




United States Department of State
Bureau of Oceans and International
Environmental and Scientific Affairs

Final Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement for the **Keystone XL Project** Volume VIII - Appendix H

January 2014

Applicant for Presidential Permit: TransCanada Keystone Pipeline, LP



Front cover photo sources in order of appearance top to bottom:

Whooping crane

Hagerty, Ryan. 2012. *Endangered Whooping Crane (Grus Americana)*. Photograph. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 23 February 2012. Website: <http://www.flickr.com/photos/usfwshq/6777481034/>.

Black-footed ferret

U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service. 2012. *Black-footed ferret*. Photograph. USFWS Headquarters. 3 July 2012. Website: <http://www.flickr.com/photos/usfwshq/7013874797/in/photolist-9Z7MXd-bFMWBP-bsoAvk-9DoXKC-bZh2uu/>.

Sage grouse

Rush, Kenneth. No Date. *Male Greater Sage Grouse Strutting at Hat Six Lek near Casper, Wyoming*. Photograph. Shutterstock, Image ID: 52631191. Website: <http://www.shutterstock.com/pic-52631191/stock-photo-male-greater-sage-grouse-strutting-at-hat-six-lek-near-casper-wyoming.html>.

American burying beetle

Backlund, Doug. No date. *Untitled [American Burying Beetle]*. Photograph. U.S Fish & Wildlife Service – South Dakota Field Office. Website: <http://www.fws.gov/southdakotafieldoffice/BEETLE.HTM>.

United States Department of State Final Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement

For the
KEYSTONE XL PROJECT

Applicant for Presidential Permit:
TransCanada Keystone Pipeline, LP

Volume VIII



Genevieve Walker
Project Manager
United States Department of State
Bureau of Oceans and International Environmental
and Scientific Affairs
2201 C Street NW, Room 2726
Washington, DC 20520

Cooperating Agencies

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE)
U.S. Department of Agriculture—Farm Service Agency (FSA)
U.S. Department of Agriculture—Natural Resource Conservation Service (NRCS)
U.S. Department of Agriculture—Rural Utilities Service (RUS)
U.S. Department of Energy (DOE)
U.S. Department of Interior—Bureau of Land Management (BLM)
U.S. Department of Interior—National Park Service (NPS)
U.S. Department of Interior—U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS)
U.S. Department of Transportation—Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration,
Office of Pipeline Safety (PHMSA)
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA)

Assisting Agencies

U.S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Reclamation (BOR)
Nebraska Department of Environmental Quality (NDEQ)
Various State and Local Agencies in Montana, South Dakota, Nebraska, and Kansas

January 2014

Volume I

- 1.0 Introduction
- 2.0 Description of the Proposed Project and Alternatives

Volume II

- 3.0 Affected Environment

Volume III

- 4.0 Environmental Consequences

Volume IV

- 5.0 Alternatives
- 6.0 List of Preparers
- 7.0 Distribution List—Final Supplemental EIS or Executive Summary
- 8.0 Index

Volume V

Comments and Responses (Part 1)

Volume VI

Comments and Responses (Part 2)

Volume VII

- Appendix A Governor Approval of the Keystone XL Project in Nebraska
- Appendix B Potential Releases and Pipeline Safety
- Appendix C Supplemental Information to Market Analysis
- Appendix D Waterbody Crossing Tables and Required Crossing Criteria for Reclamation Facilities
- Appendix E Amended Programmatic Agreement and Record of Consultation
- Appendix F Scoping Summary Report
- Appendix G Construction, Mitigation, and Reclamation Plan

Volume VIII

- Appendix H 2012 Biological Assessment, 2013 USFWS Biological Opinion, and Associated Documents

Volume IX

- Appendix I Spill Prevention, Control, and Countermeasure Plan; and Emergency Response Plan
- Appendix J Basin Electric Big Bend to Witten 230-kV Transmission Project Routing Report
- Appendix K Historical Pipeline Incident Analysis
- Appendix L Oil and Gas Wells within 1,320 ft of Proposed Right-of-Way
- Appendix M Soil Summary for Montana, South Dakota, and Nebraska

Volume X

- Appendix N Supplemental Information for Compliance with MEPA
- Appendix O Socioeconomics
- Appendix P Risk Assessment

Volume XI

- Appendix Q Crude Oil Material Safety Data Sheets
- Appendix R Construction/Reclamation Plans and Documentation
- Appendix S Pipeline Temperature Effects Study
- Appendix T Screening Level Oil Spill Modeling
- Appendix U Lifecycle Greenhouse Gas Emissions of Petroleum Products from WCSB Oil Sands Crudes Compared with Reference Crudes
- Appendix V Literature Review
- Appendix W Past, Present, and Reasonably Foreseeable Future Project Descriptions
- Appendix X Canadian Environmental Assessment Act and Canadian Regulatory Review of Keystone XL
- Appendix Y Estimated Criteria Pollutants, Noise, and GHG Emissions
- Appendix Z Compiled Mitigation Measures

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	INTRODUCTION.....	1-1
1.1	Background.....	1.1-1
1.1.1	Overview and Structure of the Final Supplemental EIS.....	1.1-7
1.1.2	References.....	1.1-8
1.2	Overview of Proposed Project.....	1.2-1
1.2.1	Proposed Project Delivery Amounts and Commitments.....	1.2-1
1.2.2	Project-Specific Special Conditions.....	1.2-2
1.2.3	References.....	1.2-2
1.3	Purpose and Need.....	1.3-1
1.3.1	Project Purpose and Need.....	1.3-1
1.3.2	Department of State Purpose and Need.....	1.3-2
1.3.3	Department of Interior—Bureau of Land Management Purpose and Need.....	1.3-3
1.3.4	Western Area Power Administration Purpose and Need.....	1.3-3
1.4	Market Analysis.....	1.4-1
1.4.1	Introduction and Executive Summary.....	1.4-1
1.4.1.1	Introduction.....	1.4-1
1.4.1.2	Methodological Overview.....	1.4-1
1.4.1.3	Summary of Analysis.....	1.4-2
1.4.1.4	Previous Analysis.....	1.4-9
1.4.2	Oil Market Conditions.....	1.4-11
1.4.2.1	Global Oil Market Context.....	1.4-11
1.4.2.2	U.S. Oil Market Overview.....	1.4-12
1.4.2.3	U.S. Crude Oil Production.....	1.4-14
1.4.2.4	U.S. Oil Consumption.....	1.4-16
1.4.2.5	U.S. Refining.....	1.4-19
1.4.2.6	Demand for Heavy Imported Crude.....	1.4-22
1.4.2.7	Oil Trade.....	1.4-25
1.4.2.8	Canadian Oil Production.....	1.4-28
1.4.2.9	Oil Sands Supply Costs.....	1.4-31
1.4.3	Crude Oil Transportation.....	1.4-44
1.4.3.1	Increases in Pipeline Capacity.....	1.4-46
1.4.3.2	Increases in Canadian Crude by Rail.....	1.4-52
1.4.3.3	Potential to Increase WCSB Crude by Rail.....	1.4-72

1.4.4	Updated Modeling	1.4-90
1.4.4.1	Introduction to Model Updates	1.4-91
1.4.4.2	Scenarios	1.4-92
1.4.4.3	Results	1.4-95
1.4.5	Conclusions	1.4-123
1.4.5.1	Prices vs. Supply Costs	1.4-123
1.4.5.2	Low Oil Prices Scenario	1.4-125
1.4.5.3	Transportation Cost Sensitivities	1.4-128
1.4.5.4	Implications for Production	1.4-131
1.4.6	Additional Issues in Market Outlook	1.4-138
1.4.6.1	Crude Price Differences and Gasoline Prices	1.4-138
1.4.6.2	Oil Exports from Keystone XL	1.4-140
1.4.7	References	1.4-141
1.5	Agency Participation	1.5-1
1.5.1	Federal Lead Agency—U.S. Department of State	1.5-1
1.5.2	Cooperating Agencies	1.5-2
1.5.2.1	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency	1.5-2
1.5.2.2	U.S. Department of Interior, Bureau of Land Management	1.5-2
1.5.2.3	U.S. Department of the Interior, National Park Service	1.5-3
1.5.2.4	U.S. Department of the Interior, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service	1.5-3
1.5.2.5	U.S. Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service	1.5-3
1.5.2.6	U.S. Department of Agriculture, Farm Service Agency	1.5-4
1.5.2.7	U.S. Department of Agriculture, Rural Utilities Service	1.5-4
1.5.2.8	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers	1.5-4
1.5.2.9	U.S. Department of Energy	1.5-5
1.5.2.10	U.S. Department of Transportation, Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration, Office of Pipeline Safety	1.5-6
1.5.3	Assisting Agencies and Other State Agencies	1.5-7
1.5.4	References	1.5-8
1.6	Tribal and SHPO Consultation	1.6-1
1.6.1	Tribal Consultation	1.6-1
1.6.2	SHPO Consultation	1.6-1
1.7	Environmental Review of the Canadian Portion of the Keystone XL Project	1.7-1

1.8	Preparation of Publication.....	1.8-1
1.8.1	Previous Keystone XL EIS Documents.....	1.8-1
1.8.1.1	Preparation of Draft EIS for the 2011 Final EIS Process	1.8-1
1.8.1.2	Preparation of Supplemental Draft EIS for the 2011 Final EIS Process	1.8-2
1.8.1.3	Preparation of the 2011 Final EIS.....	1.8-2
1.8.2	Current Supplemental EIS Process	1.8-2
1.9	Permits, Approvals, and Regulatory Requirements.....	1.9-1
2.0	DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPOSED PROJECT AND ALTERNATIVES	2-1
2.1	Overview of the Proposed Project	2.1-1
2.1.1	Pipeline Route.....	2.1-2
2.1.2	Land Requirements	2.1-17
2.1.3	Borrow Material Requirements.....	2.1-18
2.1.4	Aboveground Facilities.....	2.1-20
2.1.4.1	Pump Stations	2.1-23
2.1.4.2	Pigging Facilities	2.1-24
2.1.4.3	Densitometer Facilities	2.1-24
2.1.4.4	Mainline Valves	2.1-26
2.1.5	Ancillary Facilities.....	2.1-27
2.1.5.1	Additional Temporary Workspace Areas	2.1-27
2.1.5.2	Pipe Yards and Contractor Yards	2.1-28
2.1.5.3	Fuel Transfer Stations.....	2.1-30
2.1.5.4	Construction Camps.....	2.1-31
2.1.6	Access Roads	2.1-35
2.1.6.1	Development of Access Roads	2.1-35
2.1.6.2	Roadway Maintenance, Repair, and Safety	2.1-36
2.1.7	Pipeline System Design and Construction Procedures	2.1-37
2.1.7.1	Pipeline Design	2.1-39
2.1.7.2	Pipeline Construction Procedures	2.1-42
2.1.7.3	Aboveground and Ancillary Facilities Construction Procedures.....	2.1-56
2.1.8	Special Pipeline Construction Procedures	2.1-58
2.1.8.1	Road, Highway, and Railroad Crossings	2.1-58
2.1.8.2	Pipeline, Utility, and Other Buried Feature Crossings	2.1-60
2.1.8.3	Steep Terrain.....	2.1-60
2.1.8.4	Unstable Soils	2.1-61
2.1.8.5	Ripping.....	2.1-61
2.1.8.6	Construction Near Structures.....	2.1-62
2.1.8.7	Fences and Grazing.....	2.1-62

2.1.9	Waterbody Crossings	2.1-63
2.1.9.1	Open-Cut Crossing Methods.....	2.1-63
2.1.9.2	Horizontal Directional Drilling Method	2.1-66
2.1.9.3	Wetland Crossings	2.1-67
2.1.10	Construction Schedule, Workforce, and Environmental Inspection.....	2.1-69
2.1.10.1	Schedule and Workforce.....	2.1-69
2.1.10.2	Environmental Inspection.....	2.1-70
2.1.11	Operations and Maintenance.....	2.1-70
2.1.11.1	Normal Operations and Routine Maintenance.....	2.1-70
2.1.11.2	Abnormal Operations.....	2.1-72
2.1.12	Connected Actions	2.1-80
2.1.12.1	Bakken Marketlink Project	2.1-81
2.1.12.2	Big Bend to Witten 230-kV Transmission Line	2.1-81
2.1.12.3	Electrical Distribution Lines and Substations.....	2.1-89
2.1.13	Proposed Project Decommissioning	2.1-94
2.1.13.1	Proposed Project Life.....	2.1-94
2.1.13.2	Decommissioning	2.1-94
2.1.14	References.....	2.1-96
2.2	Description of Alternatives	2.2-1
2.2.1	Introduction.....	2.2-1
2.2.2	Overview of Alternatives.....	2.2-1
2.2.2.1	No Action Alternative.....	2.2-1
2.2.2.2	Major Pipeline Route Alternatives.....	2.2-2
2.2.2.3	Other Alternatives Considered but Eliminated from Detailed Analysis in this Final Supplemental EIS.....	2.2-3
2.2.3	No Action Alternative.....	2.2-4
2.2.3.1	Market Effects that Influence the No Action Alternative.....	2.2-4
2.2.3.2	Identification and Screening of No Action Alternative Scenarios.....	2.2-6
2.2.4	No Action Alternative Scenario Descriptions.....	2.2-11
2.2.4.1	Rail/Pipeline Scenario.....	2.2-12
2.2.4.2	Rail/Tanker Scenario	2.2-21
2.2.4.3	Rail Direct to Gulf Coast Scenario	2.2-29
2.2.4.4	Scenarios Considered but Eliminated from Detailed Analysis.....	2.2-33
2.2.5	Major Pipeline Route Alternatives.....	2.2-46
2.2.5.1	Screening of Major Route Alternatives	2.2-49
2.2.5.2	2011 Steele City Alternative.....	2.2-63
2.2.5.3	I-90 Corridor Alternative	2.2-64
2.2.5.4	Steele City Segment—A1A Alternative	2.2-71

2.2.6	Other Alternatives Considered but Eliminated from Detailed Analysis in this Final Supplemental EIS	2.2-72
2.2.6.1	Route Variations	2.2-72
2.2.6.2	Alternative Pipeline Design	2.2-72
2.2.7	Summary	2.2-74
2.2.8	References	2.2-75
3.0	AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT	3-1
3.1	Geology	3.1-1
3.1.1	Introduction	3.1-1
3.1.2	Environmental Setting	3.1-3
3.1.2.1	Geological Resources	3.1-3
3.1.2.2	Paleontological Resources	3.1-10
3.1.2.3	Potential Fossil-Bearing Geologic Formations	3.1-10
3.1.2.4	Fossil Fuel and Mineral Resources	3.1-24
3.1.2.5	Geologic Hazards	3.1-25
3.1.3	Connected Actions	3.1-32
3.1.3.1	Bakken Marketlink Project	3.1-32
3.1.3.2	Big Bend to Witten 230-kV Transmission Line	3.1-35
3.1.3.3	Electrical Distribution Lines and Substations	3.1-35
3.1.4	References	3.1-36
3.2	Soils	3.2-1
3.2.1	Introduction	3.2-1
3.2.2	Environmental Setting	3.2-2
3.2.2.1	Montana	3.2-3
3.2.2.2	South Dakota	3.2-5
3.2.2.3	Nebraska	3.2-5
3.2.2.4	Kansas	3.2-6
3.2.2.5	North Dakota	3.2-6
3.2.3	Connected Actions	3.2-11
3.2.3.1	Bakken Marketlink Project	3.2-11
3.2.3.2	Big Bend to Witten 230-kV Transmission Line	3.2-11
3.2.3.3	Electrical Distribution Lines and Substations	3.2-11
3.2.4	References	3.2-12

3.3	Water Resources	3.3-1
3.3.1	Introduction.....	3.3-1
3.3.2	Groundwater	3.3-6
3.3.2.1	Hydrogeologic Setting	3.3-6
3.3.2.2	Proposed Pipeline Area Hydrogeologic Conditions	3.3-13
3.3.3	Surface Water.....	3.3-31
3.3.3.1	Montana Surface Water	3.3-32
3.3.3.2	South Dakota Surface Water.....	3.3-37
3.3.3.3	Nebraska Surface Water	3.3-42
3.3.4	Floodplains.....	3.3-49
3.3.5	Connected Actions	3.3-52
3.3.5.1	Bakken Marketlink Project	3.3-53
3.3.5.2	Big Bend to Witten 230-kV Transmission Line	3.3-53
3.3.5.3	Electrical Distribution Lines and Substations.....	3.3-53
3.3.6	References.....	3.3-53
3.4	Wetlands	3.4-1
3.4.1	Introduction.....	3.4-1
3.4.2	Environmental Setting	3.4-2
3.4.2.1	Montana	3.4-4
3.4.2.2	North Dakota.....	3.4-6
3.4.2.3	South Dakota.....	3.4-6
3.4.2.4	Nebraska	3.4-8
3.4.2.5	Kansas.....	3.4-8
3.4.3	Wetlands of Special Concern or Value.....	3.4-10
3.4.3.1	Sensitive Wetland Areas	3.4-10
3.4.3.2	Protected Wetlands	3.4-11
3.4.3.3	Important Habitat for Wildlife and Threatened/Endangered Species.....	3.4-13
3.4.4	Federal and State Regulatory Setting.....	3.4-13
3.4.5	Connected Actions	3.4-18
3.4.5.1	Bakken Marketlink Project	3.4-19
3.4.5.2	Big Bend to Witten 230-kV Transmission Project	3.4-19
3.4.5.3	Electrical Distribution Lines and Substations.....	3.4-19
3.4.6	References.....	3.4-19
3.5	Terrestrial Vegetation	3.5-1
3.5.1	Introduction.....	3.5-1
3.5.2	Ecoregions.....	3.5-2
3.5.3	General Vegetation Resources	3.5-15
3.5.4	Biologically Unique Landscapes and Vegetation Communities of Conservation Concern.....	3.5-20

