn River in Nebraska.

Surveys of stream crossings identified as potentially containing the northern redbelly dace or its habitat as identified by the SDGFP and NGPC in 2009 did not detect this minnow, although two stream crossings contained good habitat for this species in South Dakota. Updated species surveys along the currently proposed Project route in Nebraska are planned for 2013, when streams with suitable habitat are flowing.

Pearl Dace

The pearl dace (*Margariscus margarita*) is a South Dakota threatened species, a Montana species of concern, and a BLM sensitive species. The pearl dace inhabits bog drainage streams, ponds, and small lakes, and is usually found over sand or gravel. Pearl dace spawn in clear water in weak or moderate currents (NatureServe 2009). They potentially occur in suitable habitat within the Missouri River, Milk River, Frenchman's Creek, Rock Creek, and Willow Creek in Montana and tributaries to the Keya Paha River in South Dakota that would be crossed by the proposed Project route. The pearl dace has been listed as a species of special concern in Montana and as threatened in South Dakota. Further, the BLM has listed this species as sensitive.

Surveys of waterbodies identified as potentially containing pearl dace or their habitat by the SDGFP and NGPC in 2009 found no pearl dace, although two proposed stream crossings in South Dakota contained suitable habitat.

Sicklefin Chub

The sicklefin chub (*Macrhybopsis meeki*) is a South Dakota endangered species, a Kansas endangered species, and a Montana species of concern. The sicklefin chub inhabits the shallows of warm large rivers that are continuously and heavily turbid, with strong currents over stable gravel and sand substrates (NatureServe 2009). The sicklefin chub potentially occurs in the Missouri, Milk and Yellowstone rivers in Montana and in the Cheyenne and White rivers in South Dakota. This species is not expected to be found in South Dakota along the proposed Project route (USGS 2006a).

Sturgeon Chub

The sturgeon chub (*Macrhybopsis gelida*) is a South Dakota and Kansas threatened species, a Nebraska endangered species, a Montana species of concern, and a BLM sensitive species. The sturgeon chub prefers large, turbid, sandy rivers over substrate of small gravel and coarse sand. It is often found in areas swept by currents, especially at the head of islands or exposed sandbars. Sturgeon chubs occur in the Yellowstone, Powder, and Missouri Rivers and some of their tributaries in Montana, Cheyenne and White Rivers in South Dakota, and the Platte River in Nebraska.

3.8.5.3 Nebraska State Protected Species

The following Nebraska threatened and endangered species were considered in this Supplemental EIS, because their preferred habitat and range currently or historically occurred in the proposed Project area. Note that those Nebraska threatened and endangered species that are also federally listed species are not discussed in this section, because they are discussed in Section 3.8.3, Federally Protected and Candidate Species (the full list of Nebraska-listed species considered, including those that are federally listed, are in Table 3.8-3, above).

Mammals

River Otter

The river otter is described above in Section 3.8.5.2, South Dakota State Protected Species. This species could occur in rivers throughout the proposed Project area.

Swift Fox

The swift fox is described above in Section 3.8.5.2, South Dakota State Protected Species. The proposed Project route would not cross the known distribution of the swift fox in Nebraska.

Reptiles

Massasauga

The massasauga (*Sistrurus catenatus*), or pygmy rattlesnake, is state listed as threatened in Nebraska. It lives in wet areas, including wet prairies, marshes, and low areas along rivers and lakes. In many areas, massasaugas also use adjacent uplands—including forest—during part of the year. They often hibernate in crayfish burrows, but they also may be found under logs and tree roots or in small mammal burrows. Unlike other rattlesnakes, massasaugas hibernate alone. Small mammal and crayfish burrows are used for winter hibernation. Females give birth in late July through early September. Movement within the home range occurs between suitable winter and summer habitats, sometimes spanning almost 2 miles. Most movement, however, occurs within 650 feet of their burrows. Peak activity occurs from about April or May through October. Suitable habitat is known to occur along the proposed Project route along waterbody shorelines within Jefferson County, Nebraska, in the southern portion of Nebraska.