3.5.4.1	Native Grasslands	3.5-21
3.5.4.2	Rainwater Basin	3.5-22
3.5.4.3	Sagebrush Steppe	3.5-22
3.5.4.4	Riparian Habitats and Bottomland Hardwood	3.5-22
3.5.4.5	Forest Communities	3.5-29
3.5.4.6	Traditionally Used Native Plants	3.5-29
3.5.5	Wetland and Conservation Easements	3.5-30
3.5.6	Noxious Weeds	3.5-30
3.5.7	Connected Actions	3.5-33
3.5.7.1	Bakken Marketlink Project	3.5-33
3.5.7.2	Big Bend to Witten 230-kV Transmission Line	3.5-33
3.5.7.3	Electrical Distribution Lines and Substations	3.5-34
3.5.8	References	3.5-34
3.6	Wildlife	3.6-1
3.6.1	Introduction	3.6-1
3.6.2	Environmental Setting	3.6-2
3.6.2.1	Big Game Animals	3.6-4
3.6.2.2	Small and Medium Game Animals	3.6-5
3.6.2.3	Waterfowl and Game Birds	3.6-6
3.6.2.4	Non-Game Animals	3.6-9
3.6.3	Traditionally Used Wildlife	3.6-19
3.6.4	Connected Actions	3.6-19
3.6.4.1	Bakken Marketlink Project	3.6-19
3.6.4.2	Big Bend to Witten 230-kV Transmission Project	3.6-19
3.6.4.3	Electrical Distribution Lines and Substations	3.6-20
3.6.5	References	3.6-20
3.7	Fisheries	3.7-1
3.7.1	Introduction	3.7-1
3.7.2	Environmental Setting	3.7-3
3.7.2.1	Fisheries Resources	3.7-5
3.7.2.2	Types of Fisheries Affected	3.7-9
3.7.3	Connected Actions	3.7-13
3.7.3.1	Bakken Marketlink Project	3.7-13
3.7.3.2	Big Bend to Witten 230-kV Transmission Line	3.7-14
3.7.3.3	Electrical Distribution Lines and Substations	3.7-14
3.7.4	References	3.7-14

3.8	Threatened and Endangered Species and Species of Conservation Concern	3.8-1
3.8.1	Introduction.....	3.8-1
3.8.2	Regulatory Framework	3.8-2
3.8.2.1	Federal Regulations	3.8-2
3.8.2.2	State Regulations	3.8-4
3.8.3	Federally Protected, Proposed, and Candidate Species	3.8-5
3.8.3.1	Federally Protected and Proposed Mammals.....	3.8-7
3.8.3.2	Federally Protected and Candidate Birds.....	3.8-11
3.8.3.3	Federally Protected Fish	3.8-19
3.8.3.4	Federally Protected Invertebrates	3.8-20
3.8.3.5	Federally Protected Plants.....	3.8-23
3.8.4	Bureau of Land Management Sensitive Animals and Plants.....	3.8-25
3.8.5	State-Protected Species.....	3.8-29
3.8.5.1	Mammals.....	3.8-33
3.8.5.2	Birds.....	3.8-34
3.8.5.3	Reptiles	3.8-36
3.8.5.4	Fish.....	3.8-36
3.8.5.5	Plants.....	3.8-39
3.8.6	Animals and Plants of Conservation Concern	3.8-39
3.8.7	Connected Actions	3.8-40
3.8.7.1	Bakken Marketlink Project	3.8-40
3.8.7.2	Big Bend to Witten 240-kV Transmission Line	3.8-41
3.8.7.3	Electrical Distribution Lines and Substations.....	3.8-41
3.8.8	References.....	3.8-41
3.9	Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources	3.9-1
3.9.1	Introduction.....	3.9-1
3.9.2	Environmental Setting	3.9-3
3.9.2.1	Land Ownership.....	3.9-3
3.9.2.2	Land Use	3.9-3
3.9.2.3	Conservation Programs.....	3.9-5
3.9.2.4	Visual Resources.....	3.9-9
3.9.3	Connected Actions	3.9-12
3.9.3.1	Bakken Marketlink Project	3.9-12
3.9.3.2	Big Bend to Witten 230-kV Transmission Line	3.9-12
3.9.3.3	Electrical Distribution Lines and Substations.....	3.9-14
3.9.4	References.....	3.9-16

3.10	Socioeconomics	3.10-1
3.10.1	Introduction.....	3.10-1
3.10.2	Socioeconomic Setting.....	3.10-6
3.10.2.1	Population	3.10-13
3.10.2.2	Housing.....	3.10-16
3.10.2.3	Local Economic Activity	3.10-18
3.10.2.4	Environmental Justice.....	3.10-31
3.10.2.5	Public Services, Tax Revenues, and Property Values	3.10-39
3.10.2.6	Traffic and Transportation	3.10-45
3.10.3	Connected Actions.....	3.10-49
3.10.3.1	Bakken Marketlink Project	3.10-49
3.10.3.2	Big Bend to Witten 230-kV Transmission Line	3.10-50
3.10.3.3	Electrical Distribution Lines and Substations.....	3.10-51
3.10.4	References.....	3.10-55
3.11	Cultural Resources	3.11-1
3.11.1	Introduction.....	3.11-1
3.11.2	Regulatory Framework	3.11-3
3.11.2.1	Section 106 National Historic Preservation Act.....	3.11-3
3.11.2.2	National Register of Historic Places	3.11-6
3.11.2.3	Properties of Religious and Cultural Significance (Including TCPs).....	3.11-7
3.11.2.4	Archaeological Resources Protection Act, Native American Graves Protection and Repatriation Act, and American Indian Religious Freedom Act.....	3.11-8
3.11.3	Cultural Setting.....	3.11-9
3.11.3.1	Cultural Context.....	3.11-9
3.11.3.2	Area of Potential Effect	3.11-10
3.11.3.3	Cultural Resources Surveys	3.11-11
3.11.3.4	Programmatic Agreement	3.11-34
3.11.4	Consultation	3.11-35
3.11.4.1	Introduction.....	3.11-35
3.11.4.2	Federal and State Agency Consultation	3.11-36
3.11.4.3	Tribal Consultation	3.11-36
3.11.5	Public Involvement	3.11-43
3.11.6	Unanticipated Discovery Plans	3.11-43
3.11.7	Tribal Monitoring Plan	3.11-44
3.11.8	Connected Actions.....	3.11-44
3.11.8.1	Bakken Marketlink Project	3.11-44
3.11.8.2	Big Bend to Witten 230-kV Transmission Line	3.11-44
3.11.8.3	Electrical Distribution Lines and Substations.....	3.11-45
3.11.9	References.....	3.11-46

3.12	Air Quality and Noise	3.12-1
3.12.1	Introduction	3.12-1
3.12.2	Air Quality	3.12-3
3.12.2.1	Environmental Setting	3.12-3
3.12.2.2	Regulatory Requirements.....	3.12-8
3.12.3	Noise	3.12-22
3.12.3.1	Environmental Setting	3.12-22
3.12.3.2	Regulatory Requirements.....	3.12-26
3.12.4	Connected Actions	3.12-30
3.12.4.1	Bakken Marketlink Project	3.12-30
3.12.4.2	Big Bend to Witten 230-kV Transmission Line	3.12-30
3.12.4.3	Electrical Distribution Lines and Substations.....	3.12-31
3.12.5	References.....	3.12-31
3.13	Potential Releases	3.13-1
3.13.1	Introduction.....	3.13-1
3.13.2	Crude Oil Characteristics	3.13-5
3.13.3	General Description of Proposed Pipeline Transported Crude Oils	3.13-6
3.13.3.1	Synthetic Crude Oil.....	3.13-7
3.13.3.2	Dilbit	3.13-7
3.13.3.3	Bakken Shale Oil	3.13-9
3.13.3.4	Summary of SCO, Dilbit, and Bakken Shale Oil Characteristics.....	3.13-9
3.13.3.5	Dilbit vs. Crude Oil.....	3.13-9
3.13.3.6	Flammability and Explosion Potential.....	3.13-13
3.13.3.7	Acidity and Corrosivity Potential	3.13-14
3.13.3.8	Pipeline and Component Integrity Threats	3.13-15
3.13.3.9	Time-Dependent Threats	3.13-17
3.13.3.10	Stable Threats.....	3.13-21
3.13.3.11	Time-Independent Threats	3.13-23
3.13.3.12	Potential Spill Sources	3.13-25
3.13.4	Potential Spill Receptors.....	3.13-26
3.13.4.1	High Consequence Areas	3.13-28
3.13.4.2	Other Resources	3.13-32
3.13.5	Spill Magnitudes	3.13-32
3.13.5.1	Small Spills	3.13-32
3.13.5.2	Medium Spills.....	3.13-33
3.13.5.3	Large Spills	3.13-33

3.13.6	Connected Actions	3.13-34
3.13.6.1	The Bakken Marketlink Project	3.13-34
3.13.6.2	The Big Bend to Witten 230-kV Transmission Line	3.13-35
3.13.6.3	Electrical Distribution Lines and Substations	3.13-35
3.13.7	References	3.13-35
4.0	ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES	4-1
4.1	Geology	4.1-1
4.1.1	Introduction	4.1-1
4.1.2	Impact Assessment Methodology	4.1-3
4.1.3	Potential Impacts	4.1-4
4.1.3.1	Geological Resources	4.1-4
4.1.3.2	Paleontological Resources	4.1-6
4.1.3.3	Mineral and Fossil Fuel Resources	4.1-8
4.1.3.4	Geologic Hazards	4.1-9
4.1.4	Additional Mitigation	4.1-10
4.1.5	Connected Actions	4.1-11
4.1.5.1	Bakken Marketlink Project	4.1-11
4.1.5.2	Big Bend to Witten 230-kV Transmission Line	4.1-11
4.1.5.3	Electrical Distribution Lines and Substations	4.1-11
4.1.6	References	4.1-11
4.2	Soils	4.2-1
4.2.1	Introduction	4.2-1
4.2.2	Impact Assessment Methodology	4.2-4
4.2.3	Potential Impacts	4.2-4
4.2.3.1	Construction Impacts	4.2-4
4.2.3.2	Operation Impacts	4.2-11
4.2.4	Additional Mitigation	4.2-13
4.2.4.1	Construction	4.2-14
4.2.4.2	Operation	4.2-14
4.2.5	Connected Actions	4.2-14
4.2.5.1	Bakken Marketlink Project	4.2-14
4.2.5.2	Big Bend to Witten 230-kV Transmission Line	4.2-14
4.2.5.3	Electrical Distribution Lines and Substations	4.2-15
4.2.6	References	4.2-15

4.3	Water Resources	4.3-1
4.3.1	Introduction.....	4.3-1
4.3.2	Impact Assessment Methodology.....	4.3-5
4.3.2.1	Groundwater	4.3-5
4.3.2.2	Surface Water.....	4.3-7
4.3.3	Potential Impacts.....	4.3-8
4.3.3.1	Groundwater	4.3-8
4.3.3.2	Surface Water.....	4.3-18
4.3.3.3	Operational-Related Impacts	4.3-30
4.3.3.4	Floodplains.....	4.3-30
4.3.4	Additional Mitigation.....	4.3-32
4.3.5	Connected Actions.....	4.3-33
4.3.5.1	Bakken Marketlink Project.....	4.3-33
4.3.5.2	Big Bend to Witten 230-kV Transmission Line	4.3-34
4.3.5.3	Electrical Distribution Lines and Substations.....	4.3-35
4.3.6	References.....	4.3-36
4.4	Wetlands	4.4-1
4.4.1	Introduction.....	4.4-1
4.4.2	Impact Assessment Methodology.....	4.4-4
4.4.3	Potential Wetland Impacts	4.4-7
4.4.4	Additional Mitigation.....	4.4-18
4.4.5	Connected Actions.....	4.4-21
4.4.5.1	Bakken Marketlink Project.....	4.4-21
4.4.5.2	Big Bend to Witten 230- kV Transmission Line	4.4-21
4.4.5.3	Electrical Distribution Lines and Substations.....	4.4-22
4.4.6	References.....	4.4-24
4.5	Terrestrial Vegetation	4.5-1
4.5.1	Introduction.....	4.5-1
4.5.2	Impact Assessment Methodology.....	4.5-4
4.5.3	General Vegetation Impacts.....	4.5-5
4.5.4	Potential Impacts to Biologically Unique Landscapes and Vegetation Communities of Conservation Concern.....	4.5-14
4.5.5	Additional Mitigation.....	4.5-20
4.5.6	Connected Actions.....	4.5-20
4.5.6.1	Bakken Marketlink Project.....	4.5-20
4.5.6.2	Big Bend to Witten 230-kV Transmission Line	4.5-21
4.5.6.3	Electrical Distribution Lines and Substations.....	4.5-22
4.5.7	References.....	4.5-24

4.6	Wildlife	4.6-1
4.6.1	Introduction	4.6-1
4.6.2	Impact Assessment Methodology	4.6-2
4.6.3	Potential Impacts	4.6-3
4.6.3.1	Big Game Animals	4.6-7
4.6.3.2	Small and Medium Game Animals	4.6-8
4.6.3.3	Waterfowl and Game Birds	4.6-9
4.6.3.4	Non-Game Animals	4.6-9
4.6.3.5	Mitigation Measures	4.6-15
4.6.4	Additional Mitigation	4.6-18
4.6.5	Connected Actions	4.6-18
4.6.5.1	Bakken Marketlink Project	4.6-18
4.6.5.2	Big Bend to Witten 230-kV Transmission Line	4.6-18
4.6.5.3	Electrical Distribution Lines and Substations	4.6-19
4.6.6	References	4.6-21
4.7	Fisheries	4.7-1
4.7.1	Introduction	4.7-1
4.7.2	Impact Assessment Methodology	4.7-4
4.7.3	Potential Impacts	4.7-4
4.7.3.1	Introduction of Invasive/Non-Native Species	4.7-4
4.7.3.2	Construction Impacts	4.7-5
4.7.3.3	Proposed Project Operational Impacts	4.7-15
4.7.4	Additional Mitigation	4.7-16
4.7.5	Connected Actions	4.7-16
4.7.5.1	Bakken Marketlink Project	4.7-16
4.7.5.2	Big Bend to Witten 230-kV Transmission Line	4.7-17
4.7.5.3	Electrical Distribution Lines and Substations	4.7-17
4.7.6	References	4.7-17
4.8	Threatened and Endangered Species and Species of Conservation Concern	4.8-1
4.8.1	Introduction	4.8-1
4.8.2	Impact Assessment and Methodology	4.8-2
4.8.3	Potential Impacts	4.8-2
4.8.3.1	Endangered Species Act Federally Protected, Proposed, and Candidate Species	4.8-3
4.8.3.2	Bureau of Land Management Sensitive Animals and Plant Species	4.8-31
4.8.3.3	State-Protected Animals and Plants	4.8-32
4.8.3.4	Animals and Plants of Conservation Concern	4.8-43
4.8.4	Additional Mitigation	4.8-44

4.8.5	Connected Actions	4.8-44
4.8.5.1	Bakken Marketlink Project	4.8-44
4.8.5.2	Big Bend to Witten 230-kV Transmission Line	4.8-45
4.8.5.3	Electrical Distribution Lines and Substations	4.8-46
4.8.6	References	4.8-54
4.9	Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources	4.9-1
4.9.1	Introduction	4.9-1
4.9.2	Impact Assessment Methodology	4.9-5
4.9.3	Potential Impacts	4.9-6
4.9.3.1	Land Ownership	4.9-6
4.9.3.2	Land Use	4.9-6
4.9.3.3	Recreation and Special Interest Areas	4.9-15
4.9.3.4	Visual Resources	4.9-16
4.9.4	Additional Mitigation	4.9-19
4.9.5	Connected Actions	4.9-19
4.9.5.1	Bakken Marketlink Project	4.9-19
4.9.5.2	Big Bend to Witten 230-kV Transmission Line	4.9-20
4.9.5.3	Electrical Distribution Lines and Substations	4.9-22
4.9.6	References	4.9-25
4.10	Socioeconomics	4.10-1
4.10.1	Introduction	4.10-1
4.10.2	Impact Assessment Methodology	4.10-10
4.10.3	Impacts	4.10-11
4.10.3.1	Construction	4.10-11
4.10.3.2	Operations	4.10-31
4.10.4	Additional Mitigation	4.10-35
4.10.5	Connected Actions	4.10-36
4.10.5.1	Bakken Marketlink	4.10-36
4.10.5.2	Big Bend to Witten 230-kV Transmission Line	4.10-40
4.10.5.3	Electrical Distribution Lines and Substations	4.10-45
4.10.6	References	4.10-49
4.11	Cultural Resources	4.11-1
4.11.1	Introduction	4.11-1
4.11.2	Impact Assessment Methodology	4.11-3
4.11.3	NRHP Eligibility, Effects, and Mitigation	4.11-4
4.11.4	Types of Potential Impacts	4.11-5
4.11.4.1	Construction	4.11-5
4.11.4.2	Operations	4.11-6