Fish

Blacknose Shiner

A general description of the blacknose shiner and its habitat requirements are described above, in Section 3.8.5.2, South Dakota State Protected Species. Within the proposed Project area in Nebraska, this species is known to occur in Keya Paha County, which is the northernmost county in Nebraska that the proposed Project route would traverse (NGPC 2011). In accordance with recommendations by the NGPC, in 2009 biologists conducted occurrence and habitat surveys in tributaries to the Niobrara and South Fork Elkhorn rivers in Nebraska. They did not observe blacknose shiners, but they identified four proposed stream crossings containing marginally suitable habitat and one stream crossing with good habitat for this species. However, the

proposed Project route has been revised since the 2009 surveys, and surveys have not been conducted at the streams that would be crossed in these areas.

Finescale Dace

The finescale dace is described above, in Section 3.8.5.2, South Dakota State Protected Species. As mentioned in Section 3.8.5.2, in 2009 surveys for this species were conducted, but no occurrences were identified. Within Nebraska, this species was not identified in the original proposed Project area evaluated in the 2011 Final EIS, nor was any suitable habitat found for this species. However, the proposed route has been revised since the 2009 surveys, and surveys have not been conducted in these areas. Furthermore, this species is known to occur in Keya Paha County, which is the northernmost county in Nebraska that the proposed Project route would traverse (NGPC 2011).

Northern Redbelly Dace

A general description of the northern redbelly dace and its habitat requirements are described above, in Section 3.8.5.2, South Dakota State Protected Species. Within the Project area in Nebraska, this species is known to occur in Keya Paha County (NGPC 2011). This species was not detected during 2009 surveys in streams presumed to have suitable habitat for this species. However, the proposed route has been revised since the 2009 surveys, and these surveys have not been conducted at streams in these areas.

Sturgeon Chub

A general description of the sturgeon chub and its habitat requirements are described above, in Section 3.8.5.2, South Dakota State Protected Species. Within the proposed Project area, this species is known to occur in the Platte River (NGPC 2011).

Plants

Small White Lady's Slipper

The small white lady's slipper (*Cypripedium candidum*) is a Nebraska threatened species. It is found in wet prairies, mesic (dry) blacksoil prairie, wet blacksoil prairie, glacial till hill prairie, sedge meadow, calcareous (chalky) fens, and glades, generally with calcareous soils. It is a medium sized perennial orchid that flowers in Nebraska from mid-May through early June. This orchid maintains a symbiotic relationship with mycorrhiza fungi which assist the plant with seed germination and seedling growth through soil moisture and nutrient uptake. The small white lady's slipper could potentially occur within suitable habitat along the proposed Project route. Several counties that the proposed Project route would cross are presumed to have occurrences of this species, including Antelope, Boyd, Holt, Keya Paha, Nance, and Merrick counties in Nebraska (NGPC 2011).

3.8.5.4 Kansas State Protected Species

The proposed Project would require the construction of one pump station in Clay County, Kansas; and an existing pump station would be expanded in Butler County, Kansas, in order to transport the anticipated product volume through the associated pipeline at these locations. Thus, Kansas state-listed threatened and endangered species whose preferred habitat and range

currently or historically occurred in the proposed Project area are discussed below. Note that those Kansas threatened and endangered species that are also federally listed species are not discussed in this section, because they are discussed in Section 3.8.3, Federally Protected and Candidate Species. Table 3.8-3, above, provides the full list of Kansas threatened and endangered species considered in this Supplemental EIS, including those species that are also federally listed.

Birds

Bald Eagle

A general description of the bald eagle and its habitat requirements are described above, in Section 3.8.5.2, South Dakota State Protected Species. No bald eagles were identified during prior raptor surveys for the proposed Project, in Kansas. However, winter migrants occur near reservoirs and rivers throughout the state, and this species also nests in Kansas.

Fish

Blackside Darter

The blackside darter (*Percina maculata*) is a Kansas threatened species. It is a member of the Perch family and potentially occurs in creeks and small to medium rivers where it prefers quiet pools and pools with some current over gravel or sand bottoms (Page and Burr 1991). Blackside darters feed on benthic invertebrates and spawn in gravel pools greater than 1 foot deep; and they may migrate several miles between spawning and non-spawning habitats. In Kansas, it has historically occurred in Riley County, and there is designated critical habitat for this species in Wabaunsee County. The blackside darter is not expected to occur in either Clay or Butler counties, where the proposed Project pump stations would be (KDWPT 2012).

Sicklefin Chub

A general description of the sicklefin chub and its habitat requirements are described above, in Section 3.8.5.2, South Dakota State Protected Species. In Kansas, the sicklefin chub has historically occurred in the northeast corner of the state, east of where the proposed pump stations would be constructed/expanded as part of the proposed Project (KDWPT 2012).

Sturgeon Chub

General descriptions of the sturgeon chub and its habitat requirements are described above, in Section 3.8.5.2, South Dakota State Protected Species. This species is not known to occur in Butler or Clay counties (KDWPT 2012), where the proposed Project pump stations would be located.

3.8.6 Animals and Plants of Conservation Concern

This section discusses animals and plants, identified during consultations with agencies, that are of conservation concern and that potentially occur along the proposed Project route (Table 3.8-4). Many of these species are associated with woodland, wetland, or prairie habitats, which have been historically converted to agricultural use throughout the proposed Project area. The species of conservation concern have been identified and designated by federal and state wildlife

management agencies after review of abundance, population trends, distribution, number of protected sites, degree of threat to survival, suitable habitat trends, degree of knowledge about the species, and species life history (MFWP 2005, Schneider et al. 2005, SDGFP 2006, Wasson et al. 2005). These designations are intended to assist with conservation planning and maintenance of the natural heritage of each state.

Table 3.8-4 Animals and Plants of Conservation Concern Potentially Occurring along the Proposed Project ROW

Species	Occurrence and Habitat
Birds	
Golden eagle (<i>Aquila chrysaetos</i>)	Migrate, nest and winter throughout much of proposed Project area, nest March to August on rock outcrops, cliff ledges, trees; forage in prairie, sagebrush, and open woodlands on jackrabbits, ground squirrels, carrion, ungulate fawns, and small birds. Eight golden eagle nests were identified along the proposed Project route, including two in Montana and six in South Dakota.
Great blue heron (<i>Ardea herodias</i>)	Migrate, nest, and winter throughout proposed Project area; nests in large groups (rookeries) in forested wetlands riparian habitats, and freshwater and brackish marshes; eat invertebrates and fish. Several rookeries have been identified along the proposed Project route, including one in Montana and one in South Dakota. In addition, one rookery was identified along the previously proposed Project route through Nebraska, and rookeries may occur along the currently proposed route through Nebraska.
Raptors (except eagles)	Migrate, nest and winter throughout proposed Project area depending on species; nest on rock outcrops, cliff ledges, trees; forage in various habitats and small to medium size prey, and/or carrion.
Fish	
Plains topminnow (<i>Fundulus sciadicus</i>)	Missouri River drainages; clear, sandy to rocky, spring-fed streams, creeks, and medium to small rivers; in quiet pools, backwaters, overflow pools, usually near vegetation. Present at crossing at Lute Creek in SD. Suitable habitat in Lute and Buffalo Creek, Tripp County, SD; Keya Paha River, Spring Creek, Keya Paha County, NE; Elkhorn River, Holt Creek, Dry Creek, South Fork Elkhorn River, and two unknown streams, Holt County, NE.

3.8.7 Connected Actions

The proposed Project would also include several connected actions including: 1) the Bakken Marketlink Project; 2) the Big Bend to Witten 230-kV Transmission Line; and 3) Electrical Distribution Lines and Substations. These connected actions are described briefly here.