4.11.5	Potential Impacts to Identified Cultural Resources	4.11-7
4.11.5.1	Montana	4.11-7
4.11.5.2	South Dakota.....	4.11-12
4.11.5.3	Nebraska	4.11-16
4.11.5.4	North Dakota.....	4.11-16
4.11.5.5	Kansas	4.11-16
4.11.6	Additional Mitigation.....	4.11-20
4.11.7	Connected Actions	4.11-20
4.11.7.1	Bakken Marketlink Project	4.11-20
4.11.7.2	Big Bend to Witten 230-kV Transmission Line	4.11-20
4.11.7.3	Electrical Distribution Lines and Substations.....	4.11-21
4.12	Air Quality and Noise	4.12-1
4.12.1	Introduction.....	4.12-1
4.12.2	Impact Assessment Methodology	4.12-8
4.12.2.1	Air Quality	4.12-8
4.12.2.2	Noise	4.12-10
4.12.3	Potential Impacts.....	4.12-11
4.12.3.1	Air Quality	4.12-11
4.12.3.2	Noise	4.12-17
4.12.4	Additional Mitigation.....	4.12-41
4.12.5	Connected Actions	4.12-41
4.12.5.1	Bakken Marketlink Project	4.12-41
4.12.5.2	Big Bend to Witten 230-kV Transmission Line	4.12-41
4.12.5.3	Electrical Distribution Lines and Substations.....	4.12-42
4.12.6	References.....	4.12-42
4.13	Potential Releases	4.13-1
4.13.1	Introduction.....	4.13-1
4.13.2	Proposed Project Background.....	4.13-5
4.13.3	Historical Pipeline Incidents Analysis	4.13-8
4.13.3.1	Background.....	4.13-8
4.13.3.2	Objectives	4.13-9
4.13.3.3	Method	4.13-9
4.13.3.4	Pipeline Incident Information Sources.....	4.13-10
4.13.3.5	PHMSA Historical Data	4.13-15
4.13.3.6	Applicability of Crude Oil Data.....	4.13-29
4.13.3.7	Keystone Pipeline First-Year Release Historical Data	4.13-30

4.13.4	Spill Impact Assessment	4.13-37
4.13.4.1	Spill Volumes and Potential Impact	4.13-37
4.13.4.2	Spill Propagation	4.13-40
4.13.4.3	Effect of Soil Type, Soil Cover, and Temperature on Flow	4.13-43
4.13.4.4	Types of Spill Impact	4.13-44
4.13.5	Potential Impacts	4.13-55
4.13.5.1	Consequence on Receptors	4.13-55
4.13.5.2	High Consequence Areas	4.13-57
4.13.5.3	Other Resources	4.13-61
4.13.6	Additional Mitigation	4.13-92
4.13.6.1	PHMSA Special Conditions	4.13-92
4.13.6.2	Safety and Spill Response	4.13-98
4.13.7	Connected Actions	4.13-121
4.13.7.1	Bakken Marketlink Project	4.13-121
4.13.7.2	Big Bend to Witten 230-kV Transmission Line	4.13-121
4.13.7.3	Electrical Distribution Lines and Substations	4.13-122
4.13.8	References	4.13-122
4.14	Greenhouse Gases and Climate Change	4.14-1
4.14.1	Introduction	4.14-1
4.14.1.1	Context	4.14-1
4.14.1.2	Scope of Assessment	4.14-3
4.14.1.3	Summary of Key Findings	4.14-4
4.14.1.4	Greenhouse Gas Regulatory Requirements and Standards	4.14-6
4.14.2	Direct and Indirect Greenhouse Gas Emissions	4.14-14
4.14.2.1	Construction Emissions	4.14-14
4.14.2.2	Operational Emissions	4.14-16
4.14.2.3	Black Carbon	4.14-18
4.14.3	Incremental Indirect Lifecycle Greenhouse Gas Emissions	4.14-19
4.14.3.1	Lifecycle Analysis Framework of Fuels	4.14-21
4.14.3.2	Methodology and Approach	4.14-23
4.14.3.3	Petroleum Coke	4.14-27
4.14.3.4	Land Use Change	4.14-28
4.14.3.5	Incremental GHG Emissions	4.14-29
4.14.3.6	Near- and Longer-Term Trends that Could Affect WTW GHG Emissions	4.14-41
4.14.4	Cumulative Greenhouse Gas Emissions and Climate Change Impacts	4.14-45
4.14.4.1	GHG Emissions	4.14-45
4.14.4.2	Emissions and Impacts in Context	4.14-46

4.14.5	Climate Change Impacts on the Proposed Project.....	4.14-47
4.14.5.1	Setting and Context.....	4.14-47
4.14.5.2	Impacts on the Proposed Project.....	4.14-55
4.14.6	Climate Change Impacts on the Affected Environment and Associated Impacts.....	4.14-56
4.14.6.1	Soils.....	4.14-56
4.14.6.2	Water Resources.....	4.14-57
4.14.6.3	Wetlands.....	4.14-58
4.14.6.4	Terrestrial Vegetation.....	4.14-58
4.14.6.5	Fisheries.....	4.14-59
4.14.6.6	Wildlife and Threatened & Endangered Species.....	4.14-59
4.14.6.7	Land Use.....	4.14-60
4.14.6.8	Socioeconomics.....	4.14-60
4.14.6.9	Cultural Resources.....	4.14-61
4.14.6.10	Air Quality and Noise.....	4.14-61
4.14.6.11	Potential Releases.....	4.14-62
4.14.7	References.....	4.14-63
4.15	Cumulative Effects Assessment and Extraterritorial Concerns.....	4.15-1
4.15.1	Methods and Scope of the Cumulative Impacts Analysis.....	4.15-3
4.15.2	Past, Present, and Reasonably Foreseeable Projects.....	4.15-5
4.15.2.1	Cumulative Impacts from Past Projects.....	4.15-5
4.15.2.2	Cumulative Impacts from Present Projects.....	4.15-18
4.15.2.3	Cumulative Impacts from Reasonably Foreseeable Future Projects.....	4.15-21
4.15.2.4	Cumulative Impacts from Connected Actions.....	4.15-25
4.15.2.5	Summary of Key Geographically Overlapping Project Areas.....	4.15-26
4.15.3	Cumulative Impacts by Resource.....	4.15-35
4.15.3.1	Geology.....	4.15-35
4.15.3.2	Soils.....	4.15-38
4.15.3.3	Water Resources.....	4.15-40
4.15.3.4	Wetlands.....	4.15-44
4.15.3.5	Terrestrial Vegetation.....	4.15-49
4.15.3.6	Wildlife.....	4.15-52
4.15.3.7	Fisheries.....	4.15-55
4.15.3.8	Threatened and Endangered Species.....	4.15-58
4.15.3.9	Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources.....	4.15-67
4.15.3.10	Socioeconomics.....	4.15-70
4.15.3.11	Cultural Resources.....	4.15-73
4.15.3.12	Air Quality and Noise.....	4.15-76
4.15.3.13	Potential Releases.....	4.15-90

4.15.4	Extraterritorial Concerns.....	4.15-93
4.15.4.1	Canadian National Energy Board Environmental Analysis of the Proposed Project	4.15-93
4.15.4.2	Concerns Related to Oil Sands Extraction.....	4.15-104
4.15.5	References.....	4.15-116
4.16	Summary of Impacts.....	4.16-1
5.0	ALTERNATIVES.....	5-1
5.1	No Action Alternative.....	5.1-1
5.1.1	No Action Alternative Scenarios Description and Impacts Summary.....	5.1-2
5.1.1.1	Rail/Pipeline Scenario.....	5.1-3
5.1.1.2	Rail/Tanker Scenario	5.1-4
5.1.1.3	Rail Direct to the Gulf Coast Scenario	5.1-5
5.1.1.4	Risk and Potential Releases Summary.....	5.1-5
5.1.2	No Action Alternative Scenarios Detailed Impact Assessment.....	5.1-6
5.1.2.1	Status Quo Baseline	5.1-6
5.1.2.2	Rail/Pipeline Scenario.....	5.1-6
5.1.2.3	Rail/Tanker Scenario	5.1-35
5.1.2.4	Rail Direct to the Gulf Coast Scenario	5.1-58
5.1.3	Potential Risk and Safety under the No Action Alternative Scenarios.....	5.1-74
5.1.3.1	Derailment of Crude by Rail Train in Lac-Mégantic, Québec, Canada	5.1-74
5.1.3.2	Historical Rail Incidents Analysis	5.1-75
5.1.4	References.....	5.1-102
5.2	Route Alternatives	5.2-1
5.2.1	Route Alternatives Impacts Summary and Comparison to Proposed Project.....	5.2-5
5.2.1.1	2011 Steele City Alternative Impacts Summary.....	5.2-5
5.2.1.2	I-90 Corridor Alternative Impacts Summary.....	5.2-6
5.2.2	2011 Steele City Alternative Detailed Impact Assessment	5.2-7
5.2.2.1	Geology.....	5.2-8
5.2.2.2	Soils.....	5.2-10
5.2.2.3	Water Resources	5.2-11
5.2.2.4	Wetlands	5.2-14
5.2.2.5	Terrestrial Vegetation	5.2-18
5.2.2.6	Wildlife	5.2-20
5.2.2.7	Fisheries	5.2-23
5.2.2.8	Threatened and Endangered Species	5.2-25
5.2.2.9	Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources	5.2-29
5.2.2.10	Socioeconomics	5.2-32

5.2.2.11	Cultural Resources	5.2-36
5.2.2.12	Air Quality and Noise	5.2-37
5.2.2.13	Climate Change	5.2-39
5.2.3	I-90 Corridor Alternative Detailed Impact Assessment.....	5.2-39
5.2.3.1	Geology	5.2-40
5.2.3.2	Soils	5.2-42
5.2.3.3	Water Resources	5.2-43
5.2.3.4	Wetlands	5.2-45
5.2.3.5	Terrestrial Vegetation	5.2-47
5.2.3.6	Wildlife	5.2-49
5.2.3.7	Fisheries	5.2-52
5.2.3.8	Threatened and Endangered Species	5.2-53
5.2.3.9	Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources	5.2-56
5.2.3.10	Socioeconomics	5.2-59
5.2.3.11	Cultural Resources	5.2-63
5.2.3.12	Air Quality and Noise	5.2-63
5.2.3.13	Climate Change	5.2-65
5.2.4	References	5.2-66
5.3	Comparison of Alternatives	5.3-1
5.3.1	Physical Disturbance Impacts	5.3-1
5.3.2	Greenhouse Gas Impacts	5.3-4
5.3.2.1	Operation and Construction	5.3-4
5.3.2.2	Western Canadian Sedimentary Basin Oil Sands Production Indirect Lifecycle Effects	5.3-6
5.3.3	Potential Risk and Safety	5.3-7
5.3.4	Agency Preferred Alternatives.....	5.3-12
6.0	LIST OF PREPARERS.....	6-1
7.0	DISTRIBUTION LIST—FINAL SUPPLEMENTAL EIS OR EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	7-1
8.0	INDEX.....	8-1

LIST OF APPENDICES

- A Governor Approval of the Keystone XL Project in Nebraska
- B Potential Releases and Pipeline Safety
- C Supplemental Information to Market Analysis
- D Waterbody Crossing Tables and Required Crossing Criteria for Reclamation Facilities:
 - D1 Waterbody Crossing Tables
 - D2 Required Crossing Criteria for Reclamation Facilities
- E Amended Programmatic Agreement and Record of Consultation
- F Scoping Summary Report
- G Construction, Mitigation, and Reclamation Plan
- H 2012 Biological Assessment, 2013 USFWS Biological Opinion, and Associated Documents:
 - 2012 BA Errata
 - 2012 Biological Assessment
 - Additional Letters
 - 2013 Biological Opinion
- I Spill Prevention Control and Countermeasure Plan; and Emergency Response Plan
- J Basin Electric Big Bend to Witten 230-kV Transmission Project Routing Report
- K Historical Pipeline Incident Analysis
- L Oil and Gas Wells within 1,320 ft of Proposed Right-of-Way
- M Soil Summary for Montana, South Dakota, and Nebraska
- N Supplemental Information for Compliance with the Montana Environmental Policy Act
- O Socioeconomics
- P Risk Assessment
- Q Crude Oil Material Safety Data Sheets
- R Construction/Reclamation Plans and Documentation
- S Pipeline Temperature Effects Study
- T Screening Level Oil Spill Modeling
- U Lifecycle Greenhouse Gas Emissions of Petroleum Products from WCSB Oil Sands Crudes Compared with Reference Crudes
- V Literature Review
- W Past, Present, and Reasonably Foreseeable Future Project Descriptions
- X Canadian Environmental Assessment Act and Canadian Regulatory Review of Keystone XL
- Y Estimated Criteria Pollutants, Noise, and GHG Emissions
- Z Compiled Mitigation Measures

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.4-1	U.S. Liquid Fuel Supply-Demand Balance, 2012 (million bpd)	1.4-13
Table 1.4-2	Fuels Consumption by Product (million bpd).....	1.4-16
Table 1.4-3	Refining Charge Capacity by Unit and PADD	1.4-20
Table 1.4-4	Proposed Project Destination Area Refiners Heavy Crude Processing, January to June 2013.....	1.4-22
Table 1.4-5	Gross Imports and Exports of Crude Oil and Petroleum Products (thousand bpd)	1.4-25
Table 1.4-6	Crude Imports by Processing PADD (thousand bpd)	1.4-25
Table 1.4-7	Crude Imports by Port PADD (thousand bpd).....	1.4-26
Table 1.4-8	U.S. Heavy and Canadian Heavy Crude Oil Refined (thousand bpd)	1.4-27
Table 1.4-9	AEO U.S. Oil Trade Projections (million bpd).....	1.4-28
Table 1.4-10	Oil Sands Supply Cost Estimates (WTI-Equivalent, \$/bbl)	1.4-33
Table 1.4-11	Selected New Crude Oil Transportation Expansion Projects, Late 2011 to Current	1.4-47
Table 1.4-12	Estimates of Barrels per Carload of Different Crude Oils.....	1.4-53
Table 1.4-13	Canadian Crude by Rail Loading Facilities	1.4-59
Table 1.4-14	Rail Off-Loading Projects Providing Access to Gulf Coast Refineries.....	1.4-68
Table 1.4-15	Comparison of Volume of Oil Sands Crude Transported on Unit Train to Equivalent Pipeline Volume	1.4-72
Table 1.4-16	Rail Cost References.....	1.4-84
Table 1.4-17	Estimates of Cost per Barrel Impacts of Different Rail Options	1.4-85
Table 1.4-18	Estimates of Rail Cost For Transport from Western Canada to Port Arthur, Texas.....	1.4-86
Table 1.4-19	Supply-Demand and Pipeline Cases, and the Resulting Scenarios.....	1.4-93
Table 1.4-20	Comparison of Transport Costs for Routes to Asian Markets.....	1.4-95
Table 1.4-21	U.S. Average Wholesale Regular Gasoline Prices, Reference Case, \$ per gallon	1.4-105
Table 1.4-22	U.S. Average Wholesale Regular Gasoline Prices, High Resource Case, \$ per gallon	1.4-111
Table 1.4-23	Low/No Imports Case U.S. Average Wholesale Regular Gasoline Prices, \$ per gallon	1.4-117
Table 1.4-24	Incremental Latin American Crude Exports, Difference between High Latin America and Reference Cases, million bpd	1.4-119
Table 1.4-25	Dilbit Free-on-Board Prices Versus Dilbit Supply Costs	1.4-124
Table 1.4-26	WTI Price Paths and Implied Breakeven Prices	1.4-125
Table 1.4-27	Supply Costs, Transport Costs, Modeled Quality Discounts, and Implied Breakevens	1.4-129
Table 1.8-1	Summary Comments Received on Environmental Issues during the Public Scoping Process for the Proposed Project	1.8-3