3.8.7.1 Bakken Marketlink Project

Construction and operation of the Bakken Marketlink Project would include approximately a 5-mile-long pipeline (route not yet determined) and three crude oil storage tanks and associated facilities near Baker, Montana, adjacent to the proposed Pump Station 14, and two crude oil storage tanks and associated facilities at the proposed Cushing tank farm in Cushing, Oklahoma, to store and deliver Bakken oil production from producers in North Dakota and Montana through the proposed Project pipeline. The known distribution of the greater sage-grouse and interior least tern would not overlap with pipelines or storage tanks proposed under this connected action. In addition, the Bakken Marketlink facilities near Baker would not likely affect the

whooping crane as this region is not within the whooping crane migration corridor. However, the Bakken Marketlink facilities would be constructed in a region used by Sprague's pipit and mountain plover. Additional federal-listed species, Montana species of concern, or BLM sensitive species may occur within the area where Bakken Marketlink Project activities would occur.

3.8.7.2 *Big Bend to Witten 240-kV Transmission Line*

The Big Bend to Witten 230-kV Transmission Line would provide upgrades to the power grid to support power requirements for pump stations in South Dakota. Federal and South Dakota listed species discussed above under Section 3.8.3, Federally Protected and Candidate Species, and Section 3.8.5.2, South Dakota State Protected Species, may occur where the transmission lines and associated poles/towers would be constructed.

3.8.7.3 *Electrical Distribution Lines and Substations*

The third connected action is associated with the electrical distribution lines and substations that would be required throughout the length of the proposed Project corridor to support pump stations and other integral Project-related ancillary facilities.

One active bald eagle nest was identified within 1 mile of the proposed power line route to proposed Pump Station 10 in Montana. Other federal- and state-listed species discussed in Sections 3.8.2 and 3.8.3, above, may occur where the electrical distribution lines and substations would be constructed under the proposed Project.

3.8.8 References

AECOM. See AECOM Environment.

AECOM Environment (AECOM). 2008a. TransCanada Keystone XL Project—USFWS/NCPC/ENSR Meeting Notes. Lincoln, Nebraska. May 5, 2008.

AECOM 2008b. TransCanada Keystone XL Project - USFWS/MFWP Meeting Notes. Helena, Montana. May 8, 2008.

_____. 2008c. TransCanada Keystone XL Project—USFWS/SDGFP Meeting Notes. Pierre, South Dakota. June 10, 2008.

_____. 2008d. Personal communication between C. Bessken (USFWS) and P. Lorenz (AECOM). June 11, 2008.

_____. 2009a. Personal communication between O. Bocanegra (USFWS) and D. Endriss (AECOM). April 28, 2009.

_____. 2009b. TransCanada Keystone XL Project—USFWS/MFWP Meeting Summary. Glasgow, Montana. February 3, 2009.

Andres, B.A., and K.L. Stone. 2009. Conservation Plan for the Mountain Plover (*Charadrius montanus*), Version 1.0. Manomet Center for Conservation Sciences, Manomet, Massachusetts.

- Ashton, D.E., and E.M. Dowd. 1991. Fragile legacy. Endangered, threatened and rare animals of South Dakota. Report No. 91-04. South Dakota Department of Game, Fish and Parks, Jamestown, ND: Northern Prairie Wildlife Research Center Online. <http://www.npwrc.usgs.gov/resource/wildlife/sdrare/index.htm>. Accessed October 18, 2012.
- Atkinson, S. J. and A. R. Dood. 2006. Montana Piping Plover Management Plan. Montana Department of Fish, Wildlife and Parks, Bozeman, Montana. 78 pp.
- Audubon. 2009. Eskimo Curlew (*Numenius borealis*). Available online at: <http://web1.audubon.org/science/species/watchlist/profile.php?speciesCode=esksur>. Accessed April 27, 2009.
- Austin, J.E. and A.L. Richert. 2001. A Comprehensive Review of the Observational and Site Evaluation Data of Migrant Whooping Cranes in the United States, 1943-99. U.S. Geological Survey, Northern Prairie Wildlife Research Center, Jamestown, North Dakota, and State Museum, University of Nebraska, Lincoln, Nebraska. 157 pp. Website: <http://www.npwrc.usgs.gov/resource/2003/wcdata/wcdata.htm>. (Version 01JUL03).
- Backlund, D.C. and G.M. Marrone. 1997. New records of the endangered American burying beetle, *Nicrophorus americanus* Olivier, (Coleoptera: Silphidae) in South Dakota. *The Coleopterists Bulletin* 51(1):53-58.
- Bat Conservation International. 2012. BCI Species Profiles –*Myotis septentrionalis*. <http://www.batcon.org/index.php/all-about-bats/species-profiles.html?task=detail&species=2306&country=43&state=57&family=all&limitstart=0>. Site accessed November 5, 2012.
- Braun, C.E., J.W. Connelly, and M. A. Schroeder. 2001. Seasonal habitat requirements for sage-grouse: spring, summer, fall, and winter. In: Sage-grouse habitat restoration symposium proceedings. June 4-7, 2001, Boise, Idaho. Proc. RMRS-P-38. Fort Collins, Colorado: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station. 130 pp.
- Bureau of Land Management. 2011. Instruction Memorandum No. 2012-043, Greater Sage-Grouse Interim Management Policies and Procedures (Interim Policy). December 22, 2011. http://www.blm.gov/wo/st/en/info/regulations/Instruction_Memos_and_Bulletins/national_instruction/2012/IM_2012-043.html. Site accessed November 30, 2012.
- Canadian Wildlife Service (CWS) and U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS). 2007. International recovery plan for the whooping crane. Ottawa: Recovery of Nationally Endangered Wildlife (RENEW), and USFWS, Albuquerque, New Mexico. 162 pp.
- CWS. See Canadian Wildlife Service.
- Foresman, K.R. 2001. The Wild Mammals of Montana. American Society of Mammalogists, Lawrence, Kansas. Special Publication No. 12. 278 pp.
- Fuller, D.B., and T.M. Haddix. 2012. Examination of pallid sturgeon use, migrations and spawning in Milk River and Missouri River below Fort Peck Dam during 2011. Report prepared for the U.S. Geological Survey, Columbia Environmental Research Center. Montana Fish, Wildlife and Parks, Fort Peck, MT.
- Gardner, W. 1994. Missouri River pallid sturgeon inventory. Montana Department of Fish, Wildlife, and Parks. Fed.Aid. Project F-46.

- Hoback, W. 2010. American Burying Beetle Habitat Assessment Model and Field Survey Results for Nebraska and Texas Along the Keystone XL Pipeline Project and Habitat Assessment for South Dakota. August 2010. Prepared for Keystone Pipeline Project.
- _____. 2012. Results of Survey for American Burying Beetle, *Nicrophorus americanus*, in Northern Keya Paha, Western Boyd, Eastern Holt, and Antelope Counties. August 2012. Prepared for Keystone Pipeline Project.
- Hof, J., C.H. Sieg, and M. Bevers. 1999. Spatial and temporal optimization in habitat placement for a threatened plant: The case of the western prairie fringed orchid. *Ecological Modeling* 115(1):61–75.
- Howe, M.A. 1987. Habitat use by migrating whooping cranes in the Aransas-wood Buffalo corridor. Pages 303-314 in J.C. Lewis, editor. *Proceedings of the 1985 International Crane Workshop*. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Grand Island, Nebraska.
- Johns, B.W., E.J. Woodsworth, and E.A. Driver. 1997. Habitat Use by Migrant Whooping Cranes in Saskatchewan. *Proceedings North American Crane Workshop* 7:123-131.
- Jones, S.L. 2010. Sprague’s Pipit (*Anthus spragueii*) conservation plan. U.S. Department of Interior, Fish and Wildlife Service, Washington, D.C. Available online at: <http://www.fws.gov/mountain-prairie/species/birds/spraguespipit/SpraguesJS2010r4.pdf>. Accessed: December 10, 2010.
- Jurzenski, J. and W.W. Hoback. 2010. Updated distribution of American burying beetle in Nebraska and predictive model of its occurrence. Prepared for USFWS. Kahn, R., Fox, L., Horner, P., Giddings, B., and C. Roy. 1997. Conservation assessment and conservation strategy for swift fox in the United States. Division of Wildlife, Denver, CO. 54 p.
- Kahn, R., Fox, L., Horner, P., Giddings, B., and C. Roy. 1997. Conservation assessment and conservation strategy for swift fox in the United States. Division of Wildlife, Denver, CO. 54 p.
- Kansas Department of Wildlife, Parks, and Tourism (KDWPT). 2012. Threatened and Endangered Species Range Maps. <http://www.kdwpt.state.ks.us/news/Services/Threatened-and-Endangered-Wildlife/Threatened-and-Endangered-Species/Range-Maps>. Site accessed October 20, 2012.
- Krentz, S. 1997. Summary report of work conducted by the Missouri River FWMAO on Missouri and Yellowstone Rivers—Pallid sturgeon Report MRFA 097-03, U.S.F.W.S., Bismarck, North Dakota.
- Liebelt, James. 1998. Lower Missouri River and Yellowstone River pallid sturgeon study. *Montana Fish, Wildlife and Parks*. 29 pp.
- MFWP. See Montana Fish, Wildlife & Parks.
- MNHP. See Montana Natural Heritage Program.
- Montana Fish, Wildlife & Parks (MFWP). 2005. Montana’s Comprehensive Fish and Wildlife Conservation Strategy. Montana fish Wildlife and Parks, 1420 East Sixth Avenue, Helena, MT. 658 pp.