Table 1.9-1	Permits, Licenses, Approvals, and Consultation Requirements for the Proposed Project	1.9-1
Table 2.1-1	Ancillary Facilities Supporting Operations by State	2.1-1
Table 2.1-2	Pipeline Route Modifications	2.1-9
Table 2.1-3	Summary of Lands Affected.....	2.1-17
Table 2.1-4	Borrow Material Requirements by State.....	2.1-20
Table 2.1-5	Total Borrow Material Requirements by Facility Type.....	2.1-20
Table 2.1-6	Aboveground Facilities.....	2.1-21
Table 2.1-7	Proposed Project Pump Station Locations.....	2.1-24
Table 2.1-8	Intermediate Mainline Valve Locations by State.....	2.1-26
Table 2.1-9	Dimensions and Acreage of Typical Additional Temporary Workspace Areas	2.1-28
Table 2.1-10	Locations and Acreages of Proposed Pipe Yards, Railroad Sidings, and Contractor Yards	2.1-29
Table 2.1-11	Construction Camp Permits and Regulations	2.1-31
Table 2.1-12	Pipe Design Parameters and Specification	2.1-40
Table 2.1-13	Pipeline Construction Spreads Associated with the Proposed Project	2.1-43
Table 2.1-14	Minimum Equipment Required for Selected Construction Activities.....	2.1-49
Table 2.1-15	Minimum Pipeline Cover.....	2.1-51
Table 2.1-16	Structures Located Within 25 Feet and 500 Feet of the Construction ROW	2.1-62
Table 2.1-17	Waterbodies Crossed Using the Horizontal Directional Drilling Method... 2.1-66	
Table 2.1-18	Representative Cross-Country Construction Times Based on Estimates of Schedule.....	2.1-70
Table 2.1-19	Electrical Power Supply Requirements for Pump Stations.....	2.1-90
Table 2.2-1	Assumed Railcar Load Capacity.....	2.2-9
Table 2.2-2	Average Number of Unit Trains per Day for WCSB and Bakken Crude Oil.....	2.2-10
Table 2.2-3	Crude Oil by Rail to Oklahoma/Pipeline to Gulf Coast Area Scenario: New Construction and Specifications.....	2.2-17
Table 2.2-4	Estimated Cost of New Facilities and Estimated Jobs Created for Crude by Rail/Pipeline Scenario.....	2.2-20
Table 2.2-5	Rail Costs from Lloydminster, Saskatchewan, to Stroud, Oklahoma, and Bakken Crude Oil from Epping, North Dakota, to Stroud, Oklahoma.....	2.2-21
Table 2.2-6	Crude Oil by Rail to Prince Rupert/Tanker to Gulf Coast Area Scenario: New Construction and Specifications.....	2.2-25
Table 2.2-7	Terminal Facility Acreage	2.2-27
Table 2.2-8	Suezmax Tanker Dimensions and Capacities	2.2-28
Table 2.2-9	Rail/Tanker Costs from the Lloydminster, Saskatchewan, to the Gulf Coast Area via the Panama Canal.....	2.2-28

Table 2.2-10	Rail Direct to the Gulf Coast Scenario: New Construction and Specifications	2.2-29
Table 2.2-11	Rail Direct from Lloydminster, Saskatchewan, and Epping, North Dakota, to Port Arthur, Texas.....	2.2-30
Table 2.2-12	Rail/Barge Costs from Hardisty, Alberta to the Gulf Coast Area.....	2.2-34
Table 2.2-13	Phase I Alternatives Screening	2.2-51
Table 2.2-14	Phase II Detailed Screening.....	2.2-60
Table 2.2-15	2011 Steele City Alternative Ancillary Facilities by State	2.2-64
Table 2.2-16	I-90 Corridor Alternative Estimated Aboveground Facilities by State	2.2-66
Table 3.1-1	Physiographic Characteristics of Ecoregions Crossed in Montana by the Proposed Project Route.....	3.1-3
Table 3.1-2	Physiographic Characteristics of Ecoregions Crossed in South Dakota by the Proposed Route	3.1-7
Table 3.1-3	Physiographic Characteristics of Ecoregions Crossed in Nebraska by the Proposed Project Route.....	3.1-9
Table 3.1-4	Paleontological Surveys and Reports.....	3.1-13
Table 3.1-5	Paleontological Resources Identified along Proposed Project Corridor in Montana, South Dakota, and Nebraska	3.1-15
Table 3.1-6	Locations within LSHR High-Risk Category along the Proposed Project Corridor.....	3.1-31
Table 3.1-7	Limestone Areas Crossed by the Proposed Project Corridor.....	3.1-32
Table 3.2-1	Approximate Miles of Soils by National Inventory Grouping Crossed by the Proposed Project Route.....	3.2-4
Table 3.2-2	Approximate Acreage of Soils by National Inventory Grouping Affected by the Proposed Project During Construction and Operation.....	3.2-4
Table 3.3-1	Groundwater Yield Estimates by Aquifer.....	3.3-9
Table 3.3-2	Water-Bearing Zones Less than 50 Feet Below Ground Surface Beneath the Proposed Pipeline Right-of-Way	3.3-14
Table 3.3-3	Groundwater Quality of Select Subsurface Aquifers.....	3.3-25
Table 3.3-4	Streams and Rivers Crossed by Proposed Pipeline in Montana with State Water Quality Designations or Use Designations	3.3-35
Table 3.3-5	Impaired or Contaminated Waterbodies in Montana.....	3.3-36
Table 3.3-6	Streams and Rivers Crossed by the Proposed Pipeline in South Dakota with State Water Quality Designations or Use Designations	3.3-40
Table 3.3-7	Impaired or Contaminated Waterbodies in South Dakota	3.3-41
Table 3.3-8	Streams and Rivers Crossed by Proposed Pipeline in Nebraska with State Water Quality Designations or Use Designations	3.3-45
Table 3.3-9	Impaired or Contaminated Waterbodies in Nebraska.....	3.3-48
Table 3.3-10	Designated Floodplain Areas Crossed by the Proposed Pipeline Route in Montana	3.3-50

Table 3.3-11	Designated Floodplain Areas Crossed by the Proposed Pipeline Route in South Dakota.....	3.3-50
Table 3.3-12	Designated Floodplain Areas Crossed by the Proposed Pipeline Route in Nebraska	3.3-50
Table 3.4-1	Description of Wetland Types in Proposed Project Area	3.4-3
Table 3.4-2	Wetland Permitting Summary.....	3.4-17
Table 3.5-1	USEPA Level III Ecoregions Crossed by Proposed Project Facilities	3.5-4
Table 3.5-2	USEPA Level III and IV Ecoregions Crossed by Proposed Project Facilities.....	3.5-11
Table 3.5-3	Land Cover Types with Ecosystem Designations Crossed by the Proposed Pipeline Route	3.5-15
Table 3.5-4	Land Cover Types with Ecosystem Designations in which Proposed Ancillary Facilities in North Dakota and Kansas would be Located.....	3.5-19
Table 3.5-5	Federal, State, or Local Introduced, Invasive, and Noxious Weeds Potentially Occurring Along the Proposed Project Route	3.5-31
Table 3.6-1	Habitat Types Located within the Proposed Project.....	3.6-3
Table 3.6-2	Big Game Animals with Habitat within the Proposed Project Area.....	3.6-4
Table 3.6-3	Small and Medium Game Animals with Habitat within the Proposed Project Area	3.6-5
Table 3.6-4	Birds Identified within the Proposed Project Area	3.6-7
Table 3.6-5	Non-Game Mammals with Habitat within the Proposed Project Area.....	3.6-9
Table 3.6-6	Birds of Conservation Concern located in Bird Conservation Regions within the Proposed Project Area	3.6-15
Table 3.6-7	Reptiles Potentially Present within the Proposed Project Area	3.6-16
Table 3.6-8	Amphibians Potentially Present within the Proposed Project Area.....	3.6-18
Table 3.7-1	Common Recreational and Commercial Fish Associated with Proposed Project Route Stream Crossings.....	3.7-5
Table 3.7-2	Recreational and Commercial Fish Spawning Periods and Habitats.....	3.7-7
Table 3.7-3	Proposed Perennial Stream Crossings along the Proposed Project Route...	3.7-10
Table 3.8 1	Summary of Federally Protected, Proposed, and Candidate Species and their State Status	3.8-6
Table 3.8-2	BLM Sensitive Species Potentially Occurring in the Proposed Project Area in Montana	3.8-25
Table 3.8-3	State-Protected Animals and Plants Potentially Occurring along the Proposed Project Route.....	3.8-30
Table 3.8-4	Animals and Plants of Conservation Concern Potentially Occurring Along the Proposed Project ROW	3.8-40
Table 3.9-1	Land Ownership along the Proposed Project Route (Miles)	3.9-3
Table 3.9-2	Land Use Crossed by the Proposed Project Route (Miles).....	3.9-4
Table 3.9-3	Statewide Harvested Acreages of Most Commonly Harvested Principal Crops, 2012	3.9-4

Table 3.9-4	USFWS, USDA, and Other Easements and Agreements Crossed by the Proposed Project Route.....	3.9-6
Table 3.9-5	Recreation and Special Interest Areas Crossed by the Proposed Project Route.....	3.9-8
Table 3.9-6	Perennial Waterbodies with Recreational Use Designations.....	3.9-9
Table 3.9-7	VRM Classifications of Land Crossed by the Proposed Project Route in Montana.....	3.9-11
Table 3.9-8	Land Use Crossed by the Bakken Marketlink Project.....	3.9-12
Table 3.9-9	Land Use Crossed by the Big Bend to Witten 230-kV Transmission Line Applicant Preferred Route.....	3.9-13
Table 3.9-10	Land Ownership along the Proposed Power Distribution Line ROWs (Miles).....	3.9-14
Table 3.9-11	Land Use along the Proposed Power Distribution Line ROWs (Miles).....	3.9-15
Table 3.9-12	Recreation and Special Interest Areas Likely to be Crossed by Power Distribution Lines.....	3.9-15
Table 3.10-1	Proposed Project Area States and Counties.....	3.10-6
Table 3.10-2	Proposed Pipeline Route Length by County and State.....	3.10-9
Table 3.10-3	Communities within Two Miles of the Proposed Project Area.....	3.10-10
Table 3.10-4	United States and State Populations and Population Densities, 2000 and 2010.....	3.10-13
Table 3.10-5	County Populations and Population Densities, 2000 and 2010.....	3.10-13
Table 3.10-6	Community Populations, 2000 and 2010.....	3.10-15
Table 3.10-7	Housing Resources for Counties in the Proposed Project Area.....	3.10-16
Table 3.10-8	Economic Corridor Counties.....	3.10-19
Table 3.10-9	Median Household Income, Unemployment Rates, and Labor Force by County.....	3.10-21
Table 3.10-10	Earnings and Employment in the Economic Corridor and Kansas.....	3.10-23
Table 3.10-11	Earnings by Industry in the Economic Corridor.....	3.10-26
Table 3.10-12	Employment by Industry in the Economic Corridor.....	3.10-28
Table 3.10-13	Earnings and Employment in the Rest of Montana, South Dakota, and Nebraska.....	3.10-30
Table 3.10-14	Block Groups with Meaningfully Greater Minority Populations.....	3.10-36
Table 3.10-15	Census Tracts with Meaningfully Greater Low-Income Populations.....	3.10-38
Table 3.10-16	Existing Public Services and Facilities in the Proposed Project Area.....	3.10-39
Table 3.10-17	Overview of General Revenue Resources for State and Local Government in the Proposed Project Area, 2008-2009.....	3.10-42
Table 3.10-18	Property Tax in Proposed Project Area Counties, 2010.....	3.10-44
Table 3.10-19	Intersections of Proposed Project with Roads, by State.....	3.10-46
Table 3.10-20	Intersections of Proposed Project with Roads, by State.....	3.10-47
Table 3.10-21	Major Roads Adjacent to Ancillary Facilities.....	3.10-47

Table 3.10-22	Intersection of Proposed Project with Railroads, by State.....	3.10-48
Table 3.10-23	Roads that would be Crossed by the Big Bend to Witten 230-kV Transmission Line.....	3.10-51
Table 3.10-24	Electrical Distribution Lines and Substations States and Counties	3.10-52
Table 3.10-25	Population and Housing for Non-Pipeline Corridor Counties.....	3.10-52
Table 3.10-26	Median Household Income, Unemployment Rate, and Labor Force for Connected Action Counties	3.10-53
Table 3.10-27	Existing Public Services and Facilities for Non-Pipeline Corridor Counties	3.10-53
Table 3.10-28	Property Tax in Non-Pipeline Corridor Counties, 2010	3.10-54
Table 3.10-29	Roads that would be Crossed by Electrical Distribution Lines	3.10-54
Table 3.11-1	Area of Potential Effect for the Proposed Project by State.....	3.11-11
Table 3.11-2	Cultural Resources Identified in Montana within the Proposed Project APE	3.11-14
Table 3.11-3	Cultural Resources Identified in South Dakota within the Proposed Project APE.....	3.11-21
Table 3.11-4	Cultural Resources Identified in Nebraska within the Proposed Project APE	3.11-26
Table 3.11-5	Cultural Resources Identified in North Dakota within the Additional Project Survey Area	3.11-33
Table 3.11-6	Cultural Resources Identified in Kansas within the Project APE.....	3.11-34
Table 3.11-7	Indian Tribes Consulted for the Proposed Project	3.11-40
Table 3.11-8	List of Tribes Participating in Traditional Cultural Property Studies within the Proposed Project	3.11-42
Table 3.12-1	Representative Climate Data in the Vicinity of the Proposed Pipeline	3.12-4
Table 3.12-2	Federal and Montana Ambient Air Quality Standards	3.12-5
Table 3.12-3	2011 Regional Background Air Quality Concentrations for the Proposed Project	3.12-7
Table 3.12-4	Estimated Criteria Pollutant Emissions per Backup Emergency Generator at Construction Camps.....	3.12-10
Table 3.12-5	Estimated Criteria Pollutant Emissions for all Emergency Generators at Pump Stations and MLV Sites during Normal Maintenance Operations and Upset Conditions.....	3.12-12
Table 3.12-6	Estimated HAP Emissions per Backup Emergency Diesel Generator at Pumps Stations and MLV Sites	3.12-15
Table 3.12-7	Estimated HAP Emissions per Backup Emergency Diesel Generator at Construction Camps.....	3.12-17
Table 3.12-8	Existing Noise Levels in the Vicinity of the Proposed Project.....	3.12-23
Table 3.12-9	Structures Near the Proposed Project Construction ROW	3.12-24
Table 3.12-10	Structures within 0.5 and 1 Mile of Proposed Project Pump Stations	3.12-25

Table 3.13-1	Summary of General Characteristics for Types of Crude Oil Similar to Those that would be Transported by the Proposed Project.....	3.13-8
Table 3.13-3	Composition of CRW	3.13-9
Table 3.13-2	Comparison of Global Crude Oil Characteristics	3.13-11
Table 4.1-1	Potential Ripping Locations for the Proposed Project.....	4.1-5
Table 4.2-1	Soil Criteria for Determining Special Handling Techniques in Cultivated Land and High-Quality Prairie or Rangeland	4.2-7
Table 4.3-1	Summary of Impacts to Surface Water Resources by State	4.3-20
Table 4.3-2	Potential Hydrostatic Test Water Sources along the Proposed Project Route	4.3-28
Table 4.3-3	Ancillary Facilities Crossing Designated Floodplain Areas for the Proposed Pipeline Route	4.3-31
Table 4.4-1	Estimated Wetlands Affected by Proposed Project ROW and Ancillary Facilities.....	4.4-6
Table 4.4-2	Estimated Permanent Wetland Impacts	4.4-7
Table 4.4-3	Estimated Impacts to Wetlands Associated with the Electrical Distribution Lines and Substations	4.4-23
Table 4.5-1	Summary of Estimated Impacts on Vegetation Communities.....	4.5-13
Table 4.5-2	Estimated Impacts on Vegetation Communities of Conservation Concern Occurring along the Proposed Project Route	4.5-15
Table 4.5-3	Summary of Impacts on Vegetation Communities Crossed by the Bakken Market Link Project.....	4.5-21
Table 4.5-4	Summary of Impacts on Vegetation Communities Crossed by Proposed Big Bend to Witten 230-kV Transmission Line Preferred Route.....	4.5-22
Table 4.5-5	Estimated Impacts on Vegetation Communities Crossed by Proposed Electrical Distribution Lines for the Proposed Project	4.5-23
Table 4.6-1	Important Wildlife Habitats within or near the Proposed Project Area.....	4.6-4
Table 4.6-2	Habitat Types and Related Fragmentation Issues.....	4.6-6
Table 4.6-3	General Spatial Buffer Restrictions and Nesting Seasons for Raptors Potentially Present in the Project Area	4.6-12
Table 4.6-4	Seasonal Timing Restrictions and Buffer Distances for Big Game Animals, Game Birds, Snakes, Wading Birds, and Raptors.....	4.6-17
Table 4.7-1	Proposed Perennial Stream Crossings along the Proposed Project Route.....	4.7-6
Table 4.8-1	Summary of ESA Federally Protected, Proposed, and Candidate Species Potentially Occurring along the Proposed Project Route	4.8-4
Table 4.8-2	State-Listed Animals and Plants Potentially Occurring along the Proposed Project Route.....	4.8-33
Table 4.8-3	Species of Conservation Concern	4.8-43
Table 4.9-1	Land Ownership Affected by the Proposed Project (Acres).....	4.9-6
Table 4.9-2	Land Use Affected by the Proposed Project (Acres).....	4.9-7
Table 4.9-3	Prime Farmland Affected by the Proposed Project (Acres).....	4.9-10