- _____. 2009a. Montana's Threatened & Endangered Species. Available online at: <http://fwp.mt.gov/wildthings/default.html> Accessed July 30, 2009.
- Montana Natural Heritage Program (MNHP). 2008. Email response to data request from M. Miller, MNHP, to P. Lorenz, AECOM. July 1, 2008.
- _____. 2009. Montana Field Guide and Tracker Database. Available on line at: <http://mtnhp.org>. Accessed July 30, 2009.
- Montana Natural Heritage Program and Montana Fish, Wildlife and Parks (MNHP and MFWP). 2012a. Sprague's Pipit — *Anthus spragueii*. Montana Field Guide. http://fieldguide.mt.gov/detail_ABNLC12010.aspx . Accessed on October 31, 2012.
- _____. 2012b. Swift Fox — *Vulpes velox*. Montana Field Guide. http://FieldGuide.mt.gov/detail_AMAJA03030.aspx. Accessed on November 2, 2012.
- _____. 2012c. Northern Myotis — *Myotis septentrionalis*. Montana Field Guide. http://FieldGuide.mt.gov/detail_AMACC01150.aspx. Site accessed 2012 Nov 5.
- NatureServe. 2009. NatureServe Explorer. Available online at <http://www.natureserve.org/explorer>. Accessed November 2009.
- Nebraska Game and Parks Commission (NGPC). 2009a. Nebraska wildlife species: Black-footed ferret, a threatened and endangered species. Nebraska Game and Parks Commission, Lincoln, NE. Available at: <http://www.ngpc.state.ne.us/wildlife/ferret.asp>. Accessed July 15, 2009.
- _____. 2009b. Nebraska Wildlife Species—Otters. Available online at: <http://www.ngpc.state.ne.us/wildlife/otters.asp>.
- _____. 2011. Estimated Current Ranges of Threatened and Endangered Species: List of Species by County. Nebraska Natural Heritage Program and the Nebraska Game and Parks Commission, Lincoln, NE.
- NGPC. See Nebraska Game and Parks Commission.
- Page, L.M., and B.M. Burr. 1991. A Field Guide to Freshwater Fishes of North America, north of Mexico. Houghton Mifflin Company, Boston. 432 pp.
- Phillips, L. 2003. Pollination of Western Prairie Fringed Orchid, *Platanthera praeclara*: Implications for Restoration and Management. Restoration and Reclamation Review Student On-Line Journal (Hort 5015/5071). University of Minnesota, St. Paul, Minnesota (USA) Department of Horticultural Science.
- Prellwitz, Fritz. 2012. Personal communication with USFWS regarding the status of northern myotis (*Myotis septentrionalis*) and swift fox (*Vulpes velox*) near the Project area. October 11, 2012.
- Schneider, R., M. Humpert, K. Stoner, and G. Steinauer. 2005. The Nebraska Natural Legacy Project: A comprehensive wildlife conservation strategy. The Nebraska Game and Parks Commission, Lincoln, NE.

- South Dakota Department of Game, Fish, and Parks (SDGFP). 2006. South Dakota Comprehensive Wildlife Conservation Plan. South Dakota Department of Game, Fish and Parks, Pierre, Wildlife Division Report 2006-08. 261 pp.
- _____. 2008. Email response (2 e-mails) to data request from D. Backlund (SDGFP) to P. Lorenz (AECOM). South Dakota Natural Heritage Program. July 9, 2008.
- _____. 2009. Wildlife Diversity Program. Available online at: <http://www.sdgfp.info/Wildlife/index.htm>. Accessed July 30, 2009.
- Stasiak, R. 2006. Northern Redbelly Dace (*Phoxinus eos*): a technical conservation assessment. [Online]. USDA Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Region. Available: <http://www.fs.fed.us/r2/scp/assessments/northernredbellydace.pdf>. Accessed April 9, 2009.
- Stasiak, R. and G.R. Cunningham. 2006. Finescale Dace (*Phoxinus neogaeus*): a technical conservation assessment. [Online]. USDA Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Region. March 7. Available: <http://www.fs.fed.us/r2/projects/scp/assessments/finescaledace.pdf>. Accessed April 27, 2009.
- Tews, A. 1994. Pallid sturgeon and shovelnose sturgeon in the Missouri River from Fort Peck Dam to Lake Sakakawea and in the Yellowstone from Intake to its mouth. Montana Department of Fish, Wildlife and Parks. Helena, Montana.
- TransCanada Keystone Pipeline, LP. 2012. KXL Pipeline Centerline provided via shapefile. Received September 10, 2012.
- USFWS. See U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS). 1991. American Burying Beetle (*Nicrophorus americanus*) recovery plan. Coordinated through U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service New England Field Office. 81p.
- _____. 1993. Pallid Sturgeon Recovery Plan. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Bismarck, North Dakota. 55 pp.
- _____. 1994. Interior Least Tern (*Sterna antillarum*). <http://www.fws.gov/midwest/Endangered/birds/LEASTERN.pdf>. Site accessed October 31, 2012.
- _____. 1996. Western Prairie Fringed Orchid Recovery Plan (*Platanthera praeclara*). USFWS. Fort Snelling, Minnesota. vi + 101 pp.
- _____. 2000. Biological Opinion on operation of the Missouri River mainstem reservoir system. USFWS, Bismarck, North Dakota.
- _____. 2002. Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; Designation of Critical Habitat for the Northern Great Plains Breeding Population of the Piping Plover; Final Rule. Federal Register 67(176): 57638-57717.
- _____. 2003. Status of Gray Wolf Recovery, Weeks 3/28 to 4/04, 2003. Available online at: <http://www.fws.gov/mountain-prairie/species/mammals/wolf/WeeklyRpt03/wk04042003.htm>. Accessed October 31, 2012.
- _____. 2004. Whooping Crane Life History. http://ecos.fws.gov/docs/life_histories/B003.html. Site accessed October 31, 2012.