Table 4.9-4	Land Use Affected by the Bakken Marketlink Project.....	4.9-20
Table 4.9-5	Land Use Affected by Construction of the Big Bend to Witten 230-kV Transmission Line, Applicant Preferred Route	4.9-20
Table 4.9-6	Land Ownership Affected by the Electrical Distribution Lines (Acres)	4.9-22
Table 4.9-7	Assumptions for Power Distribution Line Land Use Impact Estimates	4.9-23
Table 4.9-8	Land Use Affected by Construction and Operation of Power Distribution Lines (Acres).....	4.9-23
Table 4.9-9	Typical Disturbance Areas for Power Line Operation in Forested Areas ...	4.9-24
Table 4.10-1	Proposed Project Construction by State.....	4.10-11
Table 4.10-2	Proposed Construction Camp Locations.....	4.10-13
Table 4.10-3	Selected Characteristics of Proposed Project Construction Activity Occurring Within the United States.....	4.10-15
Table 4.10-4	Total Employment Supported by Construction of the Proposed Project (average annual jobs).....	4.10-17
Table 4.10-5	Total U.S. Employment and Earnings by Industry Supported by Construction of the Proposed Project	4.10-18
Table 4.10-6	Total Earnings Supported by Construction of the Proposed Project (thousands of 2010 dollars).....	4.10-19
Table 4.10-7	Gross State Product and Gross Domestic Product Supported by Construction of the Proposed Project (millions of 2010 dollars)	4.10-20
Table 4.10-8	Comparison of Gross State Product and Employment Supported by Construction of the Proposed Project in The Perryman Group and in this Final Supplemental EIS.....	4.10-21
Table 4.10-9	Locations of Construction Facilities Relative to Meaningfully Greater Populations.....	4.10-22
Table 4.10-10	Health Professional Shortage Areas and Medically Underserved Areas/ Populations in the Socioeconomic Analysis Area	4.10-25
Table 4.10-11	Estimated Property Tax from Proposed Project in Situs Counties Compared to Total County Property Tax Revenue in 2010 (in thousands of 2010 dollars).....	4.10-33
Table 4.10-12	Selected Characteristics of Connected Actions Construction Activity Occurring Within the United States.....	4.10-36
Table 4.10-13	Total Employment Supported by Construction of the Bakken Marketlink Project (average annual jobs).....	4.10-37
Table 4.10-14	Total Earnings Supported by Construction of the Bakken Marketlink Project (thousands of 2010 dollars)	4.10-38
Table 4.10-15	Total Employment Supported by Construction of the Big Bend to Witten 230-kV Transmission Line (average annual jobs).....	4.10-41
Table 4.10-16	Total Earnings Supported by Construction of the Big Bend to Witten 230 kV Transmission Line (thousands of 2010 dollars).....	4.10-42
Table 4.10-17	Total Employment Supported by Construction of Electrical Distribution Lines and Substations (average annual jobs).....	4.10-46

Table 4.10-18	Total Earnings Supported by Construction of Electrical Distribution Lines and Substations (thousands of 2010 dollars).....	4.10-47
Table 4.11-1	Cultural Resources within the Proposed Project Construction Footprint of Montana	4.11-8
Table 4.11-2	Cultural Resources within the Proposed Project Construction Footprint of South Dakota	4.11-13
Table 4.11-3	Cultural Resources within the Proposed Project Construction Footprint of Nebraska	4.11-17
Table 4.12-1	Construction Equipment per Spread for the Proposed Project	4.12-12
Table 4.12-2	Total Emissions of Criteria Pollutants from Proposed Project Construction.....	4.12-14
Table 4.12-3	Total Emissions of Hazardous Air Pollutants from Proposed Project Construction.....	4.12-15
Table 4.12-4	Annual Emissions of Criteria Pollutants from Proposed Project Operation.....	4.12-16
Table 4.12-5	Typical Noise Levels for Construction	4.12-18
Table 4.12-6	Predicted Noise Levels at Closest Receptors from Uncontrolled HDD Activities	4.12-20
Table 4.12-7	Construction Blasting Noise from Blast Site and Ground-Borne Noise Impact Criteria	4.12-23
Table 4.12-8	Octave Band Sound Power Levels per Pump and Associated Motor	4.12-25
Table 4.12-9	Predicted Noise Levels at Closest Noise Receptors from each Uncontrolled Pump Station.....	4.12-27
Table 4.12-10	Summary of Uncontrolled Pump Station Noise on Magnitude of Community Reaction or Percentage Change in High Annoyance at Closest Communities	4.12-30
Table 4.12-11	Summary of Noise Reductions Required for Pump Station Compliance with all Applicable Noise Criteria for the Proposed Project.....	4.12-33
Table 4.12-12	Uncontrolled Pump Station Noise Levels at Closest Designated Points on National Historic Trails, National Scenic Rivers, Wild and Scenic Rivers, and National Recreational Rivers.....	4.12-34
Table 4.12-13	Summary of Uncontrolled Pump Station Noise on Magnitude of Community Reaction or Percentage Change in High Annoyance at National Historic Trails, National Scenic Rivers, and National Recreational Rivers	4.12-39
Table 4.13-1	Spill Volume Distribution by Pipeline Component	4.13-17
Table 4.13-2	Spill Volume Distribution by Pipeline Component, July 2012–August 2013	4.13-20
Table 4.13-3	Effect on Spill Incident Frequencies—Mainline Valves	4.13-22
Table 4.13-4	Effect on Spill Incident Frequencies—Pump Stations.....	4.13-22
Table 4.13-5	Historic Incident Summary, Onshore Mainline Pipe 16-inch-diameter and Larger	4.13-27

Table 4.13-6	Historic Incident Summary, Onshore Mainline Pipe 16-inch-diameter and Larger, Spill Size Greater than 1,000 Barrels	4.13-27
Table 4.13-7	Historic Incident Summary, Onshore Crude Oil Pipeline System, Tanks	4.13-27
Table 4.13-8	Historic Incident Summary, Onshore Crude Oil Pipeline System, Tanks, Spill Size Greater than 1,000 Barrels	4.13-28
Table 4.13-9	Historic Incident Summary, Onshore Crude Oil Pipeline System, Mainline Valves	4.13-28
Table 4.13-10	Historic Incident Summary, Onshore Crude Oil Pipeline System, Pumping Stations	4.13-28
Table 4.13-11	Historic Incident Summary, Onshore Crude Oil Pipeline System, Pumping Stations, Spill Size Greater than 1,000 Barrels	4.13-29
Table 4.13-12	First-Year Historic Incident Summary, Onshore Crude Oil Pipeline, and Reported Elements	4.13-32
Table 4.13-13	Spill Impact Buffers	4.13-49
Table 4.13-14	Summary of Key Input Values Used in HSSM Simulation	4.13-53
Table 4.13-15	Length of Potential Plumes	4.13-54
Table 4.13-16	Potential Impact to Three Representative Resources	4.13-56
Table 4.13-17	Total Estimated Erodible and Prime Farmland Soils in Potential Spill Areas (acres)	4.13-62
Table 4.13-18	Total Estimated Vegetation Community Acreage in Potential Small Spill Areas	4.13-64
Table 4.13-19	Total Estimated Vegetation Community Acreage in Potential Medium Spill Areas	4.13-64
Table 4.13-20	Total Estimated Vegetation Community Acreage in Potential Large Spill Areas	4.13-64
Table 4.13-21	Total Estimated Acreage of Habitat in Potential Surface Spill Areas	4.13-66
Table 4.13-22	Number of Previously Identified Cultural Resource Sites in Potential Spill Buffers	4.13-69
Table 4.13-23	Total Number of Wells in Potential Overland Flow Spill Impact Areas	4.13-72
Table 4.13-24	Total Number of Wells in Potential Groundwater Spill Impact Areas	4.13-72
Table 4.13-25	Total Number of Wells in Potential Combineda Overland/Groundwater Spill Impact Areas	4.13-72
Table 4.13-26	Waterbody Crossings by the Proposed Project	4.13-75
Table 4.13-27	Estimated Total Pipeline Mileage that Could Affect Identified Waterbodies	4.13-75
Table 4.13-28	Estimated Surface Water Benzene Concentrations Resulting from a Diluted Bitumen Spill	4.13-78
Table 4.13-29	Estimated Surface Water Benzene Concentrations Resulting from a Synthetic Crude Spill	4.13-78

Table 4.13-30	Acute Toxicity of Aromatic Hydrocarbons to Freshwater Organisms	4.13-81
Table 4.13-31	Acute Toxicity of Crude Oil Hydrocarbons to Daphnia Magna.....	4.13-82
Table 4.13-32	Chronic Toxicity of Benzene to Freshwater Biota	4.13-83
Table 4.13-33	Comparison of Estimated Benzene Stream Concentrations Following a Diluted Bitumen Spill to the Chronic Toxicity Threshold for Aquatic Life (1.4 ppm)	4.13-85
Table 4.13-34	Comparison of Estimated Benzene Stream Concentrations Following a Synthetic Crude Spill to the Acute Toxicity Threshold for Aquatic Life (1.4 ppm).....	4.13-86
Table 4.13-35	Comparison of Estimated Benzene Stream Concentrations Following a Diluted Bitumen Spill to the Acute Toxicity Threshold for Aquatic Life (7.4 ppm).....	4.13-87
Table 4.13-36	Total Estimated Wetlands Acreage in Potential Surface Spill Areas	4.13-88
Table 4.13-37	Special Conditions as Barriers to Threats	4.13-94
Table 4.13-38	Barrier Assessment of Special Condition Threat Mitigations	4.13-94
Table 4.13-39	Response Time Requirements of 49 CFR Part 194.115	4.13-103
Table 4.13-40	Potentially Applicable Federal and State Soil, Surface Water, and Groundwater Cleanup Regulations	4.13-114
Table 4.14-1	Estimated Direct and Indirect Construction Emissions for the Proposed Project	4.14-15
Table 4.14-2	Direct and Indirect Annual Operating GHG Emissions for the Proposed Project	4.14-17
Table 4.14-3	Ranges of WTW GHG Emissions per Barrel for Weighted-Average Crudes by Lifecycle Stage	4.14-29
Table 4.14-4	Range of GHG Emissions from the Production and Combustion of Petroleum Coke and Other Co-Products for WCSB Oil Sands Crudes and Other Reference Crudes	4.14-34
Table 4.14-5	Share of Total GHG Emissions from Land Use Change by Land Use Change Source	4.14-35
Table 4.14-6	Range in Net Land Use GHG Intensity of Surface Mining and In Situ Extraction Methods, and the Weighted Average Mix of WCSB Oil Sands Crudes.....	4.14-35
Table 4.14-7	Incremental WTW GHG Emissions from Weighted-Average WCSB Oil Sands Crudes Relative to Reference Crudes.....	4.14-40
Table 4.14-8	Accumulated Incremental WTW GHG Emissions over Proposed Project Lifetime	4.14-41
Table 4.14-9	Historical Changes in Temperature by State (1895-2009)	4.14-48
Table 4.14-10	Projected Changes in Average Mean Daily Maximum Temperatures (2010-2099).....	4.14-52
Table 4.14-11	Projected Changes in Precipitation by Climate Region (2010-2099).....	4.14-54
Table 4.15-1	Representative Past Projects Considered in the Cumulative Effects Assessment.....	4.15-6

Table 4.15-2	Representative Present Projects Considered in the Cumulative Effects Assessment.....	4.15-19
Table 4.15-3	Representative Future Projects Considered in the Cumulative Effects Assessment.....	4.15-21
Table 4.15-4	CEA Matrix: Geology.....	4.15-36
Table 4.15-5	CEA Matrix: Soils.....	4.15-38
Table 4.15-6	CEA Matrix: Surface Water.....	4.15-40
Table 4.15-7	CEA Matrix: Groundwater/Hydrogeology	4.15-43
Table 4.15-8	CEA Matrix: Wetlands	4.15-45
Table 4.15-9	CEA Matrix: Terrestrial Vegetation	4.15-50
Table 4.15-10	CEA Matrix: Wildlife	4.15-53
Table 4.15-11	CEA Matrix: Fisheries.....	4.15-56
Table 4.15-12	CEA Matrix: Threatened and Endangered Species	4.15-59
Table 4.15-13	CEA Matrix: Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources	4.15-67
Table 4.15-14	CEA Matrix: Socioeconomics	4.15-70
Table 4.15-15	CEA Matrix: Cultural Resources.....	4.15-73
Table 4.15-16	CEA Matrix: Air Quality and Noise	4.15-76
Table 4.15-17	PADD 2 Refinery Crude Capacity: 2012.....	4.15-82
Table 4.15-18	PADD 3 Refinery Crude Capacity: 2012.....	4.15-83
Table 4.15-19	Net Emissions for the Motiva Refinery Expansion	4.15-88
Table 4.15-20	Probability of an Overlapping Oil Spill from Parallel or Crossing Pipelines.....	4.15-91
Table 4.15-21	Probability of an Overlapping Oil Spill to the Same Water Crossing	4.15-93
Table 4.15-22	NEB Conditions for Authorization (Canadian Portion, Proposed Keystone XL Pipeline).....	4.15-99
Table 4.15-23	Waterbirds and Landbirds of Conservation Concern Present in Alberta’s Oil Sands Lease Areas	4.15-111
Table 4.16-1	Summary of Potential Impacts.....	4.16-2
Table 5.1-1	Potential Impacts to Terrestrial Vegetation by Landcover Type under the Rail/Pipeline Scenario.....	5.1-14
Table 5.1-2	Common Representative Species of the Saskatchewan River.....	5.1-17
Table 5.1-3	Representative Fish Species in the Stroud Area	5.1-17
Table 5.1-4	U.S. States and Counties and Canadian Provinces/Census Divisions within the Rail/Pipeline Scenario—Canadian National Route	5.1-21
Table 5.1-5	U.S. States and Counties and Canadian Provinces/Census Divisions within the Rail/Pipeline Scenario—Canadian Pacific Route.....	5.1-22
Table 5.1-6	Rail/Pipeline Corridor Populations.....	5.1-23
Table 5.1-7	Comparison of Criteria Pollutant Emissions for the Rail/Pipeline Scenario and Proposed Project over a 50-Year Period	5.1-30

Table 5.1-8	Comparison of Predicted Noise Levels at Closest Noise Sensitive Area for the Rail/Pipeline Scenario (CPRS Route) and the Proposed Project	5.1-33
Table 5.1-9	Comparison of Predicted Noise Levels at Closest Noise Sensitive Area for the Rail/Pipeline Scenario (CN Route) and the Proposed Project	5.1-33
Table 5.1-10	Historical Changes in Temperature by State (1895-2009)	5.1-34
Table 5.1-11	Potential Impacts to Terrestrial Vegetation by Landcover Type under the Rail/Tanker Scenario.....	5.1-43
Table 5.1-12	Terrestrial Wildlife Characteristics of the Prince Rupert Ecoregion	5.1-44
Table 5.1-13	Fish Species Relevant to Prince Rupert Facilities	5.1-45
Table 5.1-14	U.S. States and Counties and Canadian Census Divisions affected by the Rail/Tanker Scenario.....	5.1-48
Table 5.1-15	Population Affected Under the Rail/Tanker Scenario	5.1-49
Table 5.1-16	Comparison of Criteria Pollutant Emissions for the Rail/Tanker Scenario and Proposed Project over a 50-Year Period	5.1-55
Table 5.1-17	Global Sea Level Rise Projections.....	5.1-57
Table 5.1-18	U.S. States and Counties and Canadian Provinces/Census Divisions Affected by the Rail Direct to Gulf Coast Scenario	5.1-60
Table 5.1-19	U.S. and Canadian Metropolitan Areas along the Rail Direct to Gulf Coast Scenario	5.1-61
Table 5.1-20	Rail Corridor Population.....	5.1-63
Table 5.1-21	Comparison of Criteria Pollutant Emissions for the Rail Direct to the Gulf Coast Scenario and Proposed Project over a 50-Year Period.....	5.1-68
Table 5.1-22	Comparison of Predicted Noise Levels at Closest Noise Sensitive Area for the Direct Rail to the Gulf Coast Scenario and the Proposed Project	5.1-71
Table 5.1-23	Historical Changes in Temperature by State (1895-2009)	5.1-72
Table 5.1-24	Projected Changes in Temperature and Precipitation in the Subtropical Climate Region under A2 Emissions Scenario	5.1-73
Table 5.1-25	Historic Incident Summary, Crude Oil: Rail Transport and Reported Elements.....	5.1-77
Table 5.1-26	Evacuations Due to Crude Oil Spills by Year: Rail and Pipeline.....	5.1-78
Table 5.1-27	Summary of PHMSA Database and Incident Causes: Rail	5.1-79
Table 5.1-28	Rail Incident Causes	5.1-79
Table 5.1-29	Estimated Crude Oil Transported by Rail and Spill Frequencies by Year ..	5.1-82
Table 5.1-30	Fires and Explosions Due to Crude Oil Spills by Year: Rail and Pipeline	5.1-98
Table 5.2-1	Geology: Comparison of the 2011 Steele City Alternative and the Proposed Project	5.2-9
Table 5.2-2	Soils: Comparison of the 2011 Steele City Alternative and the Proposed Project	5.2-11
Table 5.2-3	Surface Water: Comparison of the 2011 Steele City Alternative and the Proposed Project	5.2-13

Table 5.2-4	Wetlands: Comparison of the 2011 Steele City Alternative and the Proposed Project	5.2-17
Table 5.2-5	Wetlands: Comparison of Sensitive Wetland Regions Crossed by the 2011 Steele City Alternative and the Proposed Project	5.2-18
Table 5.2-6	Vegetation: Summary of Estimated Impacts on Vegetation Communities Crossed (Acres) by the 2011 Steele City Alternative and the Proposed Project	5.2-19
Table 5.2-7	Vegetation: Comparison of Estimated Impacts on Vegetation Communities of Conservation Concerna for the 2011 Steele City Alternative and the Proposed Project	5.2-20
Table 5.2-8	Wildlife: Comparison of Types of Wildlife Impacts for the 2011 Steele City Alternative and the Proposed Project.....	5.2-22
Table 5.2-9	Wildlife: Comparison of Important Wildlife Habitats in Nebraska Crossed by the 2011 Steele City Alternative and the Proposed Project	5.2-23
Table 5.2-10	Fisheries: Comparison of the 2011 Steele City Alternative and the Proposed Project	5.2-24
Table 5.2-11	American Burying Beetle: Fair/Good and Prime Habitat along the Nebraska 2011 Steele City Alternative Route and Proposed Project Route	5.2-29
Table 5.2-12	Land Use: Land Ownership Comparison of the 2011 Steele City Alternative and Proposed Project by ROW Length.....	5.2-29
Table 5.2-13	Land Use: Comparison of the 2011 Steele City Alternative and Proposed Project by ROW Length.....	5.2-30
Table 5.2-14	Land Use: Land Ownership Comparison of the 2011 Steele City Alternative and Proposed Project by ROW Area	5.2-31
Table 5.2-15	Land Use: Comparison of the 2011 Steele City Alternative and Proposed Project by ROW Area	5.2-32
Table 5.2-16	Socioeconomics: Comparison of Selected Characteristics of Areas that would be Affected by the 2011 Steele City Alternative and Proposed Project	5.2-33
Table 5.2-17	Socioeconomics: Comparison of Selected Impacts of the 2011 Steele City Alternative and Proposed Project.....	5.2-34
Table 5.2-18	Cultural Resources: Comparison of Resources near the 2011 Steele City Alignment and the Proposed Project.....	5.2-37
Table 5.2-19	Geology: Comparison of the I-90 Corridor Alternative and the Proposed Project	5.2-40
Table 5.2-20	Soils: Comparison of the I-90 Corridor Alternative and the Proposed Project	5.2-42
Table 5.2-21	Surface Water: Comparison of Water Resources in the I-90 Corridor Alternative and the Proposed Project.....	5.2-44
Table 5.2-22	Wetlands: Comparison of Estimated ROW Wetland Impacts within the I-90 Corridor Alternative and the proposed Project by Phase (Operations and Construction).....	5.2-46

Table 5.2-23	Wetlands: Comparison of Wetlands Regions Crossed by the I-90 Corridor Alternative and the Proposed Project.....	5.2-46
Table 5.2-24	Vegetation: Summary of Estimated Impacts on Vegetation Communities Crossed (Acres) by the I-90 Corridor Alternative and the Proposed Project	5.2-47
Table 5.2-25	Vegetation: Estimated Impacts on Vegetation Communities of Conservation Concern Crossed by the I-90 Corridor Alternative and the Proposed Project	5.2-48
Table 5.2-26	Wildlife: Comparison of the Impacts Associated with the I-90 Corridor Alternative and the Proposed Project.....	5.2-51
Table 5.2-27	Comparison of Wildlife: Important Wildlife Habitats within or near the I 90 Corridor Alternative Area and the Proposed Project.....	5.2-52
Table 5.2-28	Fisheries: Comparison of the I-90 Corridor Alternative and the Proposed Project	5.2-53
Table 5.2-29	Threatened and Endangered Species: Comparison of Potential Protected Species Habitats Crossed (Miles) by the I-90 Corridor Alternative and the Proposed Project in South Dakota and Nebraska.....	5.2-55
Table 5.2-30	Land Use: Land Ownership Comparison of the I-90 Corridor Alternative and the Proposed Project by ROW Length	5.2-56
Table 5.2-31	Land Use: Comparison of the I-90 Corridor Alternative and the Proposed Project by ROW Length.....	5.2-56
Table 5.2-32	Land Use: Land Ownership Comparison of the I-90 Corridor Alternative and the Proposed Project by ROW Area.....	5.2-57
Table 5.2-33	Land Use: Comparison of the I-90 Corridor Alternative and Proposed Project by ROW Area	5.2-58
Table 5.2-34	Socioeconomics: Comparison of States and Counties within the I-90 Corridor Alternative and the Proposed Project Area	5.2-59
Table 5.2-35	Socioeconomics: Comparison of Selected Socioeconomic Impacts of the I-90 Corridor Alternative and the Proposed Project	5.2-60
Table 5.3-1	Physical Disturbance Impacts Associated with New Construction and Operations for the Proposed Project and Alternatives	5.3-2
Table 5.3-2	Annual Greenhouse Gas Emissions from Crude Transport (Full Pathway) Associated with the Proposed Project and Alternatives (per 100,000 bpd).....	5.3-5
Table 5.3-3	Potential Releases Impacts (Full Pathway) Associated with the Proposed Project and Alternatives	5.3-8

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1-1	Project Overview	1.1-3
Figure 1.1-2	Comparison of Proposed Project and Previously Proposed Project in Montana, South Dakota, and Nebraska.....	1.1-5
Figure 1.2-1	Proposed Keystone XL Project and Associated Project	1.2-3
Figure 1.2.1-1	Existing Keystone Pipeline and Proposed Keystone Expansions.....	1.2-4
Figure 1.4.1-1	Estimated Crude Oil Transported by Rail from WCSB, bpd.....	1.4-2
Figure 1.4.1-2	Crude by Train Loading and Off-Loading Facilities in 2010 (top map) and 2013 (bottom map).....	1.4-5
Figure 1.4.1-3	Oil Sands Supply Costs (WTI-Equivalent \$/bbl), Project Capacity, and Production Projections	1.4-7
Figure 1.4.2-1	Petroleum Administration for Defense Districts (PADD) Locations	1.4-13
Figure 1.4.2-2	AEO Forecasts for Domestic Crude and Condensate Production	1.4-15
Figure 1.4.2-3	AEO Forecasts for U.S. Liquid Fuels Consumption.....	1.4-17
Figure 1.4.2-4	AEO Gasoline/E85 Consumption	1.4-17
Figure 1.4.2-5	AEO Diesel Consumption.....	1.4-18
Figure 1.4.2-6	Other Liquids Fuels Consumption (excluding Gas/E85, and Diesel).....	1.4-18
Figure 1.4.2-7	Distribution of Global Coking Capacity	1.4-21
Figure 1.4.2-8	Distribution of U.S. Coking Capacity.....	1.4-21
Figure 1.4.2-9	Average Quality of Crude Oil Input to Refineries.....	1.4-23
Figure 1.4.2-10	Average Annual Imports by API Gravity, thousand bpd.....	1.4-23
Figure 1.4.2-11	Quality of Domestic and Imported Crude Processed by U.S. Refiners	1.4-24
Figure 1.4.2-12	Gross U.S. Crude Oil Imports by Major Foreign Sources.....	1.4-26
Figure 1.4.2-13	Estimated WTI-Equivalent Supply Costs for all Oil Sands Projects.....	1.4-35
Figure 1.4.2-14	Estimated WTI-Equivalent Supply Costs for Future Oil Sands Projects	1.4-38
Figure 1.4.2-15	Dilbit, Railbit, Rawbit Supply Costs in Western Canada (\$/bbl)	1.4-39
Figure 1.4.3-1	Selected Current and Proposed Crude Oil Pipelines.....	1.4-49
Figure 1.4.3-2	CPRS and CN Reported Crude Oil Transport—Carloads	1.4-53
Figure 1.4.3-3	Estimated Crude Oil Transported by Rail from WCSB, bpd.....	1.4-55
Figure 1.4.3-4	Estimated Crude Rail Loadings in WCSB Compared to NEB Crude by Rail Exports to the United States	1.4-56
Figure 1.4.3-5	Estimated Western Canada Crude Oil Rail Loading Nameplate Capacity (Year End).....	1.4-58
Figure 1.4.3-6	Existing, Planned, and Potential Rail Facilities in Western Canada for Crude Loading	1.4-62
Figure 1.4.3-7	Western Canada Crude by Rail Shipments Compared to North Dakota	1.4-63
Figure 1.4.3-8	Western Canada Compared to North Dakota.....	1.4-64
Figure 1.4.3-9	Western Canada Crude Rail Loading Capacity Compared to North Dakota.....	1.4-65

Figure 1.4.3-10	Gulf Coast Area Crude by Rail Destination Facilities and Refinery Sector Capacities.....	1.4-67
Figure 1.4.3-11	Crude by Train Loading and Off-Loading Facilities in 2010, Estimated Capacities.....	1.4-70
Figure 1.4.3-12	Crude by Train Loading and Off-Loading Facilities in 2013 (Current and Planned), Estimated Capacities.....	1.4-71
Figure 1.4.3-13	Annual Carloads by Commodity for Major U.S. Railroads.....	1.4-76
Figure 1.4.3-14	Powder River Basin Major Coal Rail Lines	1.4-78
Figure 1.4.3-15	Annual Increases in Rail Transport to Accommodate WCSB Production Compared to Coal	1.4-79
Figure 1.4.3-16	Estimated Tank Car Capacity Compared to Potential Crude-by-Rail Demand	1.4-81
Figure 1.4.3-17	Pipeline Economics Net Per Barrel of Bitumen (Western Canada to the U.S. Gulf Coast Area), US\$.....	1.4-87
Figure 1.4.3-18	Railbit by Rail Economics Net per Barrel of Bitumen (Western Canada to the U.S. Gulf Coast Area), US\$/bbl.....	1.4-88
Figure 1.4.3-19	Bitumen by Rail Economics (Western Canada to the U.S. Gulf Coast Area), US\$/bbl	1.4-89
Figure 1.4.4-1	Reference Case WCSB Crude to Markets in 2035	1.4-97
Figure 1.4.4-2	Share of WCSB Crude to the United States.....	1.4-98
Figure 1.4.4-3	Reference Case Heavy Crude Demand in 2035 by PADD and Pipeline Case.....	1.4-99
Figure 1.4.4-4	Reference Case PADD 3 Throughputs by Pipeline Case, million bpd.....	1.4-100
Figure 1.4.4-5	Reference Case Share of PADD 3 Heavy Crude Supply in 2035 by Source	1.4-101
Figure 1.4.4-6	Reference Case Rail Shipments of WCSB Crudes to U.S. and Canadian Destinations	1.4-103
Figure 1.4.4-7	Reference Case Constrained Scenario WCSB Crude Shipments by Rail to the United States	1.4-104
Figure 1.4.4-8	Reference Case WCS Prices by Pipeline Scenario	1.4-105
Figure 1.4.4-9	Reference and High Resource Case Crude Production and Imports in 2035	1.4-106
Figure 1.4.4-10	Reference and High Resource Case Light/Medium and Heavy Crude Imports in 2035	1.4-107
Figure 1.4.4-11	High Resource Case and Reference Case Petroleum Product Exports in 2035	1.4-108
Figure 1.4.4-12	High Resource Case Rail Shipments of WCSB Crudes	1.4-109
Figure 1.4.4-13	High Resource Case Constrained Scenario Rail Shipments of WCSB Crudes to the United States by PADD.....	1.4-110
Figure 1.4.4-14	High Resource Case WCS Prices by Pipeline Scenario, \$/bbl	1.4-111

Figure 1.4.4-15	Reference and Low/No Imports Case Liquid Fuels Net Liquids Imports and Gross Crude Imports in 2035	1.4-112
Figure 1.4.4-16	Reference and Low/No Case Light/Medium and Heavy Crude Imports in 2035	1.4-113
Figure 1.4.4-17	Reference and Low/No Case Refined Product Exports in 2035	1.4-114
Figure 1.4.4-18	Low/No Imports Case Rail shipments of WCSB Crudes to U.S. and Canadian Destinations	1.4-115
Figure 1.4.4-19	Low/No Imports Constrained Scenario Rail Shipments of WCSB Crudes to the United States by PADD	1.4-116
Figure 1.4.4-20	Low/No Imports Case WCS Prices by Pipeline Scenario	1.4-117
Figure 1.4.4-21	Latin American Oil Production in the Reference and High Latin America Case, million bpd	1.4-118
Figure 1.4.4-22	High Latin America Case WCSB Crude Supply to Refineries in 2035 by Major Market	1.4-119
Figure 1.4.4-23	Reference Case PADD3 Heavy Crude Supply in 2035 by Source	1.4-120
Figure 1.4.4-24	High Latin America Case PADD3 Heavy Crude Supply in 2035 by Source	1.4-121
Figure 1.4.4-25	High Latin America Case Shipments of WCSB Crudes to U.S. and Canadian Destinations	1.4-122
Figure 1.4.4-26	High Latin America Case WCS Prices by Pipeline Scenario	1.4-123
Figure 1.4.5-1	Reference and Low Oil Price Case Projections for Canadian and Bitumen Production	1.4-127
Figure 1.4.5-2	Oil Sands Supply Costs (WTI-Equivalent \$/bbl), Project Capacity, and Production Projections	1.4-132
Figure 1.4.6-1	Average Crude Oil and Gasoline Price Spreads	1.4-139
Figure 2.0-1	Existing Keystone Cushing Extension	2-3
Figure 2.1.1-1	Proposed Project Overview—Montana	2.1-3
Figure 2.1.1-2	Proposed Project Overview—South Dakota	2.1-5
Figure 2.1.1-3	Proposed Project Overview—Nebraska	2.1-7
Figure 2.1.1-4	NDEQ-Identified Sand Hills Region	2.1-13
Figure 2.1.1-5	Clarks Route Modification	2.1-15
Figure 2.1.1-6	Western Route Modification	2.1-16
Figure 2.1.2-1	Construction ROW without Adjacent Pipeline	2.1-19
Figure 2.1.4-1	Typical Pump Station with Pigging Facilities	2.1-25
Figure 2.1.5-1	Proposed Temporary Construction Camps	2.1-33
Figure 2.1.7-1	Construction Spreads	2.1-45
Figure 2.1.7-2	Typical Pipeline Construction Sequence	2.1-48
Figure 2.1.7-3	Pump Facility without Pigging Facilities	2.1-57
Figure 2.1.8-1	Uncased Road—Railroad Crossing Bore Detail	2.1-59
Figure 2.1.12-1	Bakken Marketlink, Baker, MT	2.1-82

Figure 2.1.12-2	Location of Bakken Marketlink Project.....	2.1-83
Figure 2.1.12-3	Bakken Marketlink Project Map.....	2.1-85
Figure 2.1.12-4	Big Bend to Witten 230-kV Line.....	2.1-87
Figure 2.2.3-1	Representative Crude by Rail Off-Loading Facility in the Gulf Coast Area.....	2.2-11
Figure 2.2.4-1	Representative Rail Routes between Canada and the United States: Rail/Pipeline Scenario	2.2-13
Figure 2.2.4-2	Representative Bakken to Cushing Rail Route.....	2.2-15
Figure 2.2.4-3	Representative Rail Loading Facility (under construction) in Canada.....	2.2-18
Figure 2.2.4-4	Representative Rail Route from Lloydminster to Prince Rupert: Rail/Tanker Scenario	2.2-23
Figure 2.2.4-5	Representative Rail Routes between Canada and the United States: Rail Direct to Gulf Coast Scenario	2.2-31
Figure 2.2.4-6	Representative Rail Route from Hardisty Region to Wood River, Illinois	2.2-35
Figure 2.2.5-1	Major Route Alternatives.....	2.2-47
Figure 2.2.5-2	Keystone Corridor Alternative Options' Relationship to Ogallala Aquifer and Northern High Plains Aquifer	2.2-55
Figure 2.2.5-3	Major Route Alternatives Carried forward for Detailed Analysis.....	2.2-61
Figure 2.2.5-4	I-90 Corridor Alternative	2.2-67
Figure 2.2.5-5	NDEQ-Identified Sand Hills Region	2.2-69
Figure 3.1.1-1	Paleontological Resources Identified Along the Pipeline Corridor in Montana, South Dakota, and Nebraska.....	3.1-2
Figure 3.1.2-1	Surface Geology of Proposed Project Route	3.1-5
Figure 3.1.2-2	Seismic Hazards.....	3.1-27
Figure 3.1.2-3	Landslide Hazard Areas.....	3.1-29
Figure 3.1.2-4	Flood Hazard Areas	3.1-33
Figure 3.2.1-1	Approximate Acreage of Soils in National Inventory Groups Affected by the Proposed Project	3.2-2
Figure 3.2.2-1	NDEQ-Identified Sand Hills Region	3.2-7
Figure 3.2.2-2	Highly Wind Erodible Soils.....	3.2-9
Figure 3.3.1-1	Waterbodies Crossed by Category.....	3.3-4
Figure 3.3.1-2	Waterbodies Crossed with Designated Uses.....	3.3-5
Figure 3.3.2-1	Schematic Hydrogeologic Cross-Section along Proposed Pipeline Route....	3.3-7
Figure 3.3.2-2	Montana Water Wells Within 1 Mile of Proposed Pipeline Route.....	3.3-19
Figure 3.3.2-3	South Dakota Water Wells Within 1 Mile of Proposed Pipeline Route	3.3-21
Figure 3.3.2-4	Nebraska Water Wells Within 1 Mile of Proposed Pipeline Route.....	3.3-23
Figure 3.3.3-1	Montana Watersheds Crossed by the Proposed Project Route	3.3-34
Figure 3.3.3-2	South Dakota Watersheds Crossed by the Proposed Project Route	3.3-39
Figure 3.3.3-3	Nebraska Watersheds Crossed by the Proposed Project Route	3.3-44