- _____. 2007. International recovery plan for the whooping crane (*Grus americana*) Third Revision. USFWS Southwest Region. 163p.
- _____. 2008a. Topeka shiner (*Notropis topeka*). Available online at: <http://www.fws.gov/mountain-prairie/species/fish/shiner/>. Accessed July 14, 2009.
- _____. 2008b. American burying beetle (*Nicrophorus americanus*). 5-Year Review: Summary and Evaluation. USFWS, Concord, New Hampshire. 53 pp.
- _____. 2008c. Black-footed ferret (*Mustela nigripes*), five-year status review: Summary and evaluation. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, South Dakota Field Office, Pierre, South Dakota. November 2008.
- _____. 2008d. USFWS—Ecological Services, Nebraska Field Office. Letter dated October 8, 2008.
- _____. 2009. Piping Plover (*Charadrius melodus*), 5-Year Review: Summary and Evaluation.
- _____. 2010a. Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; 12-Month Findings for Petitions to List the Greater Sage-Grouse (*Centrocercus urophasianus*) as Threatened or Endangered. Proposed Rule 50 CFR Part 17: 23 March 2010.
- _____. 2010b. Black-footed Ferret *Mustela Nigripes*, Fact Sheet. December 2010. <http://www.fws.gov/mountain-prairie/factsheets/Black-Footed-Ferret.pdf>. Site accessed Nov 20 2012.
- _____. 2011a. Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; Withdrawal of the Proposed Rule To List the Mountain Plover as Threatened. Federal Register 76(92):27756-27799.
- _____. 2011b. Gray wolf status in South Dakota. South Dakota Field Office. Last updated August 3, 2011. <http://www.fws.gov/southdakotafieldoffice/WOLF.HTM>. Site accessed Nov 7, 2012.
- _____. 2011c. Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; 90-day Finding on a Petition to List the Eastern Small-Footed Bat and the Northern Long-Eared Bat as Threatened or Endangered. June 29, 2011. Federal Register 76(125):38095-38106.
- _____. 2012a. Greater Sage-Grouse. <http://www.fws.gov/mountain-prairie/species/birds/sagegrouse/>. Site accessed October 30, 2012.
- _____. 2012b. Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; 12-Month Finding on a Petition to List the Platte River Caddisfly as Endangered or Threatened. <http://www.fws.gov/policy/library/2012/2012-21352.pdf>. Site accessed November 5, 2012.
- _____. 2012c. Blowout Penstemon (*Penstemon haydenii*) 5-Year Review: Summary and Evaluation. June 2012.
- _____. 2012d. Technical Assistance, TransCanada Keystone XL Pipeline Project, Montana, South Dakota, and Nebraska, Presidential Permit Application. September 28, 2012.
- U.S. Geological Survey (USGS). 2006a. Fragile Legacy, Endangered, Threatened & Rare Animals of South Dakota, Sicklefin Chub (*Hybopsis meeki*), August 3, 2006. Available

online at: <http://www.npwrc.usgs.gov/resource/wildlife/sdrare/species/hybomeek.htm>
(accessed October 2009).

_____. 2006b. North Dakota's Endangered and Threatened Species Western Prairie Fringed Orchid *Platanthera praeclara*. USGS Northern Prairie Wildlife Research Center. Internet website: <http://www.npwrc.usgs.gov/resource/wildlife/endanger/platprae.htm>. Accessed April 2007.

USGS. See U.S. Geological Survey.

Wasson, T., L. Yasui, K. Brunson, S. Amend, V. Ebert. 2005. A Future for Kansas Wildlife, Kansas' comprehensive Wildlife Conservation Strategy. Prepared by Dynamic Solutions, Inc. in cooperation with Kansas Department of Wildlife and Parks. 170 pp.

WESTECH. See WESTECH Environmental Services, Inc.

WESTECH Environmental Services, Inc. 2010. Summary of April 2010 aerial searches for greater sage-grouse leks, Keystone XL Pipeline Project, Steele City Segment (Montana and South Dakota). May 2010. Prepared for Trow Engineering consultants, Inc. by WESTECH Environmental Services, Inc., Helena, Montana. 9 pg.

_____. 2011. Summary of April 2011 Aerial Searches for Greater Sage-grouse Leks, Keystone XL Pipeline Project Steele City Segment (Montana and South Dakota). Tech. report for TransCanada Keystone Pipeline, LP.

Wildlife News. 2012. Yet Another Yellowstone Wolf Disperses to South Dakota. Internet website: <http://www.thewildlifeneeds.com/2012/05/16/yet-another-yellowstone-wolf-disperses-to-south-dakota/>. Accessed October 31, 2012.