Figure 3.4.2-1	Montana Wetland Crossings and USEPA Ecoregions.....	3.4-5
Figure 3.4.2-2	South Dakota Wetland Crossings and USEPA Ecoregions.....	3.4-7
Figure 3.4.2-3	Nebraska Wetland Crossings and USEPA Ecoregions.....	3.4-9
Figure 3.5.2-1	Montana USEPA Ecoregions.....	3.5-5
Figure 3.5.2-2	South Dakota USEPA Ecoregions.....	3.5-7
Figure 3.5.2-3	Nebraska USEPA Ecoregions.....	3.5-9
Figure 3.5.4-1	Montana Vegetation Communities of Conservation Concern.....	3.5-23
Figure 3.5.4-2	South Dakota Vegetation Communities of Conservation Concern.....	3.5-25
Figure 3.5.4-3	Nebraska Vegetation Communities of Conservation Concern.....	3.5-27
Figure 3.6.1-1	Habitat Types Located within the Proposed Pipeline ROW (Acres).....	3.6-2
Figure 3.6.2-1	Bird Conservation Regions Crossed by the Proposed Pipeline ROW.....	3.6-13
Figure 3.7.1-1	Species Spawning by Month.....	3.7-2
Figure 3.7.1-2	Perennial Waterbody Crossings by State.....	3.7-3
Figure 3.8.3-1	Greater Sage-Grouse Core Habitat Areas and Current Distribution.....	3.8-12
Figure 3.8.3-2	Central Flyway Whooping Crane Migration Corridor for the Aransas- Wood Buffalo Population.....	3.8-18
Figure 3.8.3-3	American Burying Beetle Range in Nebraska.....	3.8-22
Figure 3.9.1-1	Land Crossed by the Proposed Project Route (% by Ownership).....	3.9-2
Figure 3.9.1-2	Land Crossed by the Proposed Project Route (% by Use).....	3.9-2
Figure 3.10.1-1	Population of Project Area Counties.....	3.10-4
Figure 3.10.1-2	2010 Employment (Jobs) by Industry in the Economic Corridor.....	3.10-5
Figure 3.10.2-1	Minority and Low-Income Populations within the Socioeconomic Analysis Area.....	3.10-7
Figure 3.10.2-2	Communities within 2 Miles of the Proposed Project Area.....	3.10-11
Figure 3.11.1-1	NRHP Eligibility of Cultural Resources Identified within the Proposed Project APE.....	3.11-2
Figure 3.11.2-1	Section 106: Identifying and Assessing Effects to Cultural Resources.....	3.11-5
Figure 3.11.4-1	Indian Tribes Consulted.....	3.11-39
Figure 3.12.1-1	2011 Regional Background Air Quality Concentrations for the Proposed Project.....	3.12-2
Figure 3.13.4-1	Identified Potential Spill Receptors.....	3.13-27
Figure 4.1.1-1	Pipeline Route Miles Crossed Potentially Requiring Ripping in Montana, South Dakota, and Nebraska.....	4.1-2
Figure 4.2.1-1	Approximate Acreage of Soils in National Inventory Groups Affected by the Proposed Project.....	4.2-3
Figure 4.4.1-1	Cumulative Summary for Estimated Construction- and Operations- Related Wetland Impacts and Permanent Wetland Loss for Entire Proposed Project.....	4.4-2
Figure 4.4.3-1	Estimated Construction- and Operations-Related Wetland Impacts and Permanent Wetland Loss for Montana.....	4.4-8

Figure 4.4.3-2	Estimated Construction- and Operations-Related Wetland Impacts and Permanent Wetland Loss for South Dakota.....	4.4-8
Figure 4.4.3-3	Estimated Construction- and Operations-Related Wetland Impacts and Permanent Wetland Loss for Nebraska.....	4.4-9
Figure 4.5.1-1	Vegetation Community Crossing for the Overall Proposed Project.....	4.5-3
Figure 4.5.3-1	Montana Land Cover	4.5-7
Figure 4.5.3-2	South Dakota Land Cover.....	4.5-9
Figure 4.5.3-3	Nebraska Land Cover	4.5-11
Figure 4.7.1-1	Perennial Waterbodies Crossed	4.7-2
Figure 4.8.3-1	American Burying Beetle Habitat Ratings in South Dakota and Nebraska	4.8-23
Figure 4.9.1-1	Temporary Easement Required, by Land Ownership Type.....	4.9-2
Figure 4.9.1-2	Temporary Easement Required, by Land Use Type.....	4.9-3
Figure 4.9.1-3	Permanent Easement Required, by Land Ownership Type	4.9-4
Figure 4.9.1-4	Permanent Easement Required, by Land Use Type.....	4.9-4
Figure 4.10.1-1	Total Employment Supported by Construction of the Proposed Project.....	4.10-3
Figure 4.10.1-2	Employment and Earnings by Industry Supported by Construction of the Proposed Project (Total U.S.)	4.10-4
Figure 4.10.1-3	Health Professional Shortage Areas and Medically Underserved Areas/ Populations in the Socioeconomic Analysis Area	4.10-7
Figure 4.10.1-4	Estimated Annual Property Tax Revenue from the Proposed Project.....	4.10-9
Figure 4.11.1-1	Cultural Resources Located within the Proposed Project Construction Footprint.....	4.11-2
Figure 4.11.1-2	Potential Effects to Cultural Resources Located within the Proposed Project Construction Footprint.....	4.11-3
Figure 4.12.1-1	Summary of Criteria Pollutants and Hazardous Air Pollutants from Proposed Project Construction.....	4.12-3
Figure 4.12.1-2	Predicted Noise Levels at Closest Noise Receptors from Uncontrolled and Controlled Pump Stations	4.12-6
Figure 4.13.3-1	Number of Pipeline Injury, Fatality, Fire, and Explosion Incidents per Billion Ton-Miles Transported, Crude Oil and Petroleum Products, 2002 to 2009	4.13-23
Figure 4.13.3-2	Reported Number of First-Year Incidents by Installation Year, the Keystone Pipeline System and Other Crude Oil Pipelines	4.13-33
Figure 4.13.3-3	Volume Distribution of Spills within First Year of Pipeline Operation, the Keystone Pipeline System and Other Crude Oil Pipelines	4.13-34
Figure 4.13.3-4	Median Spill Volumes Reported in the First Year of Operation, the Keystone Pipeline System and Other Crude Oil Pipelines	4.13-35
Figure 4.13.3-5	Failure Cause Distribution of Spills within First Year of Pipeline Operation.....	4.13-36
Figure 4.13.3-6	Reported Number of Incidents and Pipelines by Years of Operation.....	4.13-37

Figure 4.13.4-1	Modeled Maximum Plume Distances	4.13-50
Figure 4.13.6-1	Types of Pipe Wall Deformations.....	4.13-110
Figure 4.14.1-1	Image of the Greenhouse Effect	4.14-1
Figure 4.14.1-2	Relationship between Climate Change and the Proposed Project	4.14-4
Figure 4.14.3-1	Simplified Lifecycle of Crude Oils.....	4.14-20
Figure 4.14.3-2	Crude Oil Lifecycle Boundaries	4.14-22
Figure 4.14.3-3	Illustration of GHG Intensities for Different Crude Oils.....	4.14-24
Figure 4.14.3-4	WTW Weighted-Average GHG Emissions from the Mix of WCSB Oil Sands Crudes Compared to Reference Crudes in NETL (2009)	4.14-30
Figure 4.14.3-5	Percent Change in Near-Term WTW Weighted-Average GHG Emissions from the Mix of Oil Sands Crudes that may be Transported in the Proposed Project Relative to Reference Crudes	4.14-31
Figure 4.14.3-6	Comparison of the Percent Differential for Various WTT GHGs from Gasoline Produced from WCSB Oil Sands Relative to Reference Crudes	4.14-32
Figure 4.14.3-7	WTW GHG Emissions from Weighted Average WCSB Oil Sands Crudes, and Incremental WTW GHG Emissions from Displacing Reference Crudes	4.14-37
Figure 4.14.3-8	U.S. Domestic Crude Production by Source from 1990 to 2040.....	4.14-42
Figure 4.14.3-9	U.S. Crude Oil Imports from 2002 to 2012	4.14-43
Figure 4.14.5-1	Emissions Scenarios.....	4.14-50
Figure 4.14.5-2	Climate Regions of the United States	4.14-51
Figure 4.14.5-3	Temperature and Precipitation Change for the Great Plains.....	4.14-53
Figure 4.15.2-1	Known Locations of Past, Present, and Reasonably Foreseeable Future Projects in Montana	4.15-29
Figure 4.15.2-2	Known Locations of Past, Present, and Reasonably Foreseeable Future Projects in South Dakota.....	4.15-31
Figure 4.15.2-3	Known Locations of Past, Present, and Reasonably Foreseeable Future Projects in Nebraska	4.15-33
Figure 4.15.3-1	Known Locations of Past, Present, and Reasonably Foreseeable Future Projects in South Dakota with American Burying Beetle Areas of Potential Occurrence and Central Flyway Whooping Crane Migration Corridor.....	4.15-63
Figure 4.15.3-2	Known Locations of Past, Present, and Reasonably Foreseeable Future Projects in Nebraska with American Burying Beetle Areas of Potential Occurrence and Central Flyway Whooping Crane Migration Corridor	4.15-65
Figure 4.15.3-3	Boiling Point Distribution for Typical Dilbit versus PADD 3 Crude.....	4.15-81
Figure 4.15.4-1	Proposed Keystone XL Pipeline Route in Canada	4.15-95
Figure 5.1.3-1	Historic Incident Cause, Crude Oil: Rail Transport, Reported Elements	5.1-80
Figure 5.1.3-2	Historic Incident Spill Volumes, Crude Oil Rail Transport	5.1-81

Figure 5.1.3-3	Number of Releases per Million Ton-Miles Transported, Crude Oil: Pipeline, Rail, and Marine	5.1-84
Figure 5.1.3-4	Number of Releases per Million Ton-Miles Transported, Petroleum: Pipeline, Rail, and Marine	5.1-85
Figure 5.1.3-5	Number of Barrels Released per Million Ton-Miles Transported, Crude Oil: Pipeline, Rail, and Marine	5.1-85
Figure 5.1.3-6	Number of Barrels Released per Million Ton-Miles Transported, Petroleum: Pipeline, Rail, and Marine	5.1-86
Figure 5.1.3-7	Number of Barrels Released per Million Barrels Transported, Crude Oil: Pipeline, Rail, and Marine	5.1-86
Figure 5.1.3-8	Number of Barrels Released per Million Barrels Transported, Petroleum: Pipeline and Marine	5.1-87
Figure 5.1.3-9	Number of Incidents per Million Ton-Miles Transported, Crude Oil: Pipeline, Rail, and Marine	5.1-88
Figure 5.1.3-10	Number of Incidents per Million Ton-Miles Transported, Petroleum: Pipeline, Rail, and Marine	5.1-88
Figure 5.1.3-11	Number of People Evacuated, Crude Oil: Pipeline and Rail	5.1-89
Figure 5.1.3-12	Number of People Evacuated, Petroleum: Pipeline and Rail	5.1-90
Figure 5.1.3-13	Number of Injuries per Million Ton-Miles Transported, Petroleum: Pipeline, Rail, and Marine	5.1-91
Figure 5.1.3-14	Number of Injuries per Million Ton-Miles Transported, Crude Oil: Pipeline, Rail, and Marine	5.1-91
Figure 5.1.3-15	Number of Fatalities per Million Ton-Miles Transported: Crude Oil: Pipeline, Rail, and Marine	5.1-92
Figure 5.1.3-16	Number of Fatalities per Million Ton-Miles Transported: Petroleum Pipeline, Rail, and Marine	5.1-92
Figure 5.1.3-17	Number of Injuries and Fatalities per Million Ton-Miles Transported: Petroleum Pipeline and Class I Rail.....	5.1-94
Figure 5.1.3-18	Number of Injuries per Million Ton-Miles Transported: Petroleum Pipeline and Class I Rail	5.1-95
Figure 5.1.3-19	Fatalities per Million Ton-miles Transported: Petroleum Pipeline and Class I Rail	5.1-95
Figure 5.1.3-20	Projection of One Year of Injuries and Fatalities: Pipeline and Rail.....	5.1-96
Figure 5.1.3-21	Number of Fires per Million Ton-Miles Transported, Crude Oil: Pipeline and Rail	5.1-99
Figure 5.1.3-22	Number of Fires per Million Ton-Miles Transported, Petroleum: Pipeline and Rail	5.1-100
Figure 5.1.3-23	Number of Explosions per Million Ton-Miles Transported, Crude Oil: Pipeline and Rail	5.1-100
Figure 5.1.3-24	Number of Explosions per Million Ton-Miles Transported, Petroleum: Pipeline and Rail	5.1-101
Figure 5.2-1	Proposed Project and Major Route Alternatives.....	5.2-3

Figure 5.2.2-1	Major Route Alternatives and the Proposed Project Route, USEPA Ecoregions, and Wetland Distribution.....	5.2-15
Figure 5.2.2-2	2007 Estimated American Burying Beetle Distribution in Nebraska.....	5.2-27
Figure 5.3.3-1	Number of Barrels Released per Million Ton-Miles Transported, Crude Oil: Pipeline, Rail, and Marine	5.3-10
Figure 5.3.3-2	Number of Releases per Million Ton-Miles Transported, Crude Oil: Pipeline, Rail, and Marine	5.3-10
Figure 5.3.3-3	Number of Injuries and Fatalities per Million Ton-Miles Transported: Petroleum Pipeline and Class I Rail.....	5.3-11
Figure 5.3.3-4	Number of Fires per Million Ton-Miles Transported, Crude Oil: Pipeline and Rail	5.3-11

ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

°C	degrees Celsius	ARPA	Archeological Resources Protection Act of 1979
°F	degrees Fahrenheit	ASME	American Society of Mechanical Engineers
%HA	percent highly annoyed	ASRWSS	Assiniboine and Sioux Rural Water Supply System
µg/m ³	microgram(s) per cubic meter	ATWS	additional temporary work space
/m	per meter	AUB	Alberta Utilities Commission
AA	alluvial aquifer	AWBP	Aransas-Wood Buffalo National Park
AAQS	Ambient Air Quality Standards	BA	Biological Assessment
AAR	American Association of Railroads	bbl	barrel
ABMI	Alberta Biodiversity Monitoring Institute	bcf	billion cubic feet
AC	alternating current	bcf/d	billion cubic feet/day
ACHP	Advisory Council on Historic Preservation	BEA	U.S. Bureau of Economic Analysis
ACS	American Community Survey	BEPC	Basin Electric Power Cooperative
ACVG	alternating current voltage gradient	BG	block group
AEO	Annual Energy Outlook	BGEPA	Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act
AERI	Alberta Energy Research Institute	bgs	below ground surface
AEUB	Alberta Energy and Utilities Board	BIA	Bureau of Indian Affairs
AG	agriculture	BLM	Bureau of Land Management
AIRFA	American Indian Religious Freedom Act of 1978	BMP	best management practice
AITF	Alberta Innovates Technology Futures	BNSF	BNSF Railway Company
Al-Pac FMA	Alberta-Pacific Forest Industries Forest Management Area	boe	barrel(s) of oil equivalent
amsl	above mean sea level	BOR	U.S. Bureau of Reclamation
ANSI	American National Standards Institute	bpd	barrels per day
AOC	abnormal operating conditions	bpsd	barrels per stream day
AOPL	Association of Oil Pipelines	BS&W	basic sediment and water
APE	area of potential effects	BTEX	benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylene
API	American Petroleum Institute	BTL	biomass-to-liquids
APLIC	Avian Power Line Interaction Committee	Btu	British thermal unit
AQCR	Air Quality Control Regions	C2ES	Center for Climate and Energy Solutions
AqL	aquatic life	CAA	Clean Air Act
ARM	Administrative Rules Montana	CAAA	Clean Air Act Amendments
		CAFE	Corporate Average Fuel Economy

CAFO	concentrated animal feeding operation	CIF	cost, insurance, and freight
CALI	California National Historic Trail	CIS	close-interval survey
CAPEX	capital expenditure	CL	centerline
CAPP	Canadian Association of Petroleum Producers	CL ROW	centerline of the right-of-way
CARB	OPGEE California Air Resource Board Oil Production Greenhouse Gas Emissions Estimator	cm	centimeter(s)
CBOB	conventional blendstock for gasoline blending	CMIP	Coupled Model Intercomparison Project
CCAC	Climate and Clean Air Coalition to Reduce Short-Lived Climate Pollutants	CMRP	Construction, Mitigation, and Reclamation Plan
CCPS	Center for Chemical Process Safety	CMZ	channel migration zone
CCS	carbon capture and storage	CN	Canadian National
CCSP	U.S. Climate Change Science Program	CNG	compressed natural gas
CDC	Centers for Disease Control and Prevention	CNRL	Canadian Natural Resources Limited
CDU	crude distillation units	CNW	Commercially Navigable Waterway
CE	carbon equivalents	CO	carbon monoxide
CEA	cumulative effects assessment	CO ₂	carbon dioxide
CEAA	Canadian Environmental Assessment Act	CO ₂ e	carbon dioxide equivalent
CEC	Commission for Environmental Cooperation	co-ops	cooperatives
CEMA	Cumulative Environmental Management Association	cP	centipoises
CEQ	Council on Environmental Quality	CP	cathodic protection
CERCLA	Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act	CPI	Consumer Price Index
CERI	Canadian Energy Research Institute	CPRS	Canadian Pacific Railway System
cfm	cubic feet per minute	CPS	contributory pipeline segment
CFR	Code of Federal Regulations	CRM	Control Room Management Rule
CH ₄	methane	CRP	Conservation Reserve Program
CHAAP	Cornhusker Army Ammunition Plant	CRW	letter code for Condensate Blend
CI	carbon intensity	CSA	Canadian Standards Association
CIA	Central Intelligence Agency	CSOR	cumulative steam-oil ratio
CIBC	Canadian Imperial Bank of Commerce	CSS	cyclic steam stimulation
		CT	census tract
		CLT	carbon to liquids
		CVA	Central Valley Agriculture
		CWA	Clean Water Act
		CY	contractor yard
		dBA	decibels on the A-weighted scale
		DC	direct current
		DCVG	direct current voltage gradient
		Department	U.S. Department of State
		dilbit	diluted bitumen

DME	Dakota, Minnesota, & Eastern Railroad	Final EIS	Final Environmental Impact Statement
DNAPL	dense non-aqueous phase liquid	FIRM	flood insurance rate map
DNRC	Department of Natural Resources and Conservation	FOB	free-on-board
DO	dissolved oxygen	FOIA	Freedom of Information Act
DOI	U.S. Department of the Interior	FPR	failure pressure ration
DOH	Department of Health	FR	Federal Register
DPHHS	Department of Public Health and Human Services	FRP	Facility Response Plan
DRU	diluent recovery units	FSA	Farm Service Agency
Dth/day	decatherm(s) per day	ft	feet
DW	drinking water	ft/d	feet per day
DWT	deadweight tonnage	FWCA	Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act
e-GRID	Emissions & Generation Resource Integrated Database	FWP	Farmable Wetlands Program
EC	Economic Corridor	g	gram(s)
EES	electrical equipment shelter	g/cm ³	gram(s) per cubic centimeter
EI	environmental inspector	g/hp-hr	gram(s) per horsepower-hour
EIA	U.S. Energy Information Administration	g/m ²	gram(s) per square meter
EIS	Environmental Impact Statement	g/ml	gram(s) per milliliter
EO	Executive Order	G+D	gasoline and diesel
EPP	Environmental Protection Plan	GAP	National Gap Analysis Program
EPRINC	Energy Policy Research Foundation Inc.	GDP	gross domestic product
ERCB	Energy Resources Conservation Board, Alberta	GHG	greenhouse gas
ERP	Emergency Response Plan	GHGRP	Greenhouse Gas Reporting Program
ESA	Endangered Species Act	GIS	Geographic Information System
ESR	Environmental Screening Report	GOR	Gas-oil ratio
ESRI	Environmental Systems Research Institute	GPA	Great Plains Aquifer
EUB	Energy and Utilities Board (Alberta, Canada)	gpm	gallons per minute
EUR	estimated ultimate recovery	GREET	Greenhouse Gases, Regulated Emissions, and Energy Use in Transportation Model
FBE	fusion-bonded epoxy	GSP	gross state product
FEMA	Federal Emergency Management Agency	GTL	gas-to-liquids
FERC	Federal Energy Regulatory Commission	GWP	global-warming potential
FHWA	Federal Highway Administration	H-C	hydrogen to carbon ratio
		ha	hectare(s)
		H ₂ S	hydrogen sulfide
		HAP	hazardous air pollutant
		HC	hydrocarbons
		HCA	High Consequence Area
		HDD	horizontal directional drill

HFC	hydrofluorocarbon	kg/m ³	kilogram(s) per cubic meter
HFE	hydrofluorinated ether	kgCO ₂ e	kilogram(s) carbon dioxide equivalent
HHV	high heating value	km	kilometer(s)
hp	horsepower	km ²	square kilometer(s)
HPA	High Population Area	KMIGT	Kinder-Morgan Interstate Gas Transmission
HPRCC	High Plains Regional Climate Center	KOP	Keystone's Operating Procedures
HPSA	Health Professional Shortage Area	kPa	kilopascal
hr	hour(s)	KSDA	Kansas Department of Agriculture
hr/yr	hour(s) per year	kV	kilovolt(s)
HRSA	Health Resources and Services Administration	kW	kilowatt(s)
HSSM	Hydrocarbon Spill Screening Model	kWh	kilowatt-hour(s)
HVDC	high voltage direct current	LB	Legislative Bill
IBA	important bird area	lb/MMBtu	pounds per million British thermal units
IC	Incident Commander	LCA	lifecycle analysis
ICCT	International Council on Clean Transportation	LCFS	low carbon fuel standard
ICF	ICF International LLC	LCNHT	Lewis and Clark National Historic Trail
ICS	Incident Command System	Ldn	day-night sound level
IEA	International Energy Agency	LDS	leak detection system
IEO	International Energy Outlook	LDV	light-duty vehicle
IHS CERA	IHS Cambridge Energy Research Associates, Inc.	LECL	Lewis and Clark National Historic Trail
IIW	International Institute of Welding	LEPC	Local Emergency Planning Committee
ILI	inline inspection	Leq	equivalent continuous sound level
IMLV	intermediate mainline valve	Leq(24)	24-hour equivalent sound level
in	inch(es)	LFMM	Liquid Fuels Market Module
IPCC	Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change	LHV	Lower heating value
IRR	internal rates of return	LLC	limited liability company
ISO	International Organization for Standardization	LLS	Light Louisiana Sweet
iSOR	instantaneous steam-oil ratio	Lmax	maximum sound pressure level
ITOPF	International Tanker Owners Pollution Federation Limited	LNAPL	light non-aqueous phase liquid
KDWPT	Kansas Department of Wildlife, Parks, and Tourism	LNG	liquefied natural gas
Keystone LP	TransCanada Keystone Pipeline, LP	LOOP	Louisiana Offshore Oil Port
kg	kilogram(s)	LPG	liquefied petroleum gas
		LRVC	long run variable cost
		LSHR	landscape hazard ranking system
		LTO	light tight oil

L VH	lower heating value	MMbbl/d	million barrels per day
Lw	sound power level	mmbpd	million barrels per day
LW	local/county noxious week	MMBtu	million British thermal units
m	meter(s)	MMcf/d	million cubic feet per day
m/d	meter(s) per day	MMDK	million decatherms
m ³	cubic meter(s)	mmhos/cm	millimho(s) per centimeter
MACT	Maximum Achievable Control Technology	MMTCO ₂ e	million metric tons of CO ₂ equivalents
MALAA	may affect, likely to adversely affect	MNHP	Montana Natural Heritage Program
MBCA	Migratory Bird Convention Act	MOP	maximum operating pressure
MBCB	Montana Building Code Bureau	MOPI	Mormon Pioneer National Historic Trail
MBOGC	Montana Board of Oil and Gas Conservation	MP	milepost
MBTA	Migratory Bird Treaty Act	MPDES	Montana Pollutant Discharge Elimination System
MCA	Montana Code Annotated	mpg	mile(s) per gallon
MCL	maximum contaminant level	mph	mile(s) per hour
MCR	micro carbon residue	MROW	Midwest Reliability Organization West
MDA	Montana Department of Agriculture	MSA	metropolitan statistical area
MDEQ	Montana Department of Environmental Quality	MSDS	Material Data Safety Sheet
MDNRC	Montana Department of Natural Resources and Conservation	MT	Montana
MDT	Montana Department of Transportation	MTCO ₂ e	metric ton(s) of CO ₂ equivalents
MDU	Montana-Dakota Utilities	MUA/P	Medically Underserved Areas/Populations
MEPA	Montana Environmental Policy Act	MVA	megavolt ampere(s)
MFSA	Major Facilities Siting Act	MW	megawatt(s)
MFWP	Montana Fish, Wildlife, and Parks	MWh/yr	megawatt-hour(s) per year
mg	milligram(s)	MWRWSS	Mni Wiconi Rural Water Supply System
mg/L	milligram(s) per liter	N ₂ O	nitrous oxide
mg KOH/g	milligram(s) of potassium hydroxide per gram	NO ₂	nitrogen dioxide
MGWPCS	Montana Ground Water Pollution Control System	NO _x	nitrogen oxides
mi ²	square mile(s)	NA	not applicable
MJ	megajoule(s)	na	not available
MLA	Mineral Leasing Act	NAAQS	National Ambient Air Quality Standards
MLV	mainline valve	NAC	Nebraska Administrative Code
MMA	Montreal, Maine and Atlantic Railway Corporation	NACE	National Association of Corrosion Engineers
		NAGPRA	Native America Graves Protection and Repatriation Act

NAIP	National Aerial Imagery Program	NHPAQ	Northern High Plains Aquifer
NAS	National Academy of Sciences	NHT	National Historic Trail
NCRC	Nebraska Central Railroad Company	NHTSA	National Highway Traffic Safety Administration
ND	no data	NID	National Interest Determination
n.d.	no date	NLAA	may affect, not likely to adversely affect
NDA	Nebraska Department of Agriculture	NLCD	National Land Cover Database
NDE	nondestructive examination	NMFS	National Marine Fisheries Service
NDEQ	Nebraska Department of Environmental Quality	NMHC	non-methane hydrocarbon
NDGFD	North Dakota Game and Fish Department	NNLP	Nebraska Natural Legacy Project
NDHHS	Nebraska Department of Health and Human Services	NNRC	Nebraska Northeastern Railway Company
NDOR	Nebraska Department of Roads	NO ₂	nitrogen dioxide
NDPA	North Dakota Pipeline Authority	NO _x	nitrogen oxides
NE SFM	Nebraska State Fire Marshal	NOA	Notice of Availability
NEAAQS	Nebraska Ambient Air Quality Standards	NOAA	National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
NEB	National Energy Board (Canada)	NOI	Notice of Intent
NEMS	National Energy Modeling System	NO _x	nitrogen oxide
NEPA	National Environmental Policy Act	NPDES	National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System
NESHAP	National Emissions Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants	NPPD	Nebraska Public Power District
NETL	National Energy Technology Laboratory	NPR	National Public Radio
NF ₃	nitrogen trifluoride	NPS	National Park Service
NFO	Non-significant Fossil Occurrence	NPV	net present value
NGFC	Nebraska Game and Fish Commission	NRC	National Response Center
NGL	natural gas liquids	NRCS	Natural Resources Conservation Service
NGO	non-governmental organization	NRD	Natural Resources District
NGPAS	Northern Great Plains Aquifer System	NRDC	Natural Resources Defense Council
NGPC	Nebraska Game and Parks Commission	NRHP	National Register of Historic Places
NGPD	Nebraska Game and Parks Department	NRR	National Recreational River
NHD	National Hydrography Dataset	NSA	noise sensitive areas
NHP	Natural Heritage Program	NSPS	New Source Performance Standards
NHPA	National Historic Preservation Act of 1986	NSR	New Source Review
		NTSB	National Transportation Safety Board
		NW	noxious weed
		NWI	National Wetland Inventory
		NWP	Nationwide Permit

O ₂	oxygen gas	PM _{2.5}	particulate matter with aerodynamic diameter of 2.5 microns and less
O ₃	ozone	PMMP	Paleontological Monitoring and Mitigation Plan
OCC	Operations Control Center	PMP	Pipeline Maintenance Program
OECD	Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development	POEX	Pony Express National Historic Trail
OGJ	Oil & Gas Journal	POTW	publically owned treatment works
OGP	International Association of Oil and Gas Producers	PPA	Protection Priority Areas
OPA	Other Populated Area	PPD	Public Power District
OPA 90	Oil Pollution Act of 1990	PPE	personal protective equipment
OPEC	Organization of the Petroleum Exporting Countries	ppm	part(s) per million
OPS	Office of Pipeline Safety	ppmw	part(s) per million by weight
OREG	Oregon National Historic Trail	PPR	Prairie Pothole Region
OSDG	Oil Sands Developers Group	Project	Keystone XL Project
OSLTF	Oil Spill Liability Trust Fund	PS	pump station
OSRIN	Sands Research and Information Network	PSD	Prevention of Significant Deterioration
OW	open water	psi	pound(s) per square inch
PA	Programmatic Agreement	psig	pound(s) per square inch gauge
PADD	Petroleum Administration for Defense District	PSRP	Pipeline Spill Response Plan
PAH	polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon	PSS	palustrine scrub-shrub
Pb	lead	ptb	pounds per thousand barrels
PCIC	project cumulative impact corridor	PWS	public water supply
PDVSA	Petróleos de Venezuela, S.A.	py	pipeyard
PEM	palustrine emergent	QC	quality control
PFC	perfluorocarbon	R	riverine wetlands
PFO	palustrine forested	R-STRENG	remaining strength
PFYC	Potential Fossil Yield Classification	RAMP	Regional Aquatics Monitoring Program
PHMSA	Pipeline and Hazardous Material Safety Administration	RBOB	reformulated blendstock for oxygenate blending
PHRCC	Petroleum Human Resources Council of Canada	RCNM	Roadway Construction Noise Model
PI	point of inflection (angle)	Rec	recreation
PM	particulate matter	REX-W	Rockies Express-West
PM ₁₀	particulate matter with aerodynamic diameter of 10 microns and less	RFI	radio frequency interference
		RFS	USEPA Renewable Fuel Standard
		RGGI	Regional Greenhouse Gas Initiative
		RH	relative humidity

riv-OW	riverine-open water	SF ₆	sulfur hexafluoride
RMWB	Regional Municipality of Wood Buffalo	SFL	Significant Fossil Localities
ROD	Record of Decision	SFM	Office of the State Fire Marshall
ROS	rest of state	SHPO	State Historic Preservation Office(er)
ROW	right-of-way	SIP	State Implementation Plan
RP	Recommended Practice	SLWC	Stillwater Central Railroad
RPMA	Recovery-Priority Management Area	SMP	Security Management Program
RPS	Renewable Portfolio Standard	SMS	Scenery Management System
RTT	refinery-to-tank	SMYS	specified minimum yield strength
RUS	Rural Utilities Service	SO ₂	sulfur dioxide
RV	recreational vehicle	SOR	steam-oil ratio
SAGD	steam-assisted gravity drainage	SO _x	sulfur oxides
SAR	Second Assessment Report	SPCC	Spill Prevention, Control, and Countermeasure
SARA	Species at Risk Act	SPSO	Southwest Power Pool South
SC	species of concern	STB	Surface Transportation Board
SCADA	Supervisory Control and Data Acquisition	SWPA	Source Water Protection Area
SCC	stress corrosion cracking	TAN	total acid number
SCO	synthetic crude oil	TAPS	Trans-Alaska Pipeline System
SD	South Dakota	TBD	to be determined
SD DOT	South Dakota Department of Transportation	TCE	trichloroethylene
SDA	South Dakota Department of Agriculture	TCEQ	Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
SDCL	South Dakota Common Law	TCP	traditional cultural properties
SDDENR	South Dakota Department of Environment and Natural Resources	TCR	The Climate Registry
SDGFP	South Dakota Game, Fish, and Parks	TDS	total dissolved solids
SDIWWG	South Dakota Interagency Wetlands Working Group	TEFC	The Ecological Framework of Canada
SDPUC	South Dakota Public Utilities Commission	THPO	Tribal Historic Preservation Officer
SDS	Safety Data Sheet	TK	traditional knowledge
SDSMT	South Dakota School of Mines and Technology	TKN	total Kjehldahl nitrogen
SDWA	Safe Drinking Water Act	TKWG	Traditional Knowledge Working Group
SER	Supplemental Environmental Report	TPG	The Perryman Group
		TPIC	third-party inspection company
		tpy	ton(s) per year
		TRB	Transportation Research Board
		TRR	technically recoverable resources
		TSA	Transportation Security Administration

TSB	Transportation Safety Board	WHSRN	Western Hemisphere Shorebird Reserve Network
TTW	Tank-to-wheels	Williston Basin	A large sedimentary basin in eastern Montana, western North and South Dakota, and southern Saskatchewan known for its rich deposits of crude oil
TWA	temporary workspace area	WIPA	Western Interior Plains Aquifer
UNFCCC	United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change	WMA	wildlife management area
UP	Union Pacific Railroad Company	WMD	Wetland Management District
U.S.	United States	WNS	white-nose syndrome
USACE	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers	WORLD	World Oil Refining Logistics & Demand
USC	United States Code	WRCC	Western Regional Climate Center
USDA	U.S. Department of Agriculture	WRP	Wetlands Reserve Program
USDOE	U.S. Department of Energy	WSR	Wild and Scenic River
USDOT	U.S. Department of Transportation	WSRA	Wild and Scenic Rivers Act
USEPA	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency	wt%	weight percent
USFS	U.S. Forest Service	WTI	West Texas Intermediate
USFWS	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service	WTR	well-to-refinery
USGC	U.S. Coast Guard	WTT	well-to-tank
USGCRP	U.S. Global Change Research Program	WTW	well-to-wheels
USGS	U.S. Geological Survey	WW	warmwater
USNABCI	U.S. North American Bird Conservation Initiative	WYGF	Wyoming Game and Fish Department
UST	underground storage tank	yr	year
VES	variable frequency drive equipment shelter		
VLCC	very large crude carrier		
VMT	vehicle miles travelled		
VOC	volatile organic compound		
vol%	percent volume		
VRM	visual resource management		
WCD	worst-case discharge		
WCI	Western Climate Initiative		
WCS	Western Canadian Select		
WCSB	Western Canadian Sedimentary Basin		
WEG	Wind Erodibility Group		
WEO	World Energy Outlook		
Western	Western Area Power Administration		
WHIP	Wildlife Habitat Incentive Program		
WHPA	wellhead protection area		

-Page Intentionally Left Blank